### PACIFIC PLANNING PTY LTD

### SUPPLEMENTARY TRAFFIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT FOR RE-ZONING APPLICATION FOR 1-17 GREY STREET AND 32-48 SILVERWATER ROAD SILVERWATER

**REVISED VERSION 2c (22.8.18)** 

Prepared by:

Lyle Marshall & Partners Pty Ltd Consulting Engineers, Transportation and Environmental Planners

Suite 31, 401 Pacific Highway ARTARMON NSW 2064

Phone: (02) 9436 2064 lyle@lylemarshall.com.au

Job No.: 1176-18 Report No.: 09/18

**AUGUST 2018** 

### CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION1	L
1.1 1.2 1.3	BACKGROUND	
2.0	EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS	5
2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.4.1 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.8	Existing Road Network5Road Inventory and Parking5Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes6Intersection Performance7Network SIDRA analysis9Current Uses of Existing Site9Existing Vehicular Access To the Site9Existing Public Transport10Car Driver Mode – Residents10	
3.0	TRAFFIC EFFECTS FOR VARIOUS DEVELOPMENT SCENARIOS11	L
3.1	FLOOR AREAS AND TRAFFIC GENERATION	
3.1.1	BASE CASE CDC SCENARIO NO 1 UNDER PART 5A.4 SEPP (EXEMPT AND 11	L
COMPL	YING DEVELOPMENT CODE) 200811	L
3.1.2	BASE CASE SCENARIO NO 2 - EXISTING PERMISSIBLE USES	2
3.1.3	FUTURE DEVELOPMENT OPTION 1 FSR 2.7:1	3
3.2 3.3	INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE	
4.0	TRAFFIC IMPACTS OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	)
4.1	Impacts on Frontage Road Traffic	
5.0	SUMMARY	L

@-GH'C: ': =; I F9G'

:][ifY`(5.¨

: ][ i fY (6."

:][ifY)5."

:][ifY]6."

5 DD9 B8 = 7 9 G

5 DD9 B8 ± 5.

5 DD9 B8 ± '6.'

5 DD9 B8 ± 7.

5 DD9 B8 ± '8.'

7 UfbUfjcb GhfYYh

G]XfUBYhk cf\_'5bUmg]g'

FcUX

FcUX<sup>°</sup>

FcUX<sup>·</sup>

FcUX'

G]`jYfkUhYf'FcUX'

G]`jYfkUhYf'FcUX'

: ][ i fY'%" @cWU1lmiAUd

'#8\$%) £8 UHYX - `GYdHYa VYf &\$%) `

- :][ifY&" GhfYYhi=bjYbhcfmiD`Ub`

- 91]ghjb[`5 A DYU\_ < ci f HfUZZWU c`i a Yg Ë < YUj mJ Y\ ]WYg 6 m8]f YWhjcb`
- :][ifY'5."

HfUjY`AcXY'VmFYg]XYbhg`

91 ]gh]b[ '7 mWYk UmBYhk cf\_'

- 91]gh]b[ 5 A DYU\_ < cifHfUZZWU c`iaYg Ë < YUjmJY\]WYg 6 m8]fYWPjcb"

- :][ifY'6.¨

- HfUbgdcfhi=bZiUghfiWhifYBYhkcf\_ :][ifY(.`

FcUXg'UbX'AUf]HaY'GYfj]WYg'@/HYf'!DiV']W91\]VJHcb'; fYmGhfYYhGj`jYfkUhYf'D`Ubb]b[`DfcdcgU'fDD`

FAG`GWUHYg`D\Ug]b[`8]U[fUag`UbX`FAG`DYf]cX]WGWUHYg`D\Ug]b[`GHUH]gH]Wg`Zcf`G]`jYfkUHYf`FcUX`UbX`

•Á 91]gh]b[`BYhk cf\_`5 A`DYU\_`< ci f!`; fYm`GhfYYh7 UfbUfj cb`GhfYYh6`][\h`GhfYYh'` G] jYfk UhYf FcUX UbX 6`][\ GHYYH; fYm GhYYh UbX 7 UfbUfjcb GhYYHG] jYfk UhYf

• Á 9 I]qh]b[ BY1k cf DA DYU < cif!; fYm GlfYYH7 UfbUfjcb GhfYYH6`][ \ h GlfYYH G]`jYfkUhYf`FcUX`UbX`6`][\`GhfYYh';fYm`GhfYYh UbX`7UfbUfjcb`GhfYYhG]`jYfkUhYf`

•Á 6 UgY GWYbUF]c & DYfa ]gg]V Y I gYg!5 A DYU\_ < ci f! 7 UfbUFj cb GHYYhUbX Gj`j Yfk UhYf

•Á : i hi fY' 8 Yj Y`cda Ybhi Cdhicb%U!: GF` &"+.% 5 A` DYU ` < ci f!` 7 UfbUfj cb` GhfYYhi UbX`

•Å 6 UgY`GWYbUF]c`&`DYfa ]gg]V`Y`I gYg!DA`DYU\_`<cif!`7 UfbUFj cb`GlfYYhUbX`G]`j Yfk UhYf`

•Á : i hi fY Ë8 Yj Y cda Ybh Cdhjcb '%U : GF '&"+.% DA ' DYU\_' < ci f!' 7 UfbUfj cb ' Ghf YYh UbX'

•Á 6 UgY`GWYbUf]c`%7 8 7 !5 A`DYU\_`< ci f!`7 UfbUfj cb`GhfYYhUbX`G]`j Yfk UhYf`F cUX`

•A 6 UgY`GWYbUF]c %7 87 !DA DYU\_ < ci f! 7 UfbUFj cb GHfYYhUbX`G[) j Yfk UhYf F cUX`

91]gh]b[`DYU\_`<cifHfUZZJW7cibhg`Uhi=bhYfgYW1jcb`UhiG]`jYfkUhYfFcUX`UbX`7UfbUfjcb`GhfYYh

•Á 91]gh]b[ '5 A 'DYU\_'< ci f!'7 UfbUfj cb 'Ghf YYh'UbX'G]`j Yf 'k UhYf 'F cUX' • A 91 ]qhlb[ `DA `DYU `< ci f! 7 UfbUfj cb `Ghf YYhUbX`G]` j Yfk UhYf F cUX`

91]gh]b[ 5 A DYU\_ < ci f Ž : i hi fY Hf UZZ/WU c`i a Yg

91]gh]b[ DA DYU\_<cifŽ:ihifYHfUZZWJc`ia Yg

#### 5 DD9 B8 ± '9."

- •Á : i hi fY`A]h][Uh]cb`89J`%U5A`DYU\_`<cif!`7UfbUfjcb`GhfYYhUbX`G]`jYfkUhYf`FcUX!` 5XX]h]cbU`@/Zh\UbX`@UbY`Bcfh\VcibX`]b`G]`jYfkUhYf`FcUX`
- •Á : i hi fY`A]h][Uh]cb`89J`%U DA`DYU\_`<ci f!`7UfbUfjcb`GhfYYh UbX`G]`jYfk UhYf`FcUX` 5XX]h]cbU`@7zh\UbX`@UbY`Bcfh\VcibX`]b`G]`jYfk UhYf`FcUX`
- •Á : i hi fY`A]h][Uh]cb`89J`&UFYXiWN]cb`]b`< J`5A`DYU\_`<cif!`7UfbUfjcb`GhfYYhUbX` G]`jYfkUhYf`FcUX`
- •Á : i hi fY`A]h][Uh]cb`89J`&U FYXiWN]cb`]b`< J`DA`DYU\_`< ci f!`7UfbUfj cb`GhfYYh UbX` G]`jYfkUhYf`FcUX`
- •Á : i hi fY`A]h][Uh]cb`89J`&V`FYXiWh]cb`]b`<JŽ`: i hi fY`; fck h\`Jc`i a Yg`&\$&%5A`DYU\_` <ci f!`7UhbUhj cb`GhfYYhUbX`G]`j Yfk UhYf`FcUX`
- •Á : i hi fY`A]h][Uh]cb`89J`&V`FYXiWh]cb`]b`<JŽ`: i hi fY`; fck h\`Jc`i a Yg`&\$&%`DA`DYU\_` <ci f!`7UhbUhj cb`GhfYYhUbX`G]`j Yfk UhYf`FcUX`
- •Á : i hi fY`A]h][Uh]cb`89J`'`G`]d`@UbY`K Ygh5ddfcUW(`7UfbUfjcb`GhfYYh5A`DYU\_`<cif!` 7UfbUfjcb`GhfYYhUbX`G`]jYfkUhYf`FcUX`
- •Á : i hi fY`A]h][Uh]cb`89J`'`G`]d`@UbY`K Ygh5ddfcUW(`7UfbUfjcb`GhfYYhDA`DYU\_`<cif!` 7UfbUfjcb`GhfYYhUbX`G`]jYfkUhYf`FcUX`
- •Á : i hi fY`A]h][Uh]cb`8Yj`%UŽ`89J`' 7 ca V]bYX`G`]d`@UbY`K Ygh5ddfcUW(`7UfbUfjcb` GhfYYhDA`DYU\_`< ci f!`7UfbUfjcb`GhfYYhUbX`G]`jYfkUhYf`FcUX`
- :][ifY\*5." A]h][Uh]cb'89J'%U@/2hi<UbX'@UbY']b'G]`jYfkUhYf'FcUX'BcfN VcibX'
- : ][ifY\*6." A]h][Uh]cb'89J''9Ugh5ddfcUW('7UfbUfjcb'GhfYYhG`]d'@UbY
- :][ifY\*7." 7caV]bYX`A]h][Uh]cb\*89J\*%LŽ89J\*'\*

5 DD9 B8 =L': .'

- •Á 5 fW(]hYW i fU`A UghYfd`Ubg`
- •Á ; fci bX': `ccf'D`Ub'
- •Á @/j Y`%: `ccf`D`Ub`
- •Á Hmd]WU : `ccf'D`Ub'
- •Á 9`Yj Uhjcbg

5 DD9 B8 =L `; .`

HfUbgdcfhFYdcfhZcf'FYncb]b[`DfYdUfYX'Vm7c`ghcb'6iXX'<ibhUbX'?UZyg'Dhm@rX'AUm&\$%(` HfUbgdcfhFYdcfhZcf'FYncb]b[`DfYdUfYX'Vm7c`ghcb'6iXX'<ibhUbX'?UZyg'Dhm@rX'AUm&\$% %\$`\_BHFC817H-CB`

%% 6 UW [ fci bX<sup>·</sup>

Á

QÉAJ ¦^çãţ \* • ÁJ |æ) } ðj \* ÁJ ¦[] [•æļÁÞ[ ÁÚHBÐEFÍ ÁŁ ¦ÁÕ¦^^ ÁÙd^^ dÉÙãţç^\; æs^¦ÁÚ|æ) } ðj \* Á Ú![] [•æļÁj æs Á[ å\* ^åÅt[ ÁOE à ` ! } ÁÔ[ ` } &ãļÁQÞ[ , ÁÔ` { à^! |æ) åÁÔ[ ` } &ã‡DÁBJ ÁR' | ÂÓEFÍ ÉÁA V@Á{ æss²¦Áj æs Á[ ¦{ æļî Á&[ }•ãā^!^åÁ[ } ÁĨ ÁU&t[ à ÂÍ ÁU&t[ à Âí ÁGEFÍ Áæ) åÁj æs Á'^-~! ! ^åÁt[ ÁœA Ö^] ætd{ ^} oÁ [ -Á Ú|æ) } ðj \* Á æ) åÁÔ} çã ¦[ } { ^} oÁ ÞÙY Á -{ ¦Á &[ }•ãa^!æāt] Å æ) åÁ å^c ' { ðj æsāt] > ÉKOEA @ãs Ásāt ^ÁÔ[ ` } &ã‡Áj ^ > óÁBj tế ÁOEå{ ðj ã dæsāt] Å æ) åÁ, !æ) } ðj \* Áj ![ ] [ •æ†A å^c ' { ðj æsāt] > ÉKOEA @ãs Ásāt ^ÁÔ[ ` } &ã‡Áj ^ > óÁBj tế ÁOEå{ ðj ã dæsāt] Å Æ) åÁ, !æ) } ðj \* Áj ![ ] [ •æ†Á , ^!^ A,` oÁt] Á@ |åÁt] ^ } åðj \* ÁœA ( { ^Át -Át@AU` à lãsAQ ` ăî ÉV@AU` à lãsAQ ` ăî Áj æ Á &[ { ] |^c åÁBJ ÁØ` à l` æ ÁOEFÏ Áæ) åÁt@ ÁÚ|æ) } ðj \* Áj ![ ] [ •æ‡Á æ Á&|^æ^ åÁt[ Á&[ } cðt ` ^ÉOEÁ c@ás Ásāt ^Ác@ Á|æ) åÁt Á @ã&@A@AJ ![ ] [ •æ‡Áæt] ] /ðt åA@æåÁà^^ Átæ) •-^; ! ^ åÁ+[ { Ác@ Á CE à ` ! } Á\*[ ç^! } { ^} oÁsa ^æÆt Á@ ÁÔã tấ -ÁÚæ}!æt æszæása ^æÉA

Á

V@ Á] ¦[][•æ‡Á, æ•Á-ājæ‡|^Á&[}•ãa^¦^åÁà^ÁÔ[`}&ājÁ[}ÁQÌÁØ^à¦`æ†ÁGEFÌÈÁOEÁc@á\*Á {^^cāj\*ÁÔ[`}&ājÁ'¦^•[|ç^åÁq[Á%]}å[¦•^Ác@ Á-{¦{ ^\ÁOE à`¦}ÁÔ[`}&ājÁ[~-38A^{erA ¦^&[{ ^}åææāj]}ÁÇåæet^åÁÏÁU&q[à^\AGEFÍDÁæeÁc@ Á]ææ@jæêÁq[Á] ¦[\*¦^••Ác@ ÁÕ¦^^ Á Ùd^^oÁÙājç^\;æet\ÁÜ[æåÁÚ|æ]}āj\*ÁÚ¦[][•æ‡+Á

A

Α

@^{ { AFråDAjærc^åAs^|[, Á{ ¦{ • Á@ AsærārÁ[, Ás@erÁD ]]|^{ ^} cæ^ Á^][¦dÈA

FĚV @œRÔ[`}&ã/ácē{^}å/k@A;|æ}}ðj\*A;![][•æ/ácē]]lã&æaā[}A;[¦Ác@A^:[}ðj\*A;Aæ)å/kæ/FËFIAÕ;^^AÙd^^cA æ)å/A:EEIIAÜãç^\;æc\;AÜ[æåÉBÛãç^\;æc\!AQÚÚEH20€FI@Ê&eA;[||], •kA

**ĢaĐác**ą ^} åÁc@Á;[][•^åÁ^:[}ðj\*ÁĘÁŐFÁÞ^ðt@[`;\@[åAÔ^}d^LÁ

GADÁ^``ā^ÁœAœj]|&ææ)cÁţÁ`}a^\œa\^Áœåãããţ}æ¢G`&Ä`([å^||ğ\*Áœ}àÁœ)a`+ã ÁţÁœ+^++Ác@Á ][c\*}c㜢Á&`{`|ææç^Áq]]æ&c½~Á©AJ]![]+æ¢[}A`œAÁ`[=+Ác@Áa\[æa^\AC;æ-&Aý^c;[|\ÊĂ ğ &|`åĝ\*ÂVậç^\;æc\|ÄÜ[æåÊ&eA^&{{ { } } a^à^àÁ`AœAÜTÜLÁ

ÇDÍ\^``ā^Ác@Áqi] |&æi díţ Á; [çãi^Á`; c@; Áŏ • cā&æqi; } Áţ ; Ác@Á^æ; ] • Áţ ; Á.^~ • æA&ã\* åAj Á;@Á Ö^] ædq ^} díţ -ÁÚ|æi; } ã \* q ÁÕæ\*, æ ÁÖ^c; { ã æqi; } Éæi à ÁĎ • cã~Áğ &{ ; • ã c}; & ã @é ^ &qi; } Á FFÏ ÁÖā^&qi; ÀFÈFÁ ÁÓ • ã ^•• Áæi à ÁQ å \* • dãqA [ } ^• AÇãædæ4\* c` å ^Áj Áæ&&[ ; åæi & Å, ã @c@Á ;^\*ã; æÊ4\* ` à;^\*ã; æA[ ; Ác@ÁCE à` ; } ÁÒ{ ] [[ ^{ ^} AŠæi å • ÁÙdæ^\* ^ ÁGEFÍ DÁ-ţ ; ÁÖã^&q; !Á Õ^} ^;æhi ~ÂÚÚÒq Áæ; ;^^{ ^} A; í [ Át á] ; [ &^^å] \* LÁ

ĢDÁ^`čā^Á©Ázġ]|&Baa)óÁţÁ'}å\'cæ\^ÁzÁ`@e>^ÁFÁQ[}æq{ājæqã}}Áx^@Sā]^Á\$@Á`ā?ÁQ`àb&óA |aa)åDÁğÁz&84[¦åaa)&^Aj ār@ÁÜÓÚÚÁÍÍÁÁÜ^{^åãeeqã}}Å[/ÁŠaa)åÁq[Áðjç^•eātæe\*Áj][••āa|^Á•ãe^Á &{jæqãāj}æqã{jæqã}Åxázæázãa£ÁjÁx@Axáz®AýL¦Á^•ãa^}čáA

ÇDÁ^`ă^Ác@Áæj]|&&æ)cÁ[Á, [åã~Ác@ÁÚ|æ)}ğ\*ÁÚ¦[][•ækÁ[Á^}•`¦^Ác@æaÁ@Á/ÊE€EÁ`{Á^ææjÁ &[{][}^}cÁ&[{]¦ã^•ÁæÁGÉE€Á•`{Á•`]^¦{æ\\^cÁæ)åÁFÉE€Á•`{Á[[&ækÁ•]^&ãæ¢CÁ ¦^œæjB2[{{ ^\&ãæ¢Á¥[[¦Á]æ&\ÉÁ

Ç@A/@Ádj] |&&d of [ çã^• Ádó ã^ Ë] ^&ã&Aů^ç^[[ ] { ^} of [ ] { } of [ ] ] { ^} of [ ] { /] |d of A[ ] d[ |• Ábů^} cã a â A cial [ ç^ÈĂ

 $\begin{aligned} & (\dot{A}) \otimes \dot{A} & (\dot{A}) &$ 

Á

f%% 7 cbhjbi YXŁ Á

Á

CB;Áæ}]|a&ææa∄}Áē;Át[Áaà^Át[æå^Áà^Áú)æ&ãa&Áú|æ}}ð];ð]\*ÁÚc°ÁŠcåÁt[¦ÁæÁA^çãa^åÁÜ^:[}ð]\*Á Ú|æ}}ð]\*ÁÚ¦[][•æ‡Á-t[¦ÁæÁå^ç^|[]{ ^}cÁ•ãc^ÁææÁ%2%+---;fYmiGhfYYhiUbX''&!(,` G]`jYfkUhYf'FcUX'G]`jYfkUhYf''Á

V@ÁãčÁãaÁ@,}}為ijÁ][ifY°%@cWU]lmiD`UbÈÁ/@A[[orÁ{Áa^Áæ;æ\*æ;æ\*åÁæ;^Áãc^åÁ ąĨÁ/æà|^ÆÈFÁa^|[, Á

Á

#### HUVY%%5 a U[Ua UhYX``chg`

Ùd^^o4kp`{à^¦Á	Ùd^^o4Pæ{^Á	Š[o4kp`{à^¦∙Á	ÖÚÁ	Þ[ &•Á
hoệth á	Ùãç∧¦, æe∿¦ÁÜ[æåÁ	FÊGÁ	ÖÚFFF€€Í JÁ	Á
HÎ ËHÌ Á	Ùảặ̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣	FÁ	ÖÚJ€€Ï FÁ	Á
I€Á	Ùảặ̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣	ÍÁ	ÖÚÌ JÍ Í €Á	Á
IGÁ	Ùảặ̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣	ÎÁ	ÖÚÌ JÍ Í €Á	Á
ПÁ	Ùảç^¦, æơ\¦ÁÜ[æåÁ	ΪÁ	ÖÚÌ JÍ Í €Á	Á
IÎÁ	Ùảặc^¦, and kũ[anà Á	ÌÁ	ÖÚÌ JÍ Í €Á	Á
IÌÁ	Ùảç^¦, æơ\¦ÁÜ[æåÁ	JÁ	ÖÚJÏ JI GÌ Á	Á
FÁ	Õ¦^^ÂJd^^dĂ	FÌÁ	ÖÚÏ Ï HI FÁ	Á
HÁ	Õ¦^^ÂĴd^^ơÁ	FÏÁ	ÖÚÏ Ï HI FÁ	Á
ÍÁ	Õ¦^^ÁÙd^^ơÁ	FÎ Á	ÖÚÏ Ï HI FÁ	Á
ΪÁ	Õ¦^^ÁÙd^^ơÁ	FÍÁ	ÖÚJÏ JI GÎ Á	Á
JÁ	Õ¦^^ÂĴd^^ơÁ	FLÁ	ÖÚJÏ JI GÎ Á	Á
FFÁ	Õ¦^^ÂĴd^^ơÁ	FHÁ	ÖÚÏ Í G€JÁ	Á
FHÁ	Õ¦^^ÁĴd^^ơÁ	FGÁ	ÖÚÏ Î Ì JI Á	Á
FÍ Á	Õ¦^^ÁĴd^^ơÁ	FFÁ	ÖÚÏ Î Ì JI Á	Á
FÏÁ	Õ¦^^ÁĴd^^ơÁ	F€Á	ÖÚÏ Î Ì JI Á	Á

Á

Á

A

V@Árāz^Áæb^æÁaiÁ**)-)"-,**•č čæhÁi, ^d^•Á, @38.@Áaj&lčå^•ÁæelÁi, Ár@Áæelæt\*ætæt\*ætæt\*åÁ[orÈÁ V@Árāz^Áāa Áai[č}å^åÁt[Ár@Á\*]['c@Áai}Aôæ}ætç[}ÁUd^^dÉat[Ár@Á\*æróÁa`ÁUá‡ç^¦;æz\*\Á Ü[æåÉÉÓ|at@ÁUd^^cÁt[Ár@Á\*]č ro@ÁæejåÁÕ¦^^ÁUd^^cÁt[Ár@Á, ^•dĚÁV@ÁUd^^cÁtajç^}d['îÁ ]|æ}ÁārÁ@{;}Áaj[ifY&BĚÅ

Á

#### %& GWcdY'cZFYdcfh

Á

Á V@&Á\^][¦Ó+&&å¦^••^•Á@A&@~}\*^•Á[Ác@Á¦æ-&&Á\*^}^¦æ#]}Á&=Á&A\*(^•`|A[A&@~)\*^•Á {Ác@A}`{ à^¦Á[-Áæ]#&q ^}©Áæ}åÁ&[{ { ^!&##A#A#}åÅ!^c##A&[{ ][}^} A[AC@A å^ç^|[]{ ^}A; & @&=A#}A'[{ Á KFADÙÜA{[ACH: KFADÙÜBA

Á

Á V@Á^][¦ơ4^^^\•Át[Á]åæe^Ác@ÁÙÖÜÜŒ4([å^||ā)\*Át[¦Ác@Á5);o\*\•^&cāt]}Át[-ÁÙāţc^\;æe^\Á Ü[æåÁæ)åÁÔæ}}æç[}ÁÙd^^oÆaæ^åÁ`][}Ác@Á^~ĭã^{ ^}orÁt[-A;@ÁÜTÙÈÖŒ&[]^Át[-Á c@ãÁ(^cc\¦Ásæe^åÁJÁÙ^]c^{à^¦ÁGEFÍÁãrÁ5]&jča^åŧjÁ5;ddYbX]I:55Át[-Ác@ÁA^][¦dĚA

@M@9`A5FG<5@@⁄`D5FHB9FG`





Proposed Site: 1-17 Grey Street and 32-48 Silverwater Road Silverwater

FIGURE 1: LOCALITY MAP

```
Á
```

fl/%% 7 cbhjbi YXŁÁ

Á

Á

V@Á&[{{^}orÁ+[{Áx@ÁÜTÙÁse^ÁserÁ{∭,•KAÉÁ

Á OΞÁœÁ^•`|ơĄ́A&@Áœi[ç^Ě¢ſÁ}•`'^Á&@œÁ©@Ą́[[å^||ðj\*ÁªiÁæÁų́[¦Áj`|][•^ÁşiÁæa^}@î?ðj\*Á©Ádæ-&Aqí[]æ&A [Ác@A]|æ}}ðj\*Áj![][•æ4Á]}Á@Á^¢ð:@j\*Á?ð}æ4ã^åAşic^!•^&@i]}Ą́[AÛðç^!\_æ\*!/Ű[æåAæ}åAæ}æ4Ç[}Á Ųd^^dæmánÁ^&[{{^}å^åÁ@æ4x@AÛØÜUCA{[å^||ðj\*Áa^Á]åæ\*åAæ}åAsj&]\*á^Ás@A[[][\_jőj\*Áş]]\*o+A

- •Á Ô^&|^Á^} \*c@##Á?#^å&###A\*\*A\*{} }å•Á
- •Á Ù $\hat{a}$   $\hat{b}$   $\hat{d}$   $\hat{b}$   $\hat{a}$   $\hat{b}$   $\hat{b}$   $\hat{b}$   $\hat{c}$   $\hat{c$
- •Á Ô@&\*Á*,¦ąį¦ãa≹•Á
- •Á OŢ] ![ æ&@•Á] Åc@ÂŲ[ č c@æ) åœ oÆġ] ![ æ&@•Á @ č |åÅa^Â^çã ^ åĚOŢ] ![ æ&@•Á @ č |åÅj [ oÁ ^øc} åÅa^^[ } åÁ@Â/^øoÁ ä } æjā ^ åÅj c ' • ^ &@į } ÈĂ

*@*Æi Áæþ•[Á^&[{ { ^} å^å/á@æv<sup>4</sup>ærʿĄià•^¦çææāi] •Áa^Á´} å^¦æà^} Ád Åa^c\*¦{ ðj^Áa|[&\æ\*^•ÁÇææ&`|æ|^Á©Á å^] æč'/••DÆj Á©Ąi^æAj^¦ði å•Áæj åÁ ©c@¦Á@áA^^å•Át Áa^Áæ&&[`} c^åÁt ¦Æj Á©Á^çã^åAt [å^||ðj \*ÈÁ Á

```
@Áş [č|åAà^Áæ]]¦^&æær*åAãÁc@Al^çã^åAÜÖÜŒÆ{ [å^||ðj*Á&æðjAà^Árča{ æc*åAðjÁx^¦•ðj}ÅÎÁ&[Á^}•č¦^Á
&[}•ã c*}&^AjAc@Afčd]`c#aææAf^•č|œA;@}Á^çðiş^åAsîAÜTÜEA
```

Ù∄;&^ÁŒFÍÉA\*[~ç;æ\*^Á]åæe^•Á@æç\*^Á;&&`¦¦^åÉæ;)åÁ;^Á@æç\*Á\*•^åÁÙÖÜÜDÆçr\'•ã;}ÂiÁ æ)åÁ§IÁ@^Á;[å^||3;\*Á;Á@%Á§Ic\*\\*^&cã;}•ÈÀU&æe\*•Ásãæ\*'¦æ{;•Áæ;àÁ;@æe\*3;\*Á§i-{¦{æaā;}Á ;æAíààæa§i^åÁ¦[{ Ác@AÜTÙÁæ;àÁãrÁ§i&j\*å^åÁ§iA5iddYbX]I:77Á;Ác@àrÁ^][¦dĚÀ

Q,Á),¦^]æiæaa‡i}Á[-Ác@aiÁdæ-a&Á'^][¦dÉÅ,^Áso‡•[Á'^-^¦Áq[Ás@^Á-[||[,ā);\*Áā)--{¦{æa‡i}}Áæ);åÁ ¦^][¦o•ÈÅ

- •Á V¦æ)•][¦ơÁ'^][¦ơÁQ[¦ÁÚ'|]][•^åÁÜ^:[}ā)\*ÁHOËIÌÁÙãç^\;æv\ÁÜ[æåÁBÁFËFÏÁ Õ¦^^ÁÙd^^ơÂÙãç^\;æv\ÁÚ\^]æ'^åÁà^ÁÔ[|•q[}ÁÔ`ååÁP`}ơÁe)åÁSæ^•ÁÚcŠœåÁ TæÂÆFIÁ
- •Á V¦æ)•][¦ơÁ'^][¦ơÁQ[¦ÁÚ'|]](•^åÁÜ^:[}ð]\*ÁHGËIÌÁÙãç^\; æ^¦ÁÜ[æåÁBÁFËFHÁ Õ¦^^ÂÙd^^ơŨãç^\; æ^\¦ÁÚ']æ^åÁà^ÂÔ[|•d]}ÁÔ`ååÁP`}ơÁe)åÁSæ^•ÁÚcŠœåÁ TæÂGEFH Á
- •Á Ü[ æå•Á æ) åÁ T æbããã ^Á Ù^¦çã&•Á Š^œ^¦Á ËÚ` à ]ã&Á Ò¢@ñaããa } Á Õ¦^^Á Ùd^^óÁ Ùã;c^¦, æc^¦ÁÚ|æ) }ã;\*ÁÚ[] [•æ‡ÁÇÚÚÁ+E03EFÍ DŐöæc^åÁIÁÛ^] c^{ à^¦ÁGEFÍ ĚÁ
- •Á Ü[æå•Áæ) åÁT ælããų ^ÁÙ^¦çã& •Á ÁY ^• ớÔ[}}^¢ÁT I ÁY ãå^}ð, \*ÁÜ[æåÁÞ^ç [¦\Á Ú^¦-{ ¦{ æ} &^ÁT ð læðų}ÁÚ]æ) ÁÚ]æ) ÁÚ]ÞÚT ÚDÁT æl&@ ÆFÎ Á

OB&¦[}^{}•Á•^åÁ§iÁs@§iÁ^][¦oÁset^KÆÄ

Ô[  • d[ } ÁÔ˘ ååÁR˘ } ơఊ) åÁSæ^• ÁÚcˆ ÁScåÁ	ÔÓPBSÁ
Ü[æå∙Áæ)åÁTæ¦ãããį∧ÁÛ∧¦çã&∧∙Á	ÜT ÙÁ
Á	

Á Á

@M@9`A5FG<5@@/`D5FHB9FG`

#### 1.3 Executive Summary

RMS Comment	Response
It is noted that the intersection of Silverwater Road and Carnarvon Street was modelled as an isolated intersection with optimum cycle time and signal phase input settings. Roads and Maritime advises that this intersection forms part of a coordinated and linked signal corridor along Silverwater Road with the cycle time and phasing fixed.	The intersection of Carnarvon Road has been modelled in isolation as well as in a network model configuration with the adjoining intersections of Bligh Street- Silverwater Road, Bligh Street- Grey Street and Grey Street and Carnarvon Road.
To ensure that the modelling is fit for purpose in identifying the traffic impact of the planning proposal on the existing signalized intersection of Silverwater Road and Carnarvon Street, it is recommended that the SIDRA modelling be updated and include the following inputs:	The SIDRA modelling has been undertaken using the latest version of Network SIDRA Version 8 released in 2018.
It is also recommended that site observations be undertaken to determine blockages (particularly the departures) in the peak periods and whether this needs to be accounted for in the revised modelling.	Using the history SCATES files for the exact days that the counts were undertaken we are able to check the site observations against the modelled existing SIDRA analysis.
It would be appreciated if the revised SIDRA modelling can be submitted in Version 6 to ensure consistency in the output data results when reviewed by RMS.	Attached in Appendix D are all of the existing SIDRA modelling and also in Appendix E is the Future Development SIDRA modelling and Mitigation investigations.

We understand that we have addressed the additional traffic modelling requested in Item 1(d) of the letter dated 9 September 2015 from the Transport and Maritime Services response to Planning Proposal PP 3/2015.

The development traffic for a Floor Space Ratio of 2.7:1 Floor Space Ratio including a Retail component of 4000 square metres has been calculated and additional traffic has been assigned to the road network and modelled.

There is no change to the existing Level of Service at the intersection of Carnarvon Road and Silverwater Road as a result of the development proposal.

#### 2.0 EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

#### 2.1 Existing Road Network

The site has a frontage of approximately **105.9** metres to Silverwater Road and **66.3 metres to Carnarvon Road and 108.6 metres to Grey Street** and a frontage to Bligh Street of **70.6** metres. The site is located within the Rosehill Ward and is identified as currently zoned B6 Enterprise Corridor in the Auburn LEP 2010.

Since the Traffic report prepared by CBH& K in 2014, Silverwater Road has extended clearways implemented in September 2016 from Silverwater Road Between Parramatta Road, Auburn and Marsden Road, Ermington. The extended clearways operate in both directions from:

- 6am to 7pm on weekdays
- 9am to 6pm on weekends and public holidays.

The existing No Stopping and No Parking restrictions continue to operate outside these clearway times.

Detailed street inventory is provided in the CBH & K report 2014 attached in **Appendix F** of this report.

The road inventory and number of traffic lanes are illustrated in Figure 2.

#### 2.2 Road Inventory and Parking

There is parking permitted on both sides of Bligh Street with no parking restrictions and a 3T load limit is signposted on the southern approach to Bligh Street from Silverwater Road and within Bligh Street.

Unrestricted Parking is permitted on both sides of Grey Street which runs east west between Carnarvon Street and Bligh Street west of the subject site.

There is unrestricted parking on the southern side of Carnarvon Street west of Silverwater Road and a No Stopping Zone on the northern side for approximately 55 metres west of Silverwater Road.

The existing street inventory is shown in **Figure 2**.



FIGURE 2 STREET INVENTORY PLAN - SCALE 1:1000@A3						
	SCALE		PASSED		DATE	
ENT FOR REZONING	1:100	00	EMMC		4.6	6.18
7 GREY STREET SILVEWATER	DESIGN	LMP	JOB No.	SHE	ET No.	ISSUE
	DRAWN	ЕММС	1176-18		02	•
SITE PLAN	CHECKED	ЕММС	DATE FIRST ISSUED. 31.5.18	OF		
			1			

#### 2.3 Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

A Traffic and vehicle classification count was carried out at the intersection of Silverwater Road and Carnarvon Street on Wednesday 23/5/2018 from 7-9am and 4pm to 6pm. The growth percentages were then assigned to the existing intersections of

- Bligh Street/ Silverwater Road
- Grey Street/Bligh Street
- Grey Street/Carnarvon Road

The **am** peak hour was **7:30-8:30am** at all intersections. The **PM** peak hour was **4:45-5:45pm**. The results of the surveys are located in **Appendix B**.

	AM Peak	Hour Char	1ges 7:30-8	3:30AM				
	Silverwat	er Road	Silverwat	er Road	Carnarvor	n Street	Carnarvor	1 Street
	Northbou	ind	Southbou	und	Eastboun	d	Westbour	nd
	(South Ap	proach)	(North Ar	(North Approach) (		proach)	(East App	roach)
Year	2013	2018	2013	2018	2013	2018	2013	2018
Volume	2370	2125	1850	3058	220	240	205	195
Change		-10%		+65%		+9%		-5%
	PM Peak I	Hour Char	iges-4:45-5	5:45PM				
	Silverwat	er Road	Silverwat	Silverwater Road		n Street	Carnarvon Street	
	Northbou	ind	Southbound		Eastbound (West Approach)		Westbound	
	(South Approach)		(North Ar	oproach)			(East App	roach)
Year	2013	2018	2013	2018	2013	2018	2013	2018
Volume	1680	3021	1715	2734	375	426	345	308
Change		+80%		+59%		+14%		-11%

### Table 2.3a Changes in Peak Hour Traffic Volumes between 2013 and2018

#### Table 2.3 b Heavy Vehicles Volumes By Percentage

	Northbound	Southbound
AM Peak Hour 7:30 – 8:30AM	7%	5%
PM Peak Hour 4:45 – 5:45PM	3%	3%

The percentage volumes in Carnarvon Street were provided in the CBH&K 2014 report and are 3% for all approaches AM and PM peak hour.

For heavy vehicles by direction, please refer to Figures 3A and 3B.





@9;9B8. GHD P^æçˆÁX^@384/∿ÁU}|^ Hà P^æçˆÁX^@384/^ÁÚ^¦&^}œ≛^

:≓IF9"5.

9L=GH=B; '5 A 'D95?'<CIF' HF5::= 7'JC@2 A9G'!'<95JM' J9<=7@9G'6M'8=F97H=CB





@9;9B8. Ģ-D P^æçˆÁX^@384/∿ÁU}|^ Hà P^æçˆÁX^@384/∿ÁÚ^¦&^}œet^

:≓IF9<sup>''</sup>6.

9L=GH=B; `DA`D95?`<CIF` HF5::=7`JC@!A9G'!`<95JM` J9<=7@9G'6M'8=F97H=CB

#### 2.4 Intersection Performance

The intersections have been analyzed using **SIDRA** Version 8, 2018. The summary reports are located in **Appendix C** of this report.

The performance is determined by the Level of Service (LoS) Average Vehicle Delay (AVD), Degree of Saturation (DoS) and maximum delay on the critical movement at the intersection during peak hours. The Level of Service criteria for intersections are explained in Table 4.2 taken from the *RTA Guide to Traffic Engineering Developments*.

# Table 4.2(RTA Guide to Traffic Generating Developments)Level of Service criteria for intersections

Level of Service	Average Delay per Vehicle (secs/veh)	Traffic Signals, Roundabout	Give Way & Stop Signs
Α	< 14	Good operation	Good operation
В	15 TO 28	Good with acceptable delays spare capacity	Acceptable delays & spare capacity
С	29 TO 42	Satisfactory	Satisfactory, but accident study required
D	43 TO 56	Operating near capacity	Near capacity & accident study required
E	57 TO 70	At capacity; at signals, incidents will cause excessive delays Roundabouts require other control mode	At capacity, requires other control mode

The results of the analysis are set out in **Table 2.4a**.

#### Table 2.4a Sidra Analysis Existing AM and PM Peak Hour Volumes

No	Location	Sign/ Control	Peak Hour	Level of Service LoS	Degree of Saturation DoS	Average Delay Av	Critical Movement
1	Silverwater Road/ Carnarvon Street	S	AM	С	0.926	34.3	South Approach Silverwater Rd RHT 86.2 secs
1	Silverwater Road/ Carnarvon Street	S	PM	F	1.046	117.9	East Approach Carnarvon Street RHT 185.6 secs
2	Carnarvon Street/Grey Street	G	AM	A	0.157	0.4	South Approach Grey Street RHT 7.8 secs
2	Carnarvon Street/Grey Street	G	AM	A	0.223	0.2	South Approach Grey Street RHT 7.8 secs
3	Grey Street/Bligh Street	G	AM	A	0.051	3.7	North Approach Grey Street RHT 5.8 secs
3	Grey Street/Bligh Street	G	PM	A	0.045	3.5	North Approach Grey Street RHT 5.8 secs
4	Silverwater Road/Bligh Street	S	AM	A	0.526	0.1	South Approach Silverwater Rd LHT 7.2 secs
4	Silverwater Road/Bligh Street	S	PM	A	0.542	0.1	South Approach Silverwater Rd LHT 9.6 secs

#### Notes:

Degree of Saturation is the ratio of demand to capacity for the most disadvantaged movement.

(1) Average delay is the delay experienced on average by all vehicles. The value in brackets represents the delay to the most disadvantaged movement.

Level of Service is a qualitative measure of performance describing operational conditions. There are six levels of service, designated from A to F, with A representing the best operational condition and level of service F the worst. The LoS of the intersection is shown in bold, and the LoS of the most disadvantaged movement is shown in brackets

- Note: S Signals
  - ST Stop
  - SI Sign
  - G Give Way
  - R Roundabout

Volumes have increased significantly in the southbound direction in Silverwater Road since the traffic counts were carried out in 2013 and in the northbound direction in the PM peak hour.

#### 2.4.1 Network SIDRA analysis

We have analyzed the cumulative effects of existing development traffic by networking the intersections surrounding the development site. The results of the network model are shown in **Table 2.4b**.

Table 2.4b Sidra Anal	vsis Existing	AM and PM	Peak Hour	Volumes

No	Location	Sign/ Control	Peak Hour	Level of Service LoS	Degree of Saturation DoS	Average Delay Av	Critical Movement
5	Grey Street-Bligh St/ Bligh St – Silverwater Road		AM	C(D)	0.926	34.3	86.2s
5	Grey Street-Bligh St/ Bligh St – Silverwater Road		PM	F(E)	1.046	78.7	139.2s

Please note that the network model shows a slight change in LOS but DOS is the same as main signalized intersection. Network modelling is designed for linked signalized intersections in SIDRA. The network model software is limited as it can only model to the nearest intersection i.e. Bligh Street and Silverwater Road.

Figure 3c shows the AM and PM linked intersections which were modelled.

#### 2.5 Current Uses of Existing Site

The current uses are as follows: -

No. 32-24 Silverwater Road 36-38 Silverwater Road No 48 Silverwater Road 17 Grey Street 15 Grey Street 11-13 Grey Street Building Supplies Cleared site with vehicles Vacant Yard Area Residential Dwelling House Take away Shop Vacant

#### 2.6 Existing Vehicular Access To the Site

There are currently vehicular driveways off Silverwater Road. There are two vehicle driveways off Carnarvon Road to No 17 Grey Street. No 32 Silverwater Road has one access driveway to Bligh Street and No 1 Grey Street has one access driveway to Bligh Street. There are several driveways off Grey Street.

#### NETWORK LAYOUT

#### ee Network: N101 [Network1 - AM Existing]

New Network Network Category: (None)

4N



#### NETWORK LAYOUT

hetwork: N101 [Network1 - PM Existing]
New Network
Network
Network Category: (None)



#### 2.7 Existing Public Transport

Public transport access is covered in the CBH & K report in 2014.

A layout vehicle map has been prepared. Bus routes and proximity to transport services is shown in **Figure 4**.

#### 2.8 Car Driver Mode – Residents

Silverwater is located in SA3 Auburn Statistical area. Car driver mode for residents is 53% as shown in **Figure 4A.** Car driver mode for retail use is 74.4%.



#### LEGEND

B

#### BUS STOP

	32-38 SILVERWATER ROAD AND 1-17 GREY STREET SILVERWATER. TO BUS STOP_212848( CARNARVON ST OPPOSITE HUME PARK) 3 MIN WALK BUS STOP 212848 TO AUBURN STATION - 9 MIN TRIP
	BUS ROUTE 544 MACQUARIE CENTRE TO AUBURN VIA EASTWOOD
	32-38 SILVERWATER ROAD AND 1-17 GREY STREET SILVERWATER TO BUS STOP. 212851( CARNARVON ST AT VORE ST) 5 MIN WALK BUS STOP 212851 TO AUBURN STATION - 9 MIN TRIP
•	BUS ROUTE 540 SILVERWATER REMAND CENTRE TO AUBURN
	32-38 SILVERWATER ROAD AND 1-17 GREY STREET SILVERWATER TO BUS STOP 2144178(AUBURN NORTH PUBLIC SCHOOL, PARRAMATTA ROAD) 12 MIN WALK BUS STOP 2144178 TO AUBURN STATION - 9 MIN TRIP
	BUS ROUTE 909 BANKSTOWN TO PARRAMATTA VIA BIRRONG & AUBURN 32-38 SILVERWATER ROAD AND 1-17 GREY STREET SILVERWATER TO BUS STOP 2144179( PARRAMATTA ROAD AT STATION ROAD) 11 MIN WALK BUS STOP 2144179 TO LIDCOMBE STATION - 9 MIN TRIP
	BUS ROUTE M92 SUTHERLAND TO PARRAMATTA
	AUBURN STATION

#### FIGURE 4: TRANSPORT NETWORKS

32-38 SILVERWATER ROAD AND 1-17 GREY STREET SILVERWATER

#### Auburn

Population*	89,89
Households	30,74
Vehicles	50,19
land area (so, km)	3



#### Travel by MODE, Auburn 2016/17

	Number of Trips	% of Total Trips	Trip Distance (km)	% of Total Distance	Avg. Distance (km)
Vehicle Driver	197,267	53	1,385,003	57	7
Vehicle Passenger	66,020	18	411,129	17	6
Train	35,606	10	467,019	19	13
Bus	16,006	4	94,662	4	6
Walk Only	55,095	15	35,558	1	1
Other	1,776	0	16,528	1	9
Grand Total	371,770		2,409,899		

#### **FIGURE 4A**

TRAVEL MODE	OF RESIDENTS
AUBURN SA3	

#### 3.0 TRAFFIC EFFECTS FOR VARIOUS DEVELOPMENT SCENARIOS

#### 3.1 Floor Areas and Traffic Generation

The traffic generation for the proposed development has been calculated in accordance with the **RMS** Technical note **TDT 2013/04a** which lists the rates for high density residential units and the RMS Guide to Traffic Generating Developments.

### 3.1.1 Base Case CDC Scenario No 1 under Part 5A.4 SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Code) 2008

As the site is split into 2 sites, each less than 5000m<sup>2</sup> referral to the RMS is not required under EPA Regulation 2000.

A review of the Traffic generation for a site where FSR is 1:1

The highest Traffic generation for this base case is factory use which has a traffic generation of  $1.0/100m^2$  GFA. Other uses that were investigated were warehouse distribution 0.5 trips/100m<sup>2</sup> and ancillary retail up to 20% which uses the RMS formula for slow trade retail of  $20A(S)/100m^2$  GFA. As requested, the site was split into 2 development sites Site 1 and Site 2. Each of these sites has a GFA of less than  $5000m^2$  or  $3780m^2$  each.

**Table 3.1.1** shows the Traffic generation calculated for this Base Case No 1.

		Generation			Generation Traffic Generation										
	Use	Area m <sup>2</sup>	Rate- Peak Hour Trips	AM Peak		PM Peak									
			RMS	8:00-9	:00AM	5:00-6	:00PM								
	Site 1			IN	OUT	IN	OUT	1							
1	Factory	3780	1.0/100m <sup>2</sup> GFA Distribution 50/50	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9								
		3780	Total	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9								
		Generation		Generation Traffic Generation		Genera	ation		1						
	Use	Area Rat	Area F	Area m <sup>2</sup>	Area m <sup>2</sup>	Area m <sup>2</sup>	Area m <sup>2</sup>	Area m <sup>2</sup>	Area Rate-Peak	AM Peak		PM Peak			
			RMS		:00AM	5:00-6:00PM									
	Site 2			IN	OUT	IN	OUT	1							
1	Factory	3780	1.0/100m <sup>2</sup> GFA Distribution 50/50	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9								
		3780	Total	18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9		3.9 Total Peak									
								AM	PM						
	TOTAL AM and PM Peak Hour 2 Sites			37.8	37.8	37.8	37.8	75.6	75.6						

Table 3.1.1 Traffic Generation for Existing CDC Uses

#### 3.1.1 (continued)

The Peak AM and PM was **75.6** Vehicles. This is substantially less than the case No 2 for existing permissible uses on the site.

Proposed Traffic Volumes have been assigned to the road network as shown in **Figures 5A1** and **5B2** for AM and PM peak hours respectively.

#### 3.1.2 Base Case Scenario No 2 - Existing Permissible Uses

We have prepared a scenario test based upon the development that would consist of the existing permissible uses on the development site. The areas and estimated traffic are shown in **Table 3.1.2**.

			Traffic	Traffic Generation				
	Use	Area m <sup>2</sup>	a Generation Rate- Peak Hour Trips		AM Peak		PM Peak	
				8:00-9:0	00AM	5:00-6:00	PM	
				IN	OUT	IN	OUT	
1	Bulky Goods	2500	2 .7 Trip/ Hour/100m <sup>2</sup> GLA Distribution 50/50	33.75	33.75	33.8	33.75	
2	Neighborhood Shops	200	12.3/100m2 Distribution 50/50	12.3	12.3	12.3	12.3	
3	Food Takeaway	400	Car Driver BTS J to W 74.4% 50% Emp Patron Distribution 50/50 20.4 *0.744	7.58	7.58	7.58	7.58	
4	Indoor Sports	1000	9/100 GFA IN and Out 50% Employees and Patrons	45	45	45	45	
6	Landscape Supplies/Nurseries	1460	57 + 0.7/100m2 50/50 Distribution	29	29	29	29	
7	Building Supplies	2000	4.2/100m2 GFA ( RMS TDT 2013/04a) 50/50 distribution AM and 50/50 PM	42	42	42	42	
		7560	Total	169.6	169.6	169.6	169.6	
	TOTAL AM and PM Peak Ho	our		339.3		339.3		

 Table 3.1.2 Traffic Generation for Existing Permissible Uses

We calculated the existing traffic generation based upon a range of existing permissible uses upon the site. The AM and PM Peak Hour traffic generation was **339.3** vehicles trips per hour.

Assignment to the road network is shown in **Figures 5A2** and **5B2** for AM and PM peak hours respectively. Refer to Section 4.0 of the report.

#### 3.1.3 Future Development Option 1 FSR 2.7:1

The gross floor areas for the retail premises and the gross leasable floor areas for the residential components have been calculated. The **FSR** for the site is calculated to be **2.7:1** 

Use	Area M <sup>2</sup>
Medical Centre	1000
Supermarket	1000
Specialty Shops	
Ancillary Food	470
Pharmacy	80
Pharmacy Online Support	700
Office	750
Total	4000
HIGH DENSITY RESIDEN	TIAL UNITS

#### Table 3.1.3b Previous Report CBH & K 2014 FSR 4:1

**Units** 210

Use	Area M <sup>2</sup>
Retail	3500
Commercial	500

Residential

#### HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL UNITS

	Units
Residential	250

#### 3.1.3 (continued)

# TABLE 3.1.3cPROPOSED TRAFFIC GENERATION FOR 32-48 SILVERWATER<br/>RD AND1-17 GREY ST, SILVERWATER 2.7:1 FSR

#### **RETAIL USES**

Use	Area M <sup>2</sup>	Generation Rate	IN	Ουτ	TOTAL
Medical Centre	1000	RMS 3.5/100M <sup>2</sup> ; 74.4% Car Driver; 50% IN & OUT		13	26
Supermarket	1000	RMS 155A THURS; 155 x 0.8/1000=124			
		AM 0.1 IN & OUT	12.4	12.4	24.8
		PM 0.5 IN & OUT	62	62	124
Speciality Shops					
Ancillary Food	470	RMS 46A (SS) THURS			
Pharmacy	80	1250x0.8x46/1000=46			
Pharmacy Online Support	700	AM	4.6	4.6	9.2
		PM	23	23	46
Office	750	RMS 2/100M <sup>2</sup> ; 74.4% Car Driver; 30% IN, 20% OUT AM	12	3	15
		20% IN, 80% OUT PM	3	12	15

#### HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL UNITS

	Assume similar to Rockdale				
	No. of Units/ Area	Distribution	IN	OUT	TOTAL
Residential	210	AM	25	75	
		PM	67	33	
		Rate			
		AM (0.32/ Unit)	16.8	50.4	67.2
		PM (0.23/ Unit)	32.4	15.9	48.3
Specialty Shops	1250m <sup>2</sup>				
Employees	1/50m <sup>2</sup>	Travel Mode 53% x 25	13.2	0	13.2
		PM (included in above)			
		TOTAL AM	72	83	155
		TOTAL PM	147	126	273

#### Notes:

- <sup>(1)</sup> AM Peak Hour for Residential is based on 0.25 IN and 0.75 OUT and in the PM Peak Hour 0.66 IN and 0.33 OUT.
- <sup>(2)</sup> AM PM Peak Hour rates for retail, the assumptions are listed in the traffic generation rate.

#### 3.1.3 (continued)

The traffic generation for the development prepared in 2014 is shown in **Table 3.1.4** for comparative purposes

Scenario Testing of Future Traffic Generation should include reduction of volumes by 10%. For implementation of Green Travel Plan and the incidence of linked multi-model trips is likely and can result in a reduction of traffic generation.

#### Table 3.1.3d Reduced Traffic Generation

	IN	OUT	TOTAL
TOTAL AM PEAK HOUR	61.2	79.2	139.5
TOTAL PM PEAK HOUR	136	109	245

Reduction AM Volume is 15.5 and PM is 28 vehicles.

Traffic volumes have been assigned to the road network as shown in **Figure 5A3** and **5B3** for AM and PM peak hours respectively. Refer to Section 4.0 of the report.

It should be noted that the CBH & K Report 2014, the generation rate for residential assumed between 0.3 and 0.4 per hour per dwelling for peak hour traffic. The calculation of retail traffic generation also assumed a reduction for 25% of parking traffic as referred to in RMS – Guide to traffic generating developments Section 3. We do not believe that this has been substantiated.

#### 3.1.3 (continued)

### Table 3.1.4Traffic Generation Silverwater Based upon the land use<br/>descriptions in CBH & K Report 2014

#### RETAIL USES

Use	Area M <sup>2</sup>	Generation Rate	Calculation	IN	OUT	TOTAL
Retail AM	3500	RMS 12.3/100M <sup>2</sup> ; 20% IN AM	86.10	43	43	86
Retail PM	3500	RMS 12.3/100M <sup>2,</sup> 50% IN and OUT	430.50	215	215	431
Commerical AM and PM	500	RMS 2/100M <sup>2</sup> ; 74.4% Car Driver; 50% IN & OUT	10	5	5	10

#### HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL UNITS

Assume similar to Rockdale					TOTAL	
	Units	Distribution		IN	OUT	
Residential	250	AM	87.5	25	75	
		РМ	87.5	67	33	
		Rate				
		AM (0.35/ Unit)		21.875	65.625	87.5
		PM (0.35/ Unit)		58.625	28.875	87.5
		TOTAL AM		70	114	184
		TOTAL PM		279	249	528

As shown in **Table 3.1.4** the PM Peak Hour traffic generation is significantly higher.

#### 3.2 Intersection Performance

The future volumes at the intersections surrounding the development site have been modelled using SIDRA 8 for the following scenarios: -

- Base scenario No 1 CDC
- Base scenario No 2 Permissible Uses
- Proposed Development Option 1 FSR 2.7:1

The critical intersection of Carnarvon Street and Silverwater Road has been modelled and the following assumptions have been made. A 135 second cycle time has been adopted.

Assumptions for all future modelling: -

Base Scenario 2018 A 10% reduction to traffic generation to and from the site is given to the incidence of linked multi-modal trips and green travel plan.

We refer to the Roads and Maritime Services RMS-West Connex M4 Widening Road Network Performance Mitigation Plan(RNPMP) MARCH 2016. Here it predicts a reduction and improvement to the Level of Service in Carnarvon Street and Silverwater Road after the M4 widening but predicts that this intersection will operate past capacity after full West Connex in 2031.

The high percentage of heavy vehicles in Carnarvon Street may result in a reduction of vehicle percentage as land use changes and driver behavior around the tolls installation to M4 changes.

Table 3.2a SIDRA ANALYSIS OF	BASE DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO
No 1 - CDC	

No	Location	Sign/ Control	Peak Hour	Level of Service LoS	Degree of Saturation DoS	Average Delay Av	Critical Movement
Base 1	Silverwater Road/ Carnarvon Street	S	AM	с	0.897	37.1	South Approach Silverwater Rd RHT 86.8 secs
Base 1	Silverwater Road/ Carnarvon Street	S	РМ	F	1.046	117.9	East Approach Carnarvon Street RHT 180.6 secs

There is no change in Level of Service in the AM or PM Peak Hour periods. The PM is already at saturation.

#### 3.2 (continued)

### Table 3.2b SIDRA ANALYSIS OF BASE DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO No 2 – PERMISSIBLE USES

No	Location	Sign/ Control	Peak Hour	Level of Service LoS	Degree of Saturation DoS	Average Delay Av	Critical Movement
Base 2	Silverwater Road/ Carnarvon Street	S	АМ	D	0.93	48	East Approach Carnarvon Street RHT 95.1 secs
Base 2	Silverwater Road/ Carnarvon Street	S	PM	F	1.131	208.1	East Approach Carnarvon Street RHT 324.1 secs

Change in LoS in the AM from C to D and increase in Degree of Saturation by 0.084.

The critical intersection of Carnarvon Street and Silverwater Road has been modelled and the following assumptions have been made. A 135 second cycle time has been adopted.

# Table 3.2c SIDRA ANALYSIS OF FUTURE DEVELOPMENT NO MITIGATION. DEVELOPMENT FSR 2.7:1

No	Location	Sign/ Control	Peak Hour	Level of Service LoS	Degree of Saturation DoS	Average Delay Av	Critical Movement
Future Opt 1	Silverwater Road/ Carnarvon Street	S	АМ	С	0.892	39.1	North Approach Silverwater Road RHT 164.8 secs
Future Opt 1	Silverwater Road/ Carnarvon Street	S	PM	F	1.016	162.2	South Approach Silverwater Road RHT 285.9 secs

There is No change in Level of Service for future development. Very minor change in Average Delay for the AM Peak Hour Period and in the PM Peak Hour Period.

Notes:

Degree of Saturation is the ratio of demand to capacity for the most disadvantaged movement.

(1) Average delay is the delay experienced on average by all vehicles. The value in brackets represents the delay to the most disadvantaged movement.

Level of Service is a qualitative measure of performance describing operational conditions. There are six levels of service, designated from A to F, with A representing the best operational condition and level of service F the worst. The LoS of the intersection is shown in bold, and the LoS of the most disadvantaged movement is shown in brackets

Note:	S	Signals
	ST	Stop
	SI	Sign
	G	Give Way
	R	Roundabout

#### 3.3 **Provision of Alternative Transport**

It is recommended that a "Green Travel Plan" be adopted for this development to *reduce car-based travel* to encourage employees in the retail tenancies to make *greater use* of public transport, cycling, walking and car sharing for the journey to work.

The following initiatives are recommended: -

- **1.** Bicycle storage, showers and changing facilities can be provided to encourage cycling by employees and bicycle storage for residents.
- 2. Provide train and bus timetables to staff and residents.
- **3.** Provide a walking map that shows walking distances to bus stops, schools, parks and local shops.

An existing cycleway network map is provided in this report as shown in Figure 4B.





:≓,IF9`(6 9L=GH=B; `7M7@9K5M⊺B9HKCF?

#### 4.0 TRAFFIC IMPACTS OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

#### 4.1 Impacts on Frontage Road Traffic

*Future* traffic volumes have been assigned to the road network as shown in **Figures 5A1** and **5B1** for the Scenario 1 Base Case, Scenario 2 for permissible uses. Refer to **Figures 5A2** and **5B2** and Development Option 1 for FSR of 2.7:1. Refer to **Figures 5A3** and **5B3**. The reduction of 10% traffic generation can be supported by the implementation of the Green travel Plan incentive.

There has been significant growth in Silverwater Road since the 2013 traffic counts were undertaken. The traffic volumes from this development are significantly less than what was modelled in 2014. The traffic volumes for the future whilst they have an impact on the operation of Carnarvon Street in the AM there is no change in the PM peak hour operation.

Mitigation measures will alleviate the existing capacity saturation in the PM peak Hour. The mitigation measures are explained in **Appendix E** of this report.

SIDRA analysis using a Network Model demonstrates the existing impacts of the 2.7:1 development upon the road network.

Future growth at the intersection of Silverwater Road and Carnarvon Street intersection is predicted to occur at the Sydney-wide growth at 1.6 per cent per annum compound growth between 2018 and 2021 and 1.2 per cent per annum compound growth between 2021 and 2028.

The volumes are calculated as follows using the formula  $FT = T(1+r)^n$ 

Where T = current 2018 Peak Hour volume

n = number of years

r =growth rate per annum

	Northbound			Southbound		
		3 years	7 years		3 years	7 years
	Base	0.016	0.012	Base	0.016	0.012
Year	2018	2021	2028	2018	2021	2028
Rate		1.049	1.087		1.049	1.087
AM Peak Hour	2035	2134	2212	2672	2802	2905
PM Peak Hour	2760	2895	3000	2597	2724	2823

The future traffic increase volumes were tested with scenario mitigation test DEV 2b. Refer to **Appendix E** of this report.

The results showed that for 2021 growth volumes there was no change in the Level of Service for the AM or PM peak hour periods with additional growth.



						A
	ùôœšò		ÚŒÙÙÒÖ		Ó/đđờ	
ŊĦVÁQUÜÁÜŎZUÞ <b>Œ</b> Õ	F₩F€€€€		ÒT T Ô		ĠĖ	ÈÈÌ
ÃŨÜÒŸÂIJVÜÒÒVÂĴGŠXÒY Œ/ÒÜ	ÖÒÙØÞ	@A D	RUÓÁÞ[È	ÙPÒ	WÁÞ[È	QNÚQ
	öüœY⊧	9447	FFI I EFI		5	Œ
AUOOÞŒUQIAOOO	ÔPÒÔSÒŎ	9AA7	ÖGSVÖMARQIÚVALQIÚVAÖÖE HEFELÉEÌ	UØ	Á	ů.



					-	
	ÙÔŒĚÒ		ÚŒÙÙÒÖ		Ó/ĐƠ	
ÒÞVÁ2UÜÁÜÒZUÞΦ¢Õ	FKF€€€€		ÒT T Ô		G"ÈÈÈÌ	
ĨÁŨÜÒŸÁIJVÜÒÒVÁJŒŠXÒYŒ/ÒÜ	dòù@Þ	@A D	RUÓÁ>[È ÙPÓ		ÓVÁÞ[È	QNÚVD
	ÖÜŒYÞ	9447	FFI I EFI	\$(		Œ
AJOOÞŒUU AOOO	ÔPÒÔSÒŎ	9447	ÖGSVÖMARQIÜVMÜÖÖE HEFLÉLÉEÌ	UØ	Á	ů.



			J
	5 A <sup>·</sup> < CI	F <sup>·</sup> HF5::	₹
:IHIF9 @9GG`%\$1	O⊅ ÌÌĔÎ	<i>UW</i> ∕ F€Î ÈG	<i>VU VOL</i> Š FJÍÈÈÌ
: I HI F9	ÇFÎ JĔLD	ŒĴ JĔ D	Ģ <b>+</b> UD
@9; 9B8 FF ÒÝϢV ÉŒJD ØWWW G ÒÝϢV	ΦÕÁŒÁŬ ĴÒÆŎŎXŎŠ ΦÕÁĴŎŎŎ	ÌÒŒSÁ/ÜŒ ŠUÚTÒÞV/ ÒÙVÜŒ₽Á×	ĩơ Ô ÁVÜ OBERERO ÂVÜ OBERERO XU ŠWT ÒÙ
	€ F4	€ G€	H€I€ÁT
 :=, IF9`) D9FA=G	5&∵:5 G=6@) Ù	<b>A `D95</b> ' <b>I G9G`:</b> JÔŒŠÒÆP	_ ?` <cif IHIF9 F€€€€ÁÇŒHD</cif 
∨ίΩ∪ÜÂÜÒΖ∪ϷΦΟ SŨŎŸÂIJ⁄ŨĊŎVÂJŒXĊΥ Œ/ĊŨ		ύα±ὐἀὅ	A cos/c G Ĕ È UPOOVAF(È QUÚWO \$) ~~



						~ ~
	ÙÔŒŠÒ		ÚŒÜÙÒÖ	Ċ	Ó(ZOČ	
ÒÞVÁ2UÜÁÜÒZUÞΦ¢Õ	FKF€€€€		ÒT T Ô		ĠĔ	ÈÌ
ĨÁŨÜÒŸÁIJVÜÒÒVÁJŒŠXÒYŒVÒÜ	dòù@Þ	@A D	RUÓÁÞ[È ÙPĊ		NÁ⊳[È	QNÚVÔ
	ÖÜCEY Þ	9447	FFI I 🖽		5*	Œ
WUQSOAWUOUA2WWWUO	ÔPÒÔSÒÖ	9447	OOSVÓNIZQÚV/NQÚVIÓČE HFĚĚĚ	υø	Á	Ű.




	ùôœšò		ÚŒÚÚČÓ ÖŒVÔ   ÒT T Ô GĨ Ê		ÖŒ/Ò	
ŊÞVÁ2UÜÁÜÒZUÞQÞŐ	FKF€€	€			ÈÈÌ	
ÃÕŲÒŸÂŲŪÒÒVÂJCŠXÒY ŒĮÒÜ	ġŵÚÓÖ	@A D	RUÓÁÞ[È	ÙPÒ	ÙPÒÒVÆ[È QÌÙW	
UOAOOXOSUUT OÞVA	ÖÜCEY Þ	9447	FFIÍÆÍ		\$,	Œ
	ÔPÒÔSÒÖ	9447	OOSVONKAQÚVVÁQÚVVOOCE HFEĚLÉŘÍ	υø	Á	С.

	<b> 5</b>	≠ ÙÔ	(•()•) + <b>-&amp;</b> UŠÒÆK	€€	()D %:G €€ÁÇC	A ⊮D <sub>Á</sub>		
	ÙÔŒĚÒ	ùôœšò úœ		ÚŒÙÙÒÖ		ŬŒ/Ò		
>VÁ2UÜÁÜÒZUÞQ€Ő	F₩ <del>F</del> €€	€	ÒT T Ô		G'ÈÈÈÌ			
ວັບດັ່ນພົມພົດດັ່ນພົມສົນດ້ານ ອານດິບ	ÖÒÙIMT	-	BI ÚÁN È	1 IP	λΟνλωτ È	ÓNÚIÓ		

F9 ) 6' ! 9 L = 3 H = 5; DA D = 5? < CI F
HF5:: <i>=</i> 7 <sup>-</sup> (.()!).()DA
<b>`&amp;</b> "+.%: GF

@9;91	38
FF	ÒÝÒÙVO⊵ÕÁ́JT ÁÚÒOESÁ∕ÜOE222ÓD
ÉŒJD	Ø₩₩₩ÜÒÆÖÒXÒŠUÚT ÒÞVÁ/ÜŒØØÔ
G	ÒÝÒÙVO₽ÕÁÚÒÖÒÙVÜO0₽∮&UŠWTÒÙ

:IHIF9	Ø≎	<i>UW</i> ∕	<i>vu vo</i> rš
@9GG`%\$ı	FHÎ	F€J	Gi
:IHIF9	<b>Ģ</b> ∓Í FD	<b>Ç</b> ∓G∓D	çaïhd

### 5.0 SUMMARY

- The site is located at 32-48 Silverwater Road. Silverwater and this supplementary report has been prepared to support an amended rezoning application. The application has been supported by the City of Parramatta Council for referral to the Department of Planning and Environment for Gateway Determination. The site proposes a 2.7:1 FSR with 210 residential units and 4000m<sup>2</sup> commercial/ retail use.
- The intersection of Carnarvon Street and Silverwater Road has been modelled using the Scates Periodic statistics from RMS.
- The existing intersection operates at Level of Service C in the AM and F in the PM.
- Existing Base development scenarios were tested to see what impact there will have at the critical intersection of Carnarvon Street and Silverwater Road. Base Scenario No 1- CDC and Base Scenario 2 – Existing Permissible Uses. The PM peak hour performance from both the developments was LoS F.
- The traffic generation from the Base Scenario 1-CDC (Exempt and complying development) is **75.6** vehicles in the AM and PM Peak Hour.
- The traffic generation from the Base Scenario 2 was substantially higher than the proposed development with an FSR 2.7:1.
- The traffic generation from the Base Scenario 2-Existing Permissible uses is **339.3** vehicles in the AM and PM Peak Hour.
- The proposed traffic generation for an FSR of 2.7:1will be 61.2 vehicles IN and 79.2 vehicles OUT in the AM peak hour and 136 vehicles IN and 109 vehicles OUT in the PM peak hour.
- Traffic generation volumes for the proposed 2.7:1 FSR are significantly lower in the AM and PM peak hours than the report prepared by CBH&K in 2014, by some **75** and **283** vehicles in the AM and PM Peak Hours respectively.
- Future Development Scenario testing DEV1 for FSR 2.7:1 shows that the intersection with future assigned volumes will continue to operate at LoS C in the AM and no change to Los in the PM peak hour periods.
- A reduction in Heavy vehicles volumes to Carnarvon Street may occur in the future by 1% due to changes in development and West Connex Construction tolls at M4. A mitigation showing this effect was included in Appendix E

- Mitigation option Dev 1a showing an additional left lane in Silverwater Road northbound were investigated and shows that the intersection performance does not change as state in Appendix E.
- Mitigation Option 3 showing a slip lane to the eastern approach to Carnarvon Street will not change Level of Service of the intersection but will improve Degree of Saturation and Average Delay which is an improvement to existing operation conditions.
- A combined mitigation method of DEV 1a and 3 provides additional capacity at the intersection allowing for future growth volumes along Silverwater Road. It is important to note that the development traffic has minimal effect on the intersection of Carnarvon Street and Silverwater Road and that mitigation should only be investigated as a future option to improve the overall network for the future growth along Silver water Road not as a result of this development.
- In summary, allowing additional uses within the zone can reduce the potential development traffic generation that could arise from permissible uses within the zone. It has been demonstrated in this report that the revised Floor Space and Uses, substantially reduce the proposed traffic generation from the previous scheme.
- We support this development on traffic grounds.

5 DD9 B8 <del>-7</del> 9 G

5 DD9 B8 ± 5

SOVERIMENT Transport Roads & Maritime Services

9 September 2015

Roads and Maritime Reference: SYD13/00851/02 Council Reference: PP 3/2015

Manager Strategy Auburn City Council PO Box 118 Auburn NSW 1835

Attention: Jorge Alvarez

### PUBLIC EXHIBITION: GREY STREET, SILVERWATER PLANNING PROPOSAL (PP 3/2015)

Dear Ms Cologna,

I refer to your letter received on 4 August 2015 inviting Roads and Maritime Services to comment on the abovementioned planning proposal. Roads and Maritime appreciates the opportunity to provide comment on the planning proposal.

Roads and Maritime has reviewed the documentation submitted with the planning proposal and advises that additional information is sought from the applicant to facilitate a comprehensive assessment of the traffic impact of the proposal on the regional road network.

In this regard, it is noted that the intersection of Silverwater Road and Carnarvon Street was modelled as an isolated intersection with optimum cycle time and signal phase input settings. Roads and Maritime advises that this intersection forms part of a co-ordinated and linked signal corridor along Silverwater Road with the cycle time and phasing fixed.

As a result of the above, to ensure that the modelling is fit for purpose in identifying the traffic impact of the planning proposal on the existing signalised intersection of Silverwater Road and Carnarvon Street, it is recommended that the SIDRA modelling be updated and include the following inputs:

- Cycle length is fixed at 130 seconds
- Signal Phasing sequence should be set at A, D, E, F
- Phase A has a 5 second late start and minimum green time for any phase is 8 Seconds
- Check priorities
- Approaches on the South and East approaches should be revised. Approaches should not extend beyond the next signalised intersection.

It is also recommended that site observations be undertaken to determine blockages (particularly the departures) in the peak periods and whether this needs to be accounted for in the revised modelling.

It would be appreciated if the revised SIDRA modelling can be submitted in Version 6 to ensure consistency in the output data results when reviewed by RMS.

Upon receipt of this additional information, Roads and Maritime will review the updated modelling and provide a submission to Auburn City Council outlining the impact (if any) of the planning proposal on the regional road network.

Roads and Maritime is willing to meet with Auburn City Council and the developer to clarify and outline the abovementioned issues in more detail.

Any inquiries in relation to this Application can be directed to James Hall – Senior Transport Planner, Strategic Land Use on 8849 – 2047 or james.hall@rms.nsw.gov.au

Yours sincerely

Greg Flynn Manager, Strategic Land Use Network and Safety

5 DD9 B8 ± 6

### -BH9FG97H=CB.G=@J9FK5H9F"F8#75FB5FJCBGHZG=@J9FK5H9F

### VÜCE22CÔÁKUŠWT ÒÁÔUWÞV

ÖCHÝKÁNG Y ^å} ^•åæ È CEYOKKÁN CEYOKÁN (CEYOKÁN CEYOKÁN CEYOKÁN CEYOK CEYOK CEYOK A CEYOK CEYOK

nnn 5 CARNARVON SIREET 8 Stelenster Condo 23

H-A 9		GCIH G=@I9I	l<`5 DDF FK 5 H9 F	C57< FC58	K 9 G 7 5 F B 5	H'5 DDF ( FJCB (	C57< <sup>`</sup> ЭнF99H	BCFH G=@191	l<`5 DDF FK 5 H9 F	C57< FC58	95 GI 75 F B 5	H'5 DDF C FJCB C	57< <sup>∶</sup> HF99H	D989GHF-5BG		D989GHF-5BG		
	J9< <i>∓</i> @9`HMD9	%	&		(	)	*	+	3	-	%\$	%%	%&	5	6	7		
		@	н	F	@	н	F	@	н	F	@	Н	F	K Ygh	Bcfh	9 Ugh		
+'\$\$5 A 'HC'	7 Ufg`!`%/`&	€	ΙÍΓ	I	)	Î	СÍ	FJ	ÍGH	١J	%)	Í	J	•	_	_		
+.%)5A	< YUj miJ Y\ ]WYg		F€						Í€					G	F	G		
+'%) 5 A `HC` +.' \$5 A	7 Ufg`!`%⁄`&	G	١JÍ	Ì	(	J	GJ	GF	ĺĺÌ	ÍΙ	%+	Ï	F€		-			
	< YUi mi I Yi 1WiYa		FG						ÎG					I	€	н		
	7 Ufg'!'%/ '&	Н	Í FF	FΪ	•	FF	H€	FΪ	ÎG	ΙÏ	8%	Î	J					
+" \$5A HC +.()5A	< Yili mil Xi 1WiYa		Ĝ						ÍН					G	€	F		
+") 5 A 'HC'	7 Ufa'!'%/ '&	G	ÍGJ	FÍ	(	F€	GJ	FÌ	ÎÍÍ	ÍÍ	88	F€	FF		_	_		
,.\$\$5A	< YUi mJ Y\ 1WYa		GÍ						ΙÍ					Н	€	G		
,"\$\$5A`HC` ,.%)5A	7 Ufg`!`%/`&	F	IHG	FJ	)	FG	H€	G	ÍJI	ÎF	&)	FF	Ì		0	6		
	< YUj miJ Y\ ]WYg		Ġ						ΙÍ					н	G	€		
,"%)5A`HC`	7 Ufg'!'%/`&	Н	ΙÍΗ	FH	*	FF	Ĝ	HF	΀J	Ï€	'(	FG	Ï	F	£	ц		
, .' \$5 A	< YUj mJ Y\ ]WYg		HF						ΙÏ					1	ų			
,"\$5 A `HC`	7 Ufg`!`%⁄`&	G	Í€Î	Í	-	J	FJ	GG	ÍÍG	ÎG	&*	J	Î	ц	£	4		
,.()5A	< YUj mJ Y\ ]WYg		١€						١F					п	£	£		
."()5A`HC`	7 Ufg`!`%⁄`&	F	١JÍ	Ì	)	F€	FÍ	FJ	١Ġ	J€	&	F€	Î		-			
\$\$5 A	< YUj mJ Y\]WYg		GJ						нJ					ŧ	€	н		
			,	[			1		<i></i>	,		,	1		1	,		
+.\$\$!, .\$\$	)(\$\$	Î	G€IJ		FÎ	HÎ	FFH		GI€	G€İ		G	HJ	FF	F	ĺ		
⊦.%)!, .%)	))'+	I	G€II	IJ	FI	IG	FFI	IF	GH	GFI		H	H	FG	G			
+.' \$!, .' \$	)*%	J	GEH	  -	FI	11	FFI	JF	GIG	GH	F€G	HJ · · ·	H	J	G	· ·		
+.()!,.()	))(-	I	G€II	IG	G S	IG	F€	JI	GII	GI	F€	IG	HG	F€	G			
, .\$\$!\$\$	) &- %		G€FI		G	IG	J€	JI	GHI	GН	F€	١G	G		G			
	P^æçˆÁX^@3&∣^	€	FF€	€	€	€	€	€	FJ€	€	€	€	€	€	€	€		
	]^¦&^}cæ*^	€Ã	ÍÃ	€Ã	€Ã	€Ã	€Ã	€Ã	ΪÃ	€Ã	€Ã	€Ã	€Ã	€Ã	€Ã	€Ã		

H€€

## <u>-BH9FG97H-CB.'G=@J9FK5H9F''F8#75FB5FJCB'GHzG=@J9FK5H9F</u> VÜCE2/2000 ÁXU ŠWT ÒÁÔU WÞV

hur CARNARVON SIREET 50 Silven and a second 3

наа		GCIH-<`5DDFC57<` G=@!9FK5H9F` FC58		K9GH'5DDFC57<` 75FB5FJCB'GHF99H			BCF G=@	BCFH<`5DDFC57<` G=@99FK5H9F`FC58		95 GH'5 DDFC 57 < ` 75 FB5 FJC B`GHF99 H			D989GHF-5BG				
THA 3	J9< <i>⊒</i> @9`HMD9	%	&	•	(	)	*	+	,	-	%\$	%%	%&	5	6	7	
		@	Н	F	@	н	F	@	н	F	@	н	F	K Ygh	Bcfh	9 Ugh	
( '\$\$DA `HC` ( '%) DA	WUgg'%UbX'&	F	ÎÍG	НÍ	)	G	F€G	F€	ÍG	FÌ	•	FÌ	FÍ	_	_		
	< YUj mJ Y\ ]WYgʻ		8						FÌ					G	F	G	
( '%) DA `HC ` ( " \$DA	WUgg`%UbX`&	€	í JÌ	HJ	)	Н	ÌÍ	Ì	ÍÎG	G€	(	FJ	FI		6		
	< YUj miJ Y\ ]WYgʻ		Ğ						FÍ					I	£	н	
("\$DA`HC` (.()DA	WUgg`%UbX`&	€	ÎÎI	IJ	(	Í	ΪI	Ï	Í FI	Œ	•	œ	FH			_	
	< YUj miJ Y\ ]WYgʻ		Œ						Œ					G	€	F	
('() DA <sup>·</sup> HC <sup>·</sup> ) .\$\$DA	WUgg`%UbX`&	F	ÎН	Í F	(	Ι	ÌÍ	J	ÍÌG	Ĝ	(	Œ	FΪ			0	
	< YUj miJ Y\ ]WYgʻ		G						G					н	£	G	
) '\$\$DA 'HC'	WUgg'%UbX'&	€	ÍIG	΀	•	Н	FHÍ	FF	Ï FÍ	FJ		FI	Ĝ		0	C	
).%)DA	< YUj miJ Y\ ]WYgʻ		GH						FÎ					н	G	£	
) "%) DA 'HC '	WUgg`%UbX`&	G	Ï€G	ÎG	*	Í	ΪF	F€	ÎÍÍ	FH	&,	Î	ΗJ	F	€	н	
).' \$DA	< YUj mJ Y\ ]WYgʻ		FÌ						Ì						- C		
)"\$DA`HC`	WUgg`%UbX`&	G	Ì€	ÌF	,	Ì	ÎÏ	Í	ÎÌÍ	FG	'%	FÎ	Ġ	н	€	€	
).()DA	< YUj mJ Y\ ]WYg <sup>:</sup>		FH						FG								
)"()DA`HC`	WUgg`%UbX`&	F	Î FÍ	ÍÏ	)	Н	ÍG	G	ÍÏI	J	&	Œ	G	£	£	ц	
*.\$\$DA	< YUj mJ Y\ ]WYgʻ		F€						Ì					C	e		
.\$\$!).\$\$DA	)-('	G	ĜI€	FΪI	FÌ	FI	ΗÎ	Н	Gâ€	ÌÍ	FI	Ì€	ÍJ	FF	F	Ì	
(.%)!).%)	*\$, *	F	ĞНҒ	FJJ	FÎ	FÍ	Η̈́J	HÍ	GIJ	ÌÎ	FI	ΪÎ	Ï€	FG	G	î	
.' \$!) .' \$	* 8++,	н	Ĝ Ġ	œ	FΪ	FΪ	HÎÍ	ΗÏ	Я́Н	ΪJ	Ĥ	ÎΗ	JÍ	J	G	Î	
(.()!).()DA	*(,-	Í	Ğ΀	٩Î	Œ	Œ	HÎÌ	HÍ	<u> G</u> JÏ	ï€	îî	ÍÏ	F€J	F€	G	Í	ΪH
.\$\$!*.\$\$DA	*'*,	Í	ĞĞ	Ĝ€	GG	FJ	Нấ	Ġ	бїн	ÍН	JF	ĺÎ	FFÎ	Ï	G	Î	
	< YUj mJ Y\ ]WYg	€ A€	ÌÎ HÃ	€ €Ã	€ € €Ã	€ €Ã	€ A€	€ €Ã	Î J HÃ	€ €Ã	€ €Ã	€ €Ã	€ €Ã	€ €Ã	€ €Ã	€ €Ã	ا (آتان

5 DD9 B8 ± 7

# H7 G'%&%(.`!`G]`j Yfk UhYf F cUX UbX 7 UfbUfj cb'Ghf YYhž G]`j Yfk UhYf



Ped 1	1		

### Period: 6:15:00 AM to 6:30:00 AM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	8	19	83	59	475
B phase	6	19	20	19	117
D phase	7	15	24	18	131
E phase	4	15	16	15	61
F phase	7	14	23	16	116
Active CL	7	125	135	129	
IP3	1				
IP4	1				

#### Period: 6:30:00 AM to 6:45:00 AM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	8	7	66	53	428
B phase	7	14	20	18	132
D phase	7	16	23	18	131
E phase	7	14	15	14	104
F phase	7	14	16	15	105
Active CL	7	125	133	129	
IP4	1				

### Period: 6:45:00 AM to 7:00:00 AM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	8	3	86	57	459
B phase	7	19	20	19	136
D phase	7	16	27	18	132
E phase	5	15	15	15	75
F phase	6	14	23	16	98
Active CL	6	127	134	129	
IP4	1				

#### Period: 7:00:00 AM to 7:15:00 AM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	7	61	69	64	453
B phase	7	19	20	19	138
D phase	7	15	27	17	121
E phase	6	15	15	15	90
F phase	7	4	17	14	98
Active CL	6	126	133	129	
IP4	1				
Ped 2	1				

#### Period: 7:15:00 AM to 7:30:00 AM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	7	54	71	63	444
B phase	7	19	20	19	139
D phase	7	15	28	21	149
E phase	5	15	17	15	78
F phase	6	11	19	15	90
Active CL	5	124	136	129	
IP4	1				
Ped 2	1				
Ped 3	3				

#### Period: 7:30:00 AM to 7:45:00 AM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	7	50	66	58	407
B phase	7	19	20	19	137
D phase	8	15	29	21	173
E phase	5	15	15	15	75
F phase	7	15	18	15	108
Active CL	6	128	133	130	
IP4	1				
Ped 3	1				

#### Period: 7:45:00 AM to 8:00:00 AM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	7	47	67	59	417
B phase	7	19	21	20	140
D phase	8	2	29	17	137
E phase	6	15	16	15	91
F phase	7	15	25	16	115
Active CL	5	126	140	131	
IP4	1				
Ped 2	1				
Ped 3	2				

#### Period: 8:00:00 AM to 8:15:00 AM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	7	52	77	61	428
B phase	6	19	20	19	117
D phase	8	9	29	19	159
E phase	6	15	16	15	91
F phase	7	15	15	15	105
Active CL	7	118	134	128	
IP2	1				
IP4	2				
Ped 1	1				
Ped 2	1				
Ped 3	3				

#### Period: 8:15:00 AM to 8:30:00 AM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	7	50	73	59	419
B phase	4	20	20	20	80
D phase	8	5	29	20	166
E phase	7	15	20	16	113
F phase	7	15	22	17	122
Active CL	6	126	134	130	
IP2	3				
IP4	4				
Ped 2	4				
Ped 3	2				

#### Period: 8:30:00 AM to 8:45:00 AM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	7	54	82	66	467
B phase	2	15	19	17	34

D phase	7	16	24	20	145
E phase	7	15	16	15	110
F phase	7	15	28	20	144
Active CL	7	128	136	131	
IP2	3				
IP3	1				
IP4	3				

### Period: 8:45:00 AM to 9:00:00 AM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	8	19	81	52	421
B phase	4	19	20	19	79
D phase	7	21	29	24	172
E phase	7	15	18	15	111
F phase	7	15	19	16	117
Active CL	6	125	136	130	
IP1	2				
IP2	1				
IP4	3				
Ped 1	1				
Ped 2	1				
Ped 3	1				

### Period: 9:00:00 AM to 9:15:00 AM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	8	17	70	53	426
B phase	6	19	20	19	119
D phase	7	15	37	23	161
E phase	5	15	16	15	77
F phase	7	15	26	16	117
Active CL	5	127	134	130	
IP2	1				
IP4	2				
Ped 1	1				
Ped 2	1				
Ped 3	1				

#### Period: 9:15:00 AM to 9:30:00 AM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	8	28	64	52	420
B phase	7	18	20	19	135
D phase	7	17	29	19	139
E phase	6	15	16	15	91
F phase	7	15	25	16	115
Active CL	5	122	134	127	
IP4	1				
Ped 2	2				

#### Period: 9:30:00 AM to 9:45:00 AM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total		
A phase	8	12	79	52	417		
B phase	5	20	20	20	100		
D phase	7	16	29	23	167		
E phase	7	15	15	15	105		
F phase	7	15	19	15	111		
Active CL	5	130	136	132			

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	7	55	69	60	425
B phase	6	15	20	18	108
D phase	7	19	29	22	160
E phase	7	15	26	16	117
F phase	6	15	15	15	90
Active CL	7	123	134	130	
IP2	1				
IP4	2				
Ped 2	2				
Ped 3	1				

#### Period: 4:15:00 PM to 4:30:00 PM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	7	54	85	64	451
B phase	4	1	20	15	60
D phase	7	21	29	24	168
E phase	7	15	19	16	114
F phase	7	15	17	15	107
Active CL	6	127	137	132	
IP2	2				
IP4	3				
Ped 2	1				
Ped 3	2				

#### Period: 4:30:00 PM to 4:45:00 PM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	8	5	78	50	405
B phase	6	16	20	18	112
D phase	7	21	29	23	166
E phase	7	15	16	15	110
F phase	7	15	17	15	107
Active CL	6	127	133	130	
IP2	2				
IP4	3				
Ped 3	1				

#### Period: 4:45:00 PM to 5:00:00 PM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	8	20	75	54	432
B phase	4	16	20	18	75
D phase	7	23	29	25	180
E phase	7	15	16	15	108
F phase	7	15	15	15	105
Active CL	6	125	132	127	
IP2	3				
IP4	3				
Ped 2	2				
Ped 3	3				

#### Period: 5:00:00 PM to 5:15:00 PM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total		
A phase	8	28	84	57	456		
B phase	3	19	20	19	59		
D phase	7	21	29	23	165		
E phase	7	15	18	15	111		

F phase	7	15	17	15	109
Active CL	7	127	137	130	
IP2	3				
IP4	3				
Ped 1	1				
Ped 2	1				
Ped 3	1				

#### Period: 5:15:00 PM to 5:30:00 PM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	8	25	73	52	422
B phase	6	14	20	18	110
D phase	7	20	27	21	152
E phase	7	15	18	15	110
F phase	7	15	16	15	106
Active CL	4	126	130	127	
IP2	1				
IP4	2				
Ped 2	1				

#### Period: 5:30:00 PM to 5:45:00 PM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	8	23	80	53	430
B phase	5	19	20	19	98
D phase	7	18	29	22	159
E phase	7	15	16	15	108
F phase	7	15	15	15	105
Active CL	5	127	137	132	
IP4	1				
Ped 1	1				
Ped 2	1				
Ped 3	3				

### Period: 5:45:00 PM to 6:00:00 PM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	8	7	75	51	410
B phase	6	19	21	19	118
D phase	7	19	22	20	145
E phase	7	15	20	16	118
F phase	7	15	17	15	109
Active CL	7	126	137	130	
IP2	1				
IP4	2				
Ped 2	1				

#### Period: 6:00:00 PM to 6:15:00 PM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	8	15	78	59	472
B phase	5	15	20	17	87
D phase	7	16	25	20	143
E phase	6	15	19	16	97
F phase	6	15	26	16	101
Active CL	6	126	133	129	
IP1	1				
IP2	1				
IP4	2				

#### Period: 6:15:00 PM to 6:30:00 PM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	7	55	95	71	498
B phase	4	14	20	16	67
D phase	7	15	29	21	152
E phase	5	15	20	16	84
F phase	7	9	17	14	99
Active CL	6	127	137	131	
IP1	1				
IP4	1				
Ped 3	1				

#### Period: 6:30:00 PM to 6:45:00 PM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	7	54	102	77	541
B phase	4	15	20	17	69
D phase	7	17	22	19	139
E phase	5	15	20	16	83
F phase	6	2	15	11	68
Active CL	6	124	136	130	
IP4	1				
Ped 1	1				
Ped 2	1				

#### Period: 6:45:00 PM to 7:00:00 PM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	7	60	83	72	505
B phase	7	14	19	17	122
D phase	7	14	21	16	115
E phase	5	14	15	14	74
F phase	6	12	15	14	84
Active CL	6	113	132	122	
IP4	1				
Ped 2	1				

#### Period: 7:00:00 PM to 7:15:00 PM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	8	14	84	62	498
B phase	6	14	19	15	92
D phase	7	15	22	17	122
E phase	6	15	22	16	97
F phase	6	14	17	15	91
Active CL	6	116	133	126	
IP4	1				

### Period: 7:15:00 PM to 7:30:00 PM

Data	Freq.	Min	Max	Avg	Total
A phase	8	45	92	63	506
B phase	7	14	19	16	112
D phase	6	14	17	15	91
E phase	5	15	17	15	78
F phase	7	14	18	16	113
Active CL	7	120	132	125	
IP2	1				

APPENDIX D

## Site: 101 [2018 EX-AM Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St]

New Site

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 125 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Intersection Performance - Hourly Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Travel Speed (Average) Travel Distance (Total) Travel Time (Total)	32.7 km/h 3366.6 veh-km/h 102.9 veh-h/h	1.5 km/h 0.6 ped-km/h 0.4 ped-h/h	32.6 km/h 4040.6 pers-km/h 123.9 pers-h/h
Demand Flows (Total) Percent Heavy Vehicles (Demand) Degree of Saturation Practical Spare Capacity Effective Intersection Capacity	5618 veh/h 5.6 % 0.926 -2.8 % 6070 veh/h	17 ped/h 0.016	6759 pers/h
Control Delay (Total) Control Delay (Average) Control Delay (Worst Lane)	53.49 veh-h/h 34.3 sec 86.2 sec	0.27 ped-h/h 56.7 sec	64.45 pers-h/h 34.3 sec
Control Delay (Worst Movement) Geometric Delay (Average) Stop-Line Delay (Average) Idling Time (Average)	86.2 sec 0.8 sec 33.5 sec 28.7 sec	56.7 sec	86.2 sec
Intersection Level of Service (LOS)	LOS C	LOS E	
95% Back of Queue - Vehicles (Worst Lane) 95% Back of Queue - Distance (Worst Lane) Queue Storage Ratio (Worst Lane) Total Effective Stops Effective Stop Rate	49.4 veh 366.4 m 1.56 4897 veh/h 0.87	16 ped/h 0.95	5892 pers/h 0.87
Proportion Queued Performance Index	0.92 416.7	0.95 0.5	0.92 417.1
Cost (Total) Fuel Consumption (Total) Carbon Dioxide (Total) Hydrocarbons (Total) Carbon Monoxide (Total) NOx (Total)	4089.95 \$/h 527.0 L/h 1251.4 kg/h 0.123 kg/h 1.487 kg/h 2.880 kg/h	10.51 \$/h	4100.46 \$/h

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Intersection LOS value for Vehicles is based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Site Model Variability Index (Iterations 3 to N): 2.0 %

Number of Iterations: 6 (Maximum: 10)

Largest change in Lane Degrees of Saturation for the last three Main (Timing-Capacity) Iterations: 2.6% 2.4% 0.0%

Intersection Performance - Annual Values								
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons					
Demand Flows (Total)	2,696,640 veh/y	8,160 ped/y	3,244,128 pers/y					
Delay	25,674 veh-h/y	128 ped-h/y	30,937 pers-h/y					
Effective Stops	2,350,367 veh/y	7,771 ped/y	2,828,211 pers/y					
Travel Distance	1,615,982 veh-km/y	293 ped-km/y	1,939,471 pers-km/y					
Travel Time	49,384 veh-h/y	191 ped-h/y	59,452 pers-h/y					
Cost	1,963,174 \$/y	5,046 \$/y	1,968,220 \$/y					
Fuel Consumption	252,937 L/y							
Carbon Dioxide	600,679 kg/y							
Hydrocarbons	59 kg/y							
Carbon Monoxide	714 kg/y							
NOx	1,383 kg/y							

### Site: 101 [2018 EX-AM Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St]

New Site

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 125 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ement l	Performanc	e - Vel	hicles								
Mov ID	Turn	Demand I Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h
South	: Silverv	vater Road (s	south)									
10	L2	10	3.0	0.836	42.9	LOS D	38.7	282.6	0.96	0.91	1.01	9.2
11	T1	2035	5.0	0.836	37.1	LOS C	38.8	283.3	0.96	0.91	1.01	32.5
12	R2	80	3.0	0.917	86.2	LOS F	5.8	41.4	1.00	1.00	1.72	11.4
Appro	ach	2125	4.9	0.917	38.9	LOS C	38.8	283.3	0.96	0.91	1.04	31.2
East:	Carnarv	on Street (ea	ast)									
1	L2	112	3.0	0.550	45.9	LOS D	5.5	39.8	0.99	0.78	0.99	16.9
2	T1	45	3.0	0.926	81.1	LOS F	6.0	43.3	1.00	1.09	1.76	10.2
3	R2	38	3.0	0.926	85.7	LOS F	6.0	43.3	1.00	1.09	1.76	19.3
Appro	ach	195	3.0	0.926	61.8	LOS E	6.0	43.3	0.99	0.91	1.32	15.8
North:	Silverw	/ater Road (r	north)									
4	L2	140	3.0	0.856	30.0	LOS C	49.2	363.0	0.89	0.86	0.91	40.1
5	T1	2672	7.0	0.856	23.9	LOS B	49.4	366.4	0.87	0.84	0.90	40.0
6	R2	246	3.0	0.626	54.9	LOS D	13.8	98.8	0.96	0.83	0.96	24.0
Appro	ach	3058	6.5	0.856	26.7	LOS B	49.4	366.4	0.88	0.84	0.91	38.1
West:	Carnar	von Street (w	/est)									
7	L2	28	3.0	0.794	65.1	LOS E	7.6	54.8	1.00	0.93	1.32	19.9
8	T1	51	3.0	0.794	60.5	LOS E	7.6	54.8	1.00	0.93	1.32	12.5
9	R2	161	3.0	0.794	70.0	LOS E	7.6	54.8	1.00	0.92	1.27	8.6
Appro	ach	240	3.0	0.794	67.4	LOS E	7.6	54.8	1.00	0.92	1.29	11.0
All Ve	hicles	5618	5.6	0.926	34.3	LOS C	49.4	366.4	0.92	0.87	0.99	32.7

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians							
Mov	Decorintion	Demand	Average	Level of	Average Bac	k of Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow ned/h	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate
P1	East Full Crossing	pcu/ii	56.7	LOSE	0.0	0.0	0.95	0.95
	North Full Crossing	0	50.7		0.0	0.0	0.35	0.35
P2		2	0.00	LOSE	0.0	0.0	0.95	0.95
P3	West Full Crossing	9	56.7	LOSE	0.0	0.0	0.95	0.95
All Pe	destrians	17	56.7	LOS E			0.95	0.95

## Site: 101 [2018 EX-PM Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St ]

15% Reduction on Silverwater Road

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 135 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Intersection Performance - Hourly Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Travel Speed (Average) Travel Distance (Total) Travel Time (Total)	16.2 km/h 3774.4 veh-km/h 233.5 veh-h/h	1.4 km/h 0.6 ped-km/h 0.4 ped-h/h	16.1 km/h 4529.9 pers-km/h 280.6 pers-h/h
Demand Flows (Total) Percent Heavy Vehicles (Demand) Degree of Saturation Practical Spare Capacity Effective Intersection Capacity	6489 veh/h 2.8 % 1.046 -13.9 % 6204 veh/h	17 ped/h 0.019	7804 pers/h
Control Delay (Total) Control Delay (Average) Control Delay (Worst Lane) Control Delay (Worst Movement) Geometric Delay (Average)	175.67 veh-h/h 97.5 sec 184.0 sec 185.6 sec 0.8 sec	0.29 ped-h/h 61.7 sec 61.7 sec	211.09 pers-h/h 97.4 sec 185.6 sec
Stop-Line Delay (Average) Idling Time (Average) Intersection Level of Service (LOS)	96.6 sec 90.2 sec LOS F	LOS F	
95% Back of Queue - Vehicles (Worst Lane) 95% Back of Queue - Distance (Worst Lane) Queue Storage Ratio (Worst Lane) Total Effective Stops	103.9 veh 745.7 m 2.59 8182 veh/h	16 ped/h	9835 pers/h
Effective Stop Rate Proportion Queued Performance Index	1.26 0.99 857.8	0.96 0.96 0.5	1.26 0.99 858.3
Cost (Total) Fuel Consumption (Total) Carbon Dioxide (Total) Hydrocarbons (Total) Carbon Monoxide (Total) NOx (Total)	8724.76 \$/h 746.5 L/h 1763.8 kg/h 0.192 kg/h 1.909 kg/h 2.456 kg/h	11.13 \$/h	8735.89 \$/h

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Intersection LOS value for Vehicles is based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Site Model Variability Index (Iterations 3 to N): 7.5 %

Number of Iterations: 3 (Maximum: 10)

Largest change in Lane Degrees of Saturation for the last three Main (Timing-Capacity) Iterations: 12.9% 9.7% 7.5%

Intersection Performance - Annual Values								
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons					
Demand Flows (Total)	3,114,720 veh/y	8,160 ped/y	3,745,825 pers/y					
Delay	84,321 veh-h/y	140 ped-h/y	101,325 pers-h/y					
Effective Stops	3,927,535 veh/y	7,800 ped/y	4,720,842 pers/y					
Travel Distance	1,811,713 veh-km/y	293 ped-km/y	2,174,350 pers-km/y					
Travel Time	112,090 veh-h/y	202 ped-h/y	134,711 pers-h/y					
Cost	4,187,883 \$/y	5,344 \$/y	4,193,228 \$/y					
Fuel Consumption	358,335 L/y							
Carbon Dioxide	846,605 kg/y							
Hydrocarbons	92 kg/y							
Carbon Monoxide	916 kg/y							
NOx	1,179 kg/y							

### Site: 101 [2018 EX-PM Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St ]

15% Reduction on Silverwater Road

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 135 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ment	Performanc	e - Ve	hicles								
Mov ID	Turn	Demand I Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h
South	: Silver	water Road (s	south)									
10	L2	6	3.0	0.917	51.1	LOS D	65.4	469.3	0.99	1.02	1.12	8.3
11	T1	2760	3.0	0.917	45.2	LOS D	65.4	469.4	0.99	1.02	1.12	29.2
12	R2	255	0.0	1.030	166.6	LOS F	29.5	206.2	1.00	1.28	2.13	6.4
Appro	ach	3021	2.7	1.030	55.4	LOS D	65.4	469.4	0.99	1.05	1.21	25.0
East:	Carnary	on Street (ea	ast)									
1	L2	136	0.0	0.309	33.1	LOS C	5.5	38.8	0.87	0.77	0.87	20.6
2	T1	60	3.0	1.046	181.1	LOS F	21.0	150.6	1.00	1.59	2.35	5.1
3	R2	112	3.0	1.046	185.6	LOS F	21.0	150.6	1.00	1.59	2.35	10.9
Appro	ach	308	1.7	1.046	117.4	LOS F	21.0	150.6	0.94	1.23	1.69	11.0
North:	Silverv	vater Road (r	north)									
4	L2	64	3.0	1.018	136.8	LOS F	103.9	745.7	1.00	1.48	1.72	15.1
5	T1	2597	3.0	1.018	130.6	LOS F	103.9	745.7	1.00	1.49	1.72	13.8
6	R2	73	3.0	0.602	76.5	LOS F	5.0	35.6	1.00	0.78	1.04	19.3
Appro	ach	2734	3.0	1.018	129.3	LOS F	103.9	745.7	1.00	1.47	1.70	13.9
West:	Carnar	von Street (w	/est)									
7	L2	22	3.0	1.043	170.8	LOS F	23.7	170.3	1.00	1.44	2.29	9.0
8	T1	25	3.0	1.043	166.3	LOS F	23.7	170.3	1.00	1.44	2.29	5.0
9	R2	379	3.0	1.043	177.6	LOS F	25.7	184.7	1.00	1.48	2.29	3.6
Appro	ach	426	3.0	1.043	176.6	LOS F	25.7	184.7	1.00	1.47	2.29	4.0
All Vel	hicles	6489	2.8	1.046	97.5	LOS F	103.9	745.7	0.99	1.26	1.51	16.2

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians							
Mov	Decorintion	Demand	Average	Level of	Average Bac	k of Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow ped/h	Delay sec	Service	Pedestrian ped	Distance m	Queued	Stop Rate
P1	East Full Crossing	5	61.6	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96
P2	North Full Crossing	2	61.6	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96
P3	West Full Crossing	10	61.7	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96
All Peo	destrians	17	61.7	LOS F			0.96	0.96

## **NETWORK SUMMARY**

### **♦** Network: N101 [Network1 - AM Existing]

### New Network

Network Category: (None)

Network Cycle Time = 125 seconds (Network Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

Network Performance - Hourly V	alues			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Per Unit Distance	Pedestrians	Persons
Network Level of Service (LOS) Travel Time Index Speed Efficiency Congestion Coefficient	LOS D 5.63 0.61 1.65			
Travel Speed (Average) Travel Distance (Total) Travel Time (Total) Desired Speed	36.4 km/h 4404.0 veh-km/h 120.9 veh-h/h 60.0 km/h		1.5 km/h 0.6 ped-km/h 0.4 ped-h/h	36.3 km/h 5285.4 pers-kn 145.5 pers-h/ł
Demand Flows (Total for all Sites) Arrival Flows (Total for all Sites) Demand Flows (Entry Total) Midblock Inflows (Total) Midblock Outflows (Total) Percent Heavy Vehicles (Demand) Percent Heavy Vehicles (Arrival) Degree of Saturation	11463 veh/h 11463 veh/h 5720 veh/h 32 veh/h -61 veh/h 5.6 % 5.6 % 0.926		17 ped/h 17 ped/h	13773 pers/h 13773 pers/h
Control Delay (Total) Control Delay (Average) Control Delay (Worst Lane) Control Delay (Worst Movement) Geometric Delay (Average) Stop-Line Delay (Average)	53.83 veh-h/h 16.9 sec 86.2 sec 86.2 sec 0.5 sec 16.4 sec		0.27 ped-h/h 56.7 sec 56.7 sec	64.86 pers-h/l 17.0 sec 86.2 sec
Queue Storage Ratio (Worst Lane) Total Effective Stops Effective Stop Rate Proportion Queued Performance Index	1.00 5014 veh/h 0.44 0.45 431.1	1.14 per km	16 ped/h 0.95 0.95 0.5	6033 pers/h 0.44 0.45 431.6
Cost (Total) Fuel Consumption (Total) Fuel Economy Carbon Dioxide (Total) Hydrocarbons (Total) Carbon Monoxide (Total) NOx (Total)	4697.07 \$/h 604.5 L/h 13.7 L/100km 1435.6 kg/h 0.136 kg/h 1.679 kg/h 3.204 kg/h	1.07 \$/km 137.3 mL/km 326.0 g/km 0.031 g/km 0.381 g/km 0.728 g/km	10.51 \$/h	4707.58 \$/h

Network Model Variability Index (Iterations 3 to N): 0.0 %

Number of Iterations: 5 (Maximum: 10)

Largest change in Lane Degrees of Saturation or Queue Storage Ratios for the last three Network Iterations: 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Network Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Speed Efficiency.

Software Setup used: Standard Left.

Network Performance - Annual Values								
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons					
Demand Flows (Total for all Sites)	5,502,241 veh/y	8,160 ped/y	6,610,848 pers/y					
Delay	25,838 veh-h/y	128 ped-h/y	31,134 pers-h/y					
Effective Stops	2,406,675 veh/y	7,771 ped/y	2,895,781 pers/y					
Travel Distance	2,113,929 veh-km/y	293 ped-km/y	2,537,008 pers-km/y					
Travel Time	58,031 veh-h/y	191 ped-h/y	69,828 pers-h/y					
Cost	2,254,594 \$/y	5,046 \$/y	2,259,640 \$/y					
Fuel Consumption	290,139 L/y							
Carbon Dioxide	689,086 kg/y							
Hydrocarbons	65 kg/y							
Carbon Monoxide	806 kg/y							
NOx	1,538 kg/y							

## **NETWORK SUMMARY**

### **♦** Network: N101 [Network1 - PM Existing]

### New Network

Network Category: (None)

Network Cycle Time = 135 seconds (Network Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

Network Performance - Hourly V	alues			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Per Unit Distance	Pedestrians	Persons
Network Level of Service (LOS) Travel Time Index Speed Efficiency Congestion Coefficient	LOS E 3.07 0.38 2.66			
Travel Speed (Average) Travel Distance (Total) Travel Time (Total) Desired Speed	22.6 km/h 4969.4 veh-km/h 220.2 veh-h/h 60.0 km/h		1.4 km/h 0.6 ped-km/h 0.4 ped-h/h	22.5 km/h 5963.8 pers-kn 264.7 pers-h/l
Demand Flows (Total for all Sites) Arrival Flows (Total for all Sites) Demand Flows (Entry Total) Midblock Inflows (Total) Midblock Outflows (Total) Percent Heavy Vehicles (Demand) Percent Heavy Vehicles (Arrival) Degree of Saturation	13318 veh/h 13254 veh/h 6537 veh/h 33 veh/h -39 veh/h 2.9 % 2.9 % 1.046		17 ped/h 17 ped/h	15999 pers/h 15922 pers/h
Control Delay (Total) Control Delay (Average) Control Delay (Worst Lane) Control Delay (Worst Movement) Geometric Delay (Average) Stop-Line Delay (Average)	142.04 veh-h/h 38.6 sec 137.8 sec 139.2 sec 0.4 sec 38.1 sec		0.29 ped-h/h 61.7 sec 61.7 sec	170.73 pers-h/l 38.6 sec 139.2 sec
Queue Storage Ratio (Worst Lane) Total Effective Stops Effective Stop Rate Proportion Queued Performance Index	1.00 7539 veh/h 0.57 0.49 761.2	1.52 per km	16 ped/h 0.96 0.96 0.5	9063 pers/h 0.57 0.49 761.7
Cost (Total) Fuel Consumption (Total) Fuel Economy Carbon Dioxide (Total) Hydrocarbons (Total) Carbon Monoxide (Total) NOx (Total)	8181.67 \$/h 769.7 L/h 15.5 L/100km 1818.9 kg/h 0.187 kg/h 2.030 kg/h 2.525 kg/h	1.65 \$/km 154.9 mL/km 366.0 g/km 0.038 g/km 0.408 g/km 0.508 g/km	11.13 \$/h	8192.80 \$/h

Network Model Variability Index (Iterations 3 to N): 0.0 %

Number of Iterations: 5 (Maximum: 10)

Largest change in Lane Degrees of Saturation or Queue Storage Ratios for the last three Network Iterations: 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Network Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Speed Efficiency.

Software Setup used: Standard Left.

Network Performance - Annual Values									
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons						
Demand Flows (Total for all Sites)	6,392,640 veh/y	8,160 ped/y	7,679,329 pers/y						
Delay	68,177 veh-h/y	140 ped-h/y	81,953 pers-h/y						
Effective Stops	3,618,869 veh/y	7,800 ped/y	4,350,443 pers/y						
Travel Distance	2,385,291 veh-km/y	293 ped-km/y	2,862,643 pers-km/y						
Travel Time	105,691 veh-h/y	202 ped-h/y	127,032 pers-h/y						
	-								
Cost	3,927,199 \$/y	5,344 \$/y	3,932,544 \$/y						
Fuel Consumption	369,476 L/y								
Carbon Dioxide	873,058 kg/y								
Hydrocarbons	90 kg/y								
Carbon Monoxide	974 kg/y								
NOx	1,212 kg/y								

### Site: 101 [2018 Base 1 CDC-AM Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St]

New Site

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 135 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Intersection Performance - Hourly Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Travel Speed (Average) Travel Distance (Total) Travel Time (Total)	31.3 km/h 3386.9 veh-km/h 108.2 veh-h/h	1.4 km/h 0.6 ped-km/h 0.4 ped-h/h	31.2 km/h 4064.8 pers-km/h 130.2 pers-h/h
Demand Flows (Total) Percent Heavy Vehicles (Demand) Degree of Saturation Practical Spare Capacity Effective Intersection Capacity	5667 veh/h 5.6 % 0.897 0.3 % 6317 veh/h	17 ped/h 0.017	6817 pers/h
Control Delay (Total) Control Delay (Average) Control Delay (Worst Lane) Control Delay (Worst Movement) Geometric Delay (Average) Stop-Line Delay (Average) Idling Time (Average)	58.39 veh-h/h 37.1 sec 84.8 sec 86.8 sec 0.9 sec 36.2 sec 31.6 sec	0.29 ped-h/h 61.7 sec 61.7 sec	70.36 pers-h/h 37.2 sec 86.8 sec
Intersection Level of Service (LOS)	LOS C	LOS F	
95% Back of Queue - Vehicles (Worst Lane) 95% Back of Queue - Distance (Worst Lane) Queue Storage Ratio (Worst Lane) Total Effective Stops Effective Stop Rate Proportion Queued Performance Index	54.9 veh 407.3 m 1.68 4950 veh/h 0.87 0.92 451.3	16 ped/h 0.96 0.96 0.5	5956 pers/h 0.87 0.92 451.8
Cost (Total) Fuel Consumption (Total) Carbon Dioxide (Total) Hydrocarbons (Total) Carbon Monoxide (Total) NOx (Total)	4276.83 \$/h 536.2 L/h 1273.3 kg/h 0.126 kg/h 1.508 kg/h 2.907 kg/h	11.13 \$/h	4287.96 \$/h

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Intersection LOS value for Vehicles is based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Site Model Variability Index (Iterations 3 to N): 1.5 %

Number of Iterations: 5 (Maximum: 10)

Largest change in Lane Degrees of Saturation for the last three Main (Timing-Capacity) Iterations: 2.4% 2.2% 0.0%

Intersection Performance - Annual Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Demand Flows (Total)	2,720,160 veh/y	8,160 ped/y	3,272,352 pers/y
Delay	28,029 veh-h/y	140 ped-h/y	33,775 pers-h/y
Effective Stops	2,376,035 veh/y	7,800 ped/y	2,859,042 pers/y
Travel Distance	1,625,694 veh-km/y	293 ped-km/y	1,951,127 pers-km/y
Travel Time	51,914 veh-h/y	202 ped-h/y	62,499 pers-h/y
	-		
Cost	2,052,879 \$/y	5,344 \$/y	2,058,223 \$/y
Fuel Consumption	257,384 L/y		
Carbon Dioxide	611,196 kg/y		
Hydrocarbons	60 kg/y		
Carbon Monoxide	724 kg/y		
NOx	1,395 kg/y		

### Site: 101 [2018 Base 1 CDC-AM Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St]

New Site

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 135 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ement l	Performand	e - Ve	hicles								
Mov ID	Turn	Demand I Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h
South	: Silverv	water Road (s	south)									
10	L2	10	3.0	0.840	45.6	LOS D	41.6	304.0	0.96	0.91	1.01	8.9
11	T1	2035	5.0	0.840	39.8	LOS C	41.7	304.8	0.96	0.91	1.01	31.3
12	R2	80	3.0	0.849	84.8	LOS F	5.9	42.2	1.00	0.92	1.43	11.5
Appro	ach	2125	4.9	0.849	41.6	LOS C	41.7	304.8	0.96	0.91	1.02	30.1
East:	Carnarv	on Street (ea	ast)									
1	L2	112	3.0	0.520	47.9	LOS D	5.9	42.1	0.98	0.78	0.98	16.4
2	T1	49	3.0	0.897	82.3	LOS F	6.6	47.2	1.00	1.04	1.58	10.1
3	R2	38	3.0	0.897	86.8	LOS F	6.6	47.2	1.00	1.04	1.58	19.2
Appro	ach	199	3.0	0.897	63.8	LOS E	6.6	47.2	0.99	0.89	1.24	15.4
North:	Silverw	vater Road (r	north)									
4	L2	140	3.0	0.868	32.4	LOS C	54.7	403.7	0.90	0.87	0.93	38.7
5	T1	2672	7.0	0.868	26.3	LOS B	54.9	407.3	0.88	0.84	0.91	38.4
6	R2	263	3.0	0.651	58.3	LOS E	15.9	114.0	0.97	0.84	0.97	23.1
Appro	ach	3075	6.5	0.868	29.3	LOS C	54.9	407.3	0.89	0.84	0.91	36.5
West:	Carnar	von Street (w	/est)									
7	L2	36	3.0	0.798	68.5	LOS E	9.1	65.5	1.00	0.93	1.29	19.3
8	T1	55	3.0	0.798	64.0	LOS E	9.1	65.5	1.00	0.93	1.29	12.0
9	R2	177	3.0	0.798	74.0	LOS F	9.1	65.5	1.00	0.92	1.24	8.2
Appro	ach	268	3.0	0.798	71.2	LOS F	9.1	65.5	1.00	0.92	1.26	10.8
All Ve	hicles	5667	5.6	0.897	37.1	LOS C	54.9	407.3	0.92	0.87	0.98	31.3

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians							
Mov	Description	Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate
		ped/n	Sec		ped	m		
P1	East Full Crossing	6	61.6	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96
P2	North Full Crossing	2	61.6	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96
P3	West Full Crossing	9	61.7	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96
All Peo	destrians	17	61.7	LOS F			0.96	0.96

### Site: 101 [2018 Base 1 CDC-PM Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St]

15% Reduction on Silverwater Road

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 140 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Intersection Performance - Hourly Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Travel Speed (Average) Travel Distance (Total) Travel Time (Total)	13.9 km/h 3794.6 veh-km/h 273.1 veh-h/h	1.4 km/h 0.6 ped-km/h 0.4 ped-h/h	13.9 km/h 4554.2 pers-km/h 328.2 pers-h/h
Demand Flows (Total) Percent Heavy Vehicles (Demand) Degree of Saturation Practical Spare Capacity Effective Intersection Capacity	6537 veh/h 2.8 % 1.043 -13.7 % 6265 veh/h	17 ped/h 0.019	7861 pers/h
Control Delay (Total) Control Delay (Average) Control Delay (Worst Lane) Control Delay (Worst Movement) Geometric Delay (Average) Stop-Line Delay (Average)	214.13 veh-h/h 117.9 sec 186.8 sec 180.6 sec 0.9 sec 117.1 sec	0.30 ped-h/h 64.1 sec 64.2 sec	257.26 pers-h/h 117.8 sec 180.6 sec
Intersection Level of Service (LOS)	LOS F	LOS F	
95% Back of Queue - Vehicles (Worst Lane) 95% Back of Queue - Distance (Worst Lane) Queue Storage Ratio (Worst Lane) Total Effective Stops Effective Stop Rate Proportion Queued Performance Index	117.6 veh 844.7 m 3.10 8826 veh/h 1.35 1.00 975.0	16 ped/h 0.96 0.96 0.5	10607 pers/h 1.35 1.00 975.5
Cost (Total) Fuel Consumption (Total) Carbon Dioxide (Total) Hydrocarbons (Total) Carbon Monoxide (Total) NOx (Total)	10162.95 \$/h 811.6 L/h 1917.3 kg/h 0.212 kg/h 2.014 kg/h 2.624 kg/h	11.45 \$/h	10174.40 \$/h

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Intersection LOS value for Vehicles is based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Site Model Variability Index (Iterations 3 to N): 6.3 %

Number of Iterations: 3 (Maximum: 10)

Largest change in Lane Degrees of Saturation for the last three Main (Timing-Capacity) Iterations: 21.8% 7.6% 6.3%

Intersection Performance - Annual Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Demand Flows (Total)	3,137,611 veh/y	8,160 ped/y	3,773,294 pers/y
Delay	102,783 veh-h/y	145 ped-h/y	123,485 pers-h/y
Effective Stops	4,236,313 veh/y	7,813 ped/y	5,091,388 pers/y
Travel Distance	1,821,425 veh-km/y	293 ped-km/y	2,186,004 pers-km/y
Travel Time	131,090 veh-h/y	208 ped-h/y	157,516 pers-h/y
	-		
Cost	4,878,216 \$/y	5,494 \$/y	4,883,710 \$/y
Fuel Consumption	389,580 L/y		
Carbon Dioxide	920,312 kg/y		
Hydrocarbons	102 kg/y		
Carbon Monoxide	967 kg/y		
NOx	1,260 kg/y		

### Site: 101 [2018 Base 1 CDC-PM Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St]

15% Reduction on Silverwater Road

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 140 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ement l	Performanc	e - Vel	hicles								
Mov ID	Turn	Demand I Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h
South	: Silver	water Road (	south)									
10	L2	6	3.0	0.951	69.2	LOS E	78.3	562.0	1.00	1.12	1.24	6.8
11	T1	2760	3.0	0.951	63.2	LOS E	78.3	562.0	1.00	1.11	1.24	23.6
12	R2	255	0.0	1.012	147.7	LOS F	27.8	194.9	1.00	1.21	1.96	7.2
Appro	ach	3021	2.7	1.012	70.3	LOS E	78.3	562.0	1.00	1.12	1.30	21.3
East:	Carnary	on Street (ea	ast)									
1	L2	136	0.0	0.302	33.2	LOS C	5.6	39.2	0.86	0.77	0.86	20.6
2	T1	64	3.0	1.033	166.7	LOS F	20.7	148.8	1.00	1.53	2.20	5.5
3	R2	112	3.0	1.033	171.2	LOS F	20.7	148.8	1.00	1.53	2.20	11.7
Appro	ach	312	1.7	1.033	110.1	LOS F	20.7	148.8	0.94	1.20	1.62	11.6
North:	Silverv	vater Road (r	north)									
4	L2	64	3.0	1.041	169.8	LOS F	117.6	844.7	1.00	1.61	1.90	12.6
5	T1	2597	3.0	1.041	163.7	LOS F	117.6	844.7	1.00	1.63	1.90	11.4
6	R2	89	3.0	0.625	77.3	LOS F	6.2	44.6	1.00	0.79	1.04	19.2
Appro	ach	2750	3.0	1.041	161.0	LOS F	117.6	844.7	1.00	1.60	1.87	11.6
West:	Carnar	von Street (w	/est)									
7	L2	31	3.0	1.043	172.9	LOS F	26.6	191.3	1.00	1.41	2.23	8.9
8	T1	29	3.0	1.043	168.4	LOS F	26.6	191.3	1.00	1.41	2.23	4.9
9	R2	393	3.0	1.043	180.6	LOS F	27.3	196.2	1.00	1.45	2.24	3.5
Appro	ach	453	3.0	1.043	179.3	LOS F	27.3	196.2	1.00	1.45	2.23	4.0
All Ve	hicles	6537	2.8	1.043	117.9	LOS F	117.6	844.7	1.00	1.35	1.62	13.9

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians							
Mov	Description	Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow ped/h	Delay sec	Service	Pedestrian ped	Distance m	Queued	Stop Rate
P1	East Full Crossing	5	64.1	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96
P2	North Full Crossing	2	64.1	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96
P3	West Full Crossing	10	64.2	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96
All Peo	destrians	17	64.1	LOS F			0.96	0.96

### Site: 101 [2018 Base 2 Permissible Uses-AM Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St ]

New Site

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 140 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Intersection Performance - Hourly Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Travel Speed (Average) Travel Distance (Total) Travel Time (Total)	26.8 km/h 3459.6 veh-km/h 129.0 veh-h/h	1.4 km/h 0.6 ped-km/h 0.4 ped-h/h	26.7 km/h 4152.1 pers-km/h 155.2 pers-h/h
Demand Flows (Total) Percent Heavy Vehicles (Demand) Degree of Saturation Practical Spare Capacity Effective Intersection Capacity	5841 veh/h 5.5 % 0.933 -3.5 % 6261 veh/h	17 ped/h 0.018	7027 pers/h
Control Delay (Total) Control Delay (Average) Control Delay (Worst Lane) Control Delay (Worst Movement) Geometric Delay (Average) Stop-Line Delay (Average) Idling Time (Average)	77.94 veh-h/h 48.0 sec 92.3 sec 95.1 sec 1.0 sec 47.1 sec 41.9 sec	0.30 ped-h/h 64.1 sec 64.2 sec	93.83 pers-h/h 48.1 sec 95.1 sec
Intersection Level of Service (LOS)	LOS D	LOS F	
95% Back of Queue - Vehicles (Worst Lane) 95% Back of Queue - Distance (Worst Lane) Queue Storage Ratio (Worst Lane) Total Effective Stops Effective Stop Rate Proportion Queued Performance Index	66.3 veh 492.3 m 1.95 5595 veh/h 0.96 0.96 546.3	16 ped/h 0.96 0.96 0.5	6730 pers/h 0.96 0.96 546.9
Cost (Total) Fuel Consumption (Total) Carbon Dioxide (Total) Hydrocarbons (Total) Carbon Monoxide (Total) NOx (Total)	5080.56 \$/h 585.6 L/h 1390.2 kg/h 0.141 kg/h 1.609 kg/h 3.132 kg/h	11.44 \$/h	5092.00 \$/h

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Intersection LOS value for Vehicles is based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Site Model Variability Index (Iterations 3 to N): 0.0 %

Number of Iterations: 3 (Maximum: 10)

Largest change in Lane Degrees of Saturation for the last three Main (Timing-Capacity) Iterations: 31.1% 2.7% 0.0%

Intersection Performance - Annual Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Demand Flows (Total)	2,803,824 veh/y	8,160 ped/y	3,372,749 pers/y
Delay	37,410 veh-h/y	145 ped-h/y	45,037 pers-h/y
Effective Stops	2,685,475 veh/y	7,813 ped/y	3,230,384 pers/y
Travel Distance	1,660,604 veh-km/y	293 ped-km/y	1,993,018 pers-km/y
Travel Time	61,922 veh-h/y	208 ped-h/y	74,515 pers-h/y
Cost	2,438,669 \$/y	5,494 \$/y	2,444,162 \$/y
Fuel Consumption	281,092 L/y		
Carbon Dioxide	667,285 kg/y		
Hydrocarbons	68 kg/y		
Carbon Monoxide	772 kg/y		
NOx	1,503 kg/y		

### Site: 101 [2018 Base 2 Permissible Uses-AM Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St ]

New Site

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 140 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Movement Performance - Vehicles												
Mov ID	Turn	Demand I Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h
South	: Silverv	vater Road (s	south)									
10	L2	10	3.0	0.887	56.5	LOS E	48.3	352.4	1.00	1.00	1.11	7.8
11	T1	2035	5.0	0.887	50.6	LOS D	48.3	352.5	1.00	1.00	1.11	27.2
12	R2	80	3.0	0.880	89.9	LOS F	6.2	44.4	1.00	0.95	1.52	11.0
Appro	ach	2125	4.9	0.887	52.2	LOS D	48.3	352.5	1.00	1.00	1.13	26.3
East:	Carnarv	on Street (ea	ast)									
1	L2	112	3.0	0.507	47.2	LOS D	5.9	42.1	0.98	0.78	0.98	16.6
2	T1	62	3.0	0.933	90.6	LOS F	8.1	58.5	1.00	1.12	1.69	9.4
3	R2	38	3.0	0.933	95.1	LOS F	8.1	58.5	1.00	1.12	1.69	18.1
Appro	ach	212	3.0	0.933	68.5	LOS E	8.1	58.5	0.99	0.94	1.32	14.5
North:	Silverw	ater Road (r	north)									
4	L2	140	3.0	0.906	43.5	LOS D	66.3	489.4	0.96	0.96	1.04	33.2
5	T1	2672	7.0	0.906	37.5	LOS C	66.3	492.3	0.93	0.93	1.02	32.2
6	R2	324	3.0	0.805	66.5	LOS E	22.2	159.4	1.00	0.90	1.10	21.2
Appro	ach	3136	6.4	0.906	40.8	LOS C	66.3	492.3	0.94	0.93	1.03	30.7
West:	Carnar	von Street (w	/est)									
7	L2	66	3.0	0.851	71.5	LOS F	13.3	95.6	1.00	0.99	1.35	18.8
8	T1	70	3.0	0.851	66.9	LOS E	13.3	95.6	1.00	0.99	1.35	11.6
9	R2	232	3.0	0.851	77.3	LOS F	13.3	95.6	1.00	0.97	1.30	7.9
Appro	ach	368	3.0	0.851	74.3	LOS F	13.3	95.6	1.00	0.98	1.32	10.9
All Ve	hicles	5841	5.5	0.933	48.0	LOS D	66.3	492.3	0.96	0.96	1.09	26.8

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Movement Performance - Pedestrians									
Mov	Description	Demand	Demand Average Level of Average Back of Queue					Effective	
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	
		pea/n	Sec		pea	m			
P1	East Full Crossing	6	64.1	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96	
P2	North Full Crossing	2	64.1	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96	
P3	West Full Crossing	9	64.2	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96	
All Peo	destrians	17	64.1	LOS F			0.96	0.96	

### Site: 101 [2018 Base 2 Permissible Uses-PM Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St ]

15% Reduction on Silverwater Road

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 140 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Intersection Performance - Hourly Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Travel Speed (Average) Travel Distance (Total) Travel Time (Total)	8.6 km/h 3863.8 veh-km/h 451.4 veh-h/h	1.4 km/h 0.6 ped-km/h 0.4 ped-h/h	8.6 km/h 4637.2 pers-km/h 542.1 pers-h/h
Demand Flows (Total) Percent Heavy Vehicles (Demand) Degree of Saturation Practical Spare Capacity Effective Intersection Capacity	6698 veh/h 2.8 % 1.131 -20.4 % 5923 veh/h	17 ped/h 0.019	8055 pers/h
Control Delay (Total) Control Delay (Average) Control Delay (Worst Lane) Control Delay (Worst Movement) Geometric Delay (Average) Stop-Line Delay (Average)	387.14 veh-h/h 208.1 sec 324.1 sec 324.1 sec 1.0 sec 207.1 sec	0.30 ped-h/h 64.1 sec 64.2 sec	464.88 pers-h/h 207.8 sec 324.1 sec
Idling Time (Average) Intersection Level of Service (LOS)	199.2 sec LOS F	LOS F	
95% Back of Queue - Vehicles (Worst Lane) 95% Back of Queue - Distance (Worst Lane) Queue Storage Ratio (Worst Lane)	147.1 veh 1056.3 m 4.76		
Total Effective Stops Effective Stop Rate Proportion Queued Performance Index	11791 veh/h 1.76 1.00 1427.9	16 ped/h 0.96 0.96 0.5	14166 pers/h 1.76 1.00 1428.4
Cost (Total) Fuel Consumption (Total) Carbon Dioxide (Total) Hydrocarbons (Total) Carbon Monoxide (Total) NOx (Total)	16543.66 \$/h 1095.1 L/h 2585.7 kg/h 0.300 kg/h 2.473 kg/h 3.304 kg/h	11.45 \$/h	16555.10 \$/h

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Intersection LOS value for Vehicles is based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Site Model Variability Index (Iterations 3 to N): 0.0 %

Number of Iterations: 3 (Maximum: 10)

Largest change in Lane Degrees of Saturation for the last three Main (Timing-Capacity) Iterations: 38.3% 8.5% 0.0%

Intersection Performance - Annual Values									
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons						
Demand Flows (Total)	3,215,040 veh/y	8,160 ped/y	3,866,209 pers/y						
Delay	185,829 veh-h/y	145 ped-h/y	223,140 pers-h/y						
Effective Stops	5,659,849 veh/y	7,813 ped/y	6,799,632 pers/y						
Travel Distance	1,854,625 veh-km/y	293 ped-km/y	2,225,844 pers-km/y						
Travel Time	216,657 veh-h/y	208 ped-h/y	260,197 pers-h/y						
Cost	7,940,956 \$/y	5,494 \$/y	7,946,450 \$/y						
Fuel Consumption	525,625 L/y								
Carbon Dioxide	1,241,133 kg/y								
Hydrocarbons	144 kg/y								
Carbon Monoxide	1,187 kg/y								
NOx	1,586 kg/y								

### Site: 101 [2018 Base 2 Permissible Uses-PM Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St ]

15% Reduction on Silverwater Road

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 140 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Movement Performance - Vehicles												
Mov ID	Turn	Demand I Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h
South	: Silver	water Road (s	south)									
10	L2	6	3.0	1.038	165.2	LOS F	120.1	862.2	1.00	1.61	1.87	3.5
11	T1	2760	3.0	1.038	159.0	LOS F	120.1	862.2	1.00	1.61	1.87	11.7
12	R2	255	0.0	1.131	324.1	LOS F	45.0	315.3	1.00	1.59	2.94	3.4
Appro	ach	3021	2.7	1.131	173.0	LOS F	120.1	862.2	1.00	1.61	1.96	10.5
East:	Carnar	on Street (ea	ast)									
1	L2	136	0.0	0.320	32.7	LOS C	5.4	37.8	0.88	0.77	0.88	20.8
2	T1	77	3.0	1.108	279.6	LOS F	30.6	219.7	1.00	1.89	2.79	3.4
3	R2	112	3.0	1.108	284.1	LOS F	30.6	219.7	1.00	1.89	2.79	7.7
Appro	ach	325	1.7	1.108	177.8	LOS F	30.6	219.7	0.95	1.42	1.99	7.7
North:	Silverv	vater Road (r	north)									
4	L2	64	3.0	1.096	257.0	LOS F	147.1	1056.3	1.00	2.01	2.42	8.8
5	T1	2597	3.0	1.096	250.9	LOS F	147.1	1056.3	1.00	2.04	2.42	7.9
6	R2	146	3.0	0.937	97.6	LOS F	12.1	86.9	1.00	1.05	1.63	16.2
Appro	ach	2807	3.0	1.096	243.0	LOS F	147.1	1056.3	1.00	1.99	2.38	8.1
West:	Carnar	von Street (w	/est)									
7	L2	64	3.0	1.084	234.4	LOS F	39.5	283.9	1.00	1.58	2.53	7.1
8	T1	41	3.0	1.084	229.9	LOS F	39.5	283.9	1.00	1.58	2.53	3.8
9	R2	440	3.0	1.084	242.7	LOS F	39.5	283.9	1.00	1.63	2.54	2.7
Appro	ach	545	3.0	1.084	240.7	LOS F	39.5	283.9	1.00	1.62	2.54	3.3
All Vel	hicles	6698	2.8	1.131	208.1	LOS F	147.1	1056.3	1.00	1.76	2.18	8.6

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians									
Mov	Description	Demand	Demand Average Level of Average Back of Queue					Effective		
ID	Description	Flow ped/h	Delay sec	Service	Pedestrian ped	Distance m	Queued	Stop Rate		
P1	East Full Crossing	5	64.1	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
P2	North Full Crossing	2	64.1	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
P3	West Full Crossing	10	64.2	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
All Peo	destrians	17	64.1	LOS F			0.96	0.96		

### Site: 101 [Future DEV-AM Opt 1 2.7 FSR Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St ]

New Site

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 150 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles											
Mov ID	Turn	Demand I Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h
South	: Silver	water Road (	South)									
10	L2	10	3.0	0.846	49.6	LOS D	46.0	335.4	0.97	0.91	1.00	8.5
11	T1	2035	5.0	0.846	43.9	LOS D	46.1	336.2	0.97	0.91	1.00	29.6
12	R2	80	3.0	0.825	91.1	LOS F	6.4	46.0	1.00	0.89	1.33	10.9
Appro	ach	2125	4.9	0.846	45.7	LOS D	46.1	336.2	0.97	0.91	1.02	28.5
East:	Carnar	on Street (ea	ast)									
1	L2	112	3.0	0.513	52.0	LOS D	6.4	46.3	0.98	0.78	0.98	15.5
2	T1	51	3.0	0.892	89.5	LOS F	7.4	52.9	1.00	1.02	1.51	9.4
3	R2	38	3.0	0.892	94.1	LOS F	7.4	52.9	1.00	1.02	1.51	18.2
Appro	ach	201	3.0	0.892	69.5	LOS E	7.4	52.9	0.99	0.89	1.22	14.5
North:	Silverv	vater Road (r	north)									
4	L2	140	3.0	0.865	30.8	LOS C	57.8	426.8	0.90	0.85	0.90	36.4
5	T1	2672	7.0	0.865	25.5	LOS B	58.1	430.9	0.87	0.82	0.87	35.6
6	R2	274	3.0	0.646	61.6	LOS E	18.1	130.3	0.96	0.84	0.96	21.3
Appro	ach	3086	6.5	0.865	28.9	LOS C	58.1	430.9	0.88	0.82	0.88	33.8
West:	Carnar	von Street (w	/est)									
7	L2	45	3.0	0.796	73.8	LOS F	11.2	80.3	1.00	0.92	1.25	18.4
8	T1	60	3.0	0.796	69.2	LOS E	11.2	80.3	1.00	0.92	1.25	11.3
9	R2	195	3.0	0.796	79.6	LOS F	11.2	80.3	1.00	0.91	1.20	7.7
Appro	ach	300	3.0	0.796	76.7	LOS F	11.2	80.3	1.00	0.91	1.22	10.3
All Ve	hicles	5712	5.6	0.892	39.1	LOS C	58.1	430.9	0.92	0.86	0.96	29.2

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Movement Performance - Pedestrians									
Mov	Decoription	Demand	Demand Average Level of Average Back of Queue					Effective	
ID	Description	Flow pod/b	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	
		peu/n	Sec		peu	111			
P1	East Full Crossing	6	69.1	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96	
P2	North Full Crossing	2	69.1	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96	
P3	West Full Crossing	9	69.1	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96	
All Peo	destrians	17	69.1	LOS F			0.96	0.96	

### Site: 101 [Future DEV-AM Opt 1 2.7 FSR Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St ]

New Site

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 150 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Intersection Performance - Hourly Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Travel Speed (Average) Travel Distance (Total) Travel Time (Total)	29.2 km/h 3404.3 veh-km/h 116.6 veh-h/h	1.3 km/h 0.6 ped-km/h 0.5 ped-h/h	29.1 km/h 4085.7 pers-km/h 140.4 pers-h/h
Demand Flows (Total) Percent Heavy Vehicles (Demand) Degree of Saturation Practical Spare Capacity Effective Intersection Capacity	5712 veh/h 5.6 % 0.892 0.9 % 6405 veh/h	17 ped/h 0.019	6871 pers/h
Control Delay (Total) Control Delay (Average) Control Delay (Worst Lane) Control Delay (Worst Movement) Geometric Delay (Average) Stop-Line Delay (Average) Idling Time (Average)	62.03 veh-h/h 39.1 sec 91.5 sec 94.1 sec 0.8 sec 38.3 sec 33.8 sec	0.33 ped-h/h 69.1 sec 69.1 sec	74.76 pers-h/h 39.2 sec 94.1 sec
Intersection Level of Service (LOS)	LOS C	LOS F	
95% Back of Queue - Vehicles (Worst Lane) 95% Back of Queue - Distance (Worst Lane) Queue Storage Ratio (Worst Lane) Total Effective Stops Effective Stop Rate Proportion Queued Performance Index	58.1 veh 430.9 m 1.86 4908 veh/h 0.86 0.92 490.7	16 ped/h 0.96 0.96 0.5	5906 pers/h 0.86 0.92 491.3
Cost (Total) Fuel Consumption (Total) Carbon Dioxide (Total) Hydrocarbons (Total) Carbon Monoxide (Total) NOx (Total)	4422.59 \$/h 515.0 L/h 1223.1 kg/h 0.118 kg/h 1.330 kg/h 2.771 kg/h	12.07 \$/h	4434.66 \$/h

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Intersection LOS value for Vehicles is based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Site Model Variability Index (Iterations 3 to N): 1.3 %

Number of Iterations: 5 (Maximum: 10)

Largest change in Lane Degrees of Saturation for the last three Main (Timing-Capacity) Iterations: 2.0% 1.9% 0.0%

Intersection Performance - Annual Values									
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons						
Demand Flows (Total)	2,741,760 veh/y	8,160 ped/y	3,298,272 pers/y						
Delay	29,773 veh-h/y	157 ped-h/y	35,885 pers-h/y						
Effective Stops	2,355,996 veh/y	7,836 ped/y	2,835,031 pers/y						
Travel Distance	1,634,045 veh-km/y	293 ped-km/y	1,961,148 pers-km/y						
Travel Time	55,990 veh-h/y	219 ped-h/y	67,407 pers-h/y						
Cost	2,122,842 \$/y	5,792 \$/y	2,128,635 \$/y						
Fuel Consumption	247,212 L/y								
Carbon Dioxide	587,089 kg/y								
Hydrocarbons	56 kg/y								
Carbon Monoxide	639 kg/y								
NOx	1,330 kg/y								
# Site: 101 [Future DEV-PM Opt 1a-2.7 FSR Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St]

15% Reduction on Silverwater Road

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 145 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Intersection Performance - Hourly Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Travel Speed (Average) Travel Distance (Total) Travel Time (Total)	10.6 km/h 3839.5 veh-km/h 361.0 veh-h/h	1.4 km/h 0.6 ped-km/h 0.4 ped-h/h	10.6 km/h 4608.0 pers-km/h 433.6 pers-h/h
Demand Flows (Total) Percent Heavy Vehicles (Demand) Degree of Saturation Practical Spare Capacity Effective Intersection Capacity	6638 veh/h 2.8 % 1.106 -18.6 % 6001 veh/h	17 ped/h 0.020	7983 pers/h
Control Delay (Total) Control Delay (Average) Control Delay (Worst Lane) Control Delay (Worst Movement) Geometric Delay (Average) Stop-Line Delay (Average) Idling Time (Average)	299.52 veh-h/h 162.4 sec 285.9 sec 285.9 sec 0.9 sec 161.5 sec 154.8 sec	0.31 ped-h/h 66.6 sec 66.7 sec	359.74 pers-h/h 162.2 sec 285.9 sec
Intersection Level of Service (LOS)	LOS F	LOS F	
95% Back of Queue - Vehicles (Worst Lane) 95% Back of Queue - Distance (Worst Lane) Queue Storage Ratio (Worst Lane) Total Effective Stops Effective Stop Rate Proportion Queued Performance Index	133.4 veh 958.1 m 4.05 10100 veh/h 1.52 1.00 1219.6	16 ped/h 0.96 0.96 0.5	12137 pers/h 1.52 1.00 1220.2
Cost (Total) Fuel Consumption (Total) Carbon Dioxide (Total) Hydrocarbons (Total) Carbon Monoxide (Total) NOx (Total)	13319.67 \$/h 948.3 L/h 2239.6 kg/h 0.254 kg/h 2.232 kg/h 2.926 kg/h	11.76 \$/h	13331.43 \$/h

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Intersection LOS value for Vehicles is based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Site Model Variability Index (Iterations 3 to N): 7.9 %

Number of Iterations: 3 (Maximum: 10)

Largest change in Lane Degrees of Saturation for the last three Main (Timing-Capacity) Iterations: 26.1% 9.5% 7.9%

Intersection Performance - Annual Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Demand Flows (Total)	3,186,240 veh/y	8,160 ped/y	3,831,648 pers/y
Delay	143,771 veh-h/y	151 ped-h/y	172,676 pers-h/y
Effective Stops	4,848,092 veh/y	7,825 ped/y	5,825,534 pers/y
Travel Distance	1,842,940 veh-km/y	293 ped-km/y	2,211,822 pers-km/y
Travel Time	173,281 veh-h/y	214 ped-h/y	208,151 pers-h/y
Cost Fuel Consumption Carbon Dioxide	6,393,442 \$/y 455,185 L/y 1,075,004 kg/y	5,643 \$/y	6,399,085 \$/y
Hydrocarbons	122 kg/y		
	1,071 Kg/y		
NUX	1,404 Kg/y		

### Site: 101 [Future DEV-PM Opt 1a-2.7 FSR Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St]

15% Reduction on Silverwater Road

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 145 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles											
Mov ID	Turn	Demand I Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h
South	: Silverv	vater Road (s	south)									
10	L2	6	3.0	0.999	114.5	LOS F	102.3	734.5	1.00	1.33	1.51	4.7
11	T1	2760	3.0	0.999	108.3	LOS F	102.3	734.5	1.00	1.33	1.51	16.1
12	R2	255	0.0	1.106	285.9	LOS F	42.0	294.3	1.00	1.49	2.67	3.9
Appro	ach	3021	2.7	1.106	123.3	LOS F	102.3	734.5	1.00	1.34	1.60	14.0
East:	Carnarv	on Street (ea	ast)									
1	L2	136	0.0	0.312	33.9	LOS C	5.7	39.8	0.87	0.77	0.87	20.4
2	T1	74	3.0	1.081	239.6	LOS F	27.7	198.6	1.00	1.75	2.53	3.9
3	R2	112	3.0	1.081	244.2	LOS F	27.7	198.6	1.00	1.75	2.53	8.7
Appro	ach	322	1.7	1.081	154.3	LOS F	27.7	198.6	0.94	1.33	1.83	8.7
North:	Silverw	/ater Road (r	north)									
4	L2	64	3.0	1.066	209.1	LOS F	133.4	958.1	1.00	1.76	2.09	10.5
5	T1	2597	3.0	1.066	203.1	LOS F	133.4	958.1	1.00	1.78	2.09	9.5
6	R2	131	3.0	0.871	88.6	LOS F	10.3	73.9	1.00	0.94	1.38	17.4
Appro	ach	2792	3.0	1.066	197.8	LOS F	133.4	958.1	1.00	1.74	2.06	9.7
West:	Carnar	von Street (w	/est)									
7	L2	49	3.0	1.060	199.4	LOS F	33.3	239.3	1.00	1.46	2.30	8.0
8	T1	36	3.0	1.060	194.8	LOS F	33.3	239.3	1.00	1.46	2.30	4.3
9	R2	418	3.0	1.060	207.9	LOS F	33.3	239.3	1.00	1.50	2.31	3.1
Appro	ach	503	3.0	1.060	206.1	LOS F	33.3	239.3	1.00	1.49	2.31	3.7
All Ve	hicles	6638	2.8	1.106	162.4	LOS F	133.4	958.1	1.00	1.52	1.86	10.6

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Movement Performance - Pedestrians										
Mov	Description	Demand	Average	Level of	Average Bac	k of Queue	Prop.	Effective		
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate		
		ped/n	sec		ped	m				
P1	East Full Crossing	5	66.6	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
P2	North Full Crossing	2	66.6	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
P3	West Full Crossing	10	66.7	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
All Pe	destrians	17	66.6	LOS F			0.96	0.96		

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements. APPENDIX E

### APPENDIX E

Proposed Mitigation for the Intersection of Carnarvon Street and Silverwater Road.

This analysis is provided to demonstrate if mitigation is possible or required at the intersection of Carnarvon Street and Silverwater Road in order to improve the PM peak hour performance.

- 1. Development Scenario 1a 2018 DEV 1a: Inclusion of extra northbound lane kerbside along site frontage. This option shows that the provision of an additional lane does not change the Level of Service in the AM and PM peak periods. It does not provide any real benefits when used in isolation. Degree of Saturation change shows -0.05 which is minimal and small change in Average Delay of 52.6 seconds
- Development Scenario 1b DEV 2a with reduction in Heavy Vehicle volumes to Carnarvon Street to 2% and DEV 2b modelled using the cumulative growth to the year 2021. This mitigation shows that there is no change to the Level of Service in the AM and PM Peak Periods.
- 3. Development Scenario 1c DEV 3. Additional Slip Lane to East Approach Carnarvon Street Left hand turn. Problems associated with Land Acquisition.
- 4. Development Scenario 1d DEV 1a+DEV 3 combined mitigation modelled for PM Peak Hour Period only. Additional Slip Lane to East Approach Carnarvon Street Left hand turn and additional left land northbound in Silverwater Road

# Table 3.4eSIDRA ANALYSIS OF FUTURE DEVELOPMENT DEV 1aMITIGATION. ADDITIONAL LEFT LANE

No	Location	Sign/ Control	Peak Hour	Level of Service LoS	Degree of Saturation DoS	Average Delay Av	Critical Movement
Future DEV 1	Silverwater Road/ Carnarvon Street	S	АМ	С	0.892	39	East Approach Carnarvon Street RHT 94.1 secs
Future DEV 1	Silverwater Road/ Carnarvon Street	S	РМ	F	1.056	109.6	East Approach Carnarvon Street RHT 216.3 secs

Option DEV 1a has only a minimal effect by way of change in Degree of Saturation. The additional lane must be selected as left turn only in order to allow consistent through movement. **Figure 6A** shows the intersection diagram with the additional left lane across the site frontage which has been modelled. It provides little benefit to the network in isolation.

I

# Table 3.4fSIDRA ANALYSIS OF FUTURE DEVELOPMENT DEV 2a MITIGATION.<br/>REDUCTION IN HEAVY VEHICLE VOLUMES BY 1% IN CARNARVON<br/>STREET.

No	Location	Sign/ Control	Peak Hour	Level of Service LoS	Degree of Saturation DoS	Average Delay Av	Critical Movement
Future DEV 2a	Silverwater Road/ Carnarvon Street	S	AM	С	0.88	38.2	East Approach Carnarvon Street RHT 93.4s
Future DEV 2a	Silverwater Road/ Carnarvon Street	S	PM	F	1.082	165.1	East Approach Carnarvon Street RHT 257.2s

The change in heavy vehicle volumes only reduces the Degree of Saturation by a very minor amount 0.02.

# Table 3.4gSIDRA ANALYSIS OF FUTURE DEVELOPMENT DEV 2b MITIGATION.<br/>REDUCTION IN HEAVY VEHICLE VOLUMES BY 1% IN CARNARVON<br/>STREET +FUTURE TRAFFIC VOLUME GROWTH TO 2021

No	Location	Sign/ Control	Peak Hour	Level of Service LoS	Degree of Saturation DoS	Average Delay Av	Critical Movement
Future DEV 2b	Silverwater Road/ Carnarvon Street	S	AM	С	0.915	41.3	East Approach Carnarvon Street RHT 100.1s
Future DEV 2b	Silverwater Road/ Carnarvon Street	S	PM	F	1.121	190.8	East Approach Carnarvon Street RHT 302.8s

There is no change in LoS and a minor increase in Degree of Saturation and Average Delay in the AM and PM peak Hour.

П

# Table 3.4hSIDRA ANALYSIS OF FUTURE DEVELOPMENT DEV 3 MITIGATION.EAST APPROACH CARNARVON STREET SLIP LANE

No	Location	Sign/ Control	Peak Hour	Level of Service LoS	Degree of Saturation DoS	Average Delay Av	Critical Movement
Future DEV 3	Silverwater Road/ Carnarvon Street	S	AM	С	0.843	35.2	South Approach Silverwater Road RHT 86.4s
Future DEV 3	Silverwater Road/ Carnarvon Street	S	PM	F	1.086	103.3	East Approach Carnarvon Street RHT 302.8s

The mitigation for Option 3 does not change the Level of Service in the AM or PM peak hour periods. There is a small change in the Degree of Saturation for the AM of 0.049 and PM of 0.02. Refer to **Figure 6B**.

It is demonstration that the above mitigation methods do not alter the Level of Service at the intersection. This would be subject to further investigation by the RMS as it affects private property.

# Table 3.4iSIDRA ANALYSIS OF FUTURE DEVELOPMENT DEV 1A + DEV 3<br/>COMBINATION MITIGATION. LEFT LANE SILVERWATER ROAD<br/>PLUS SLIP LANE WEST APPROACH CARNARVON STREET

No	Location	Sign/ Control	Peak Hour	Level of Service LoS	Degree of Saturation DoS	Average Delay Av	Critical Movement
Future DEV 1a+3	Silverwater Road/ Carnarvon Street	S	РМ	E	1.003	62.3	East Approach Carnarvon Street RHT 145.5s

A future combined mitigation option will allow for future development growth along Silverwater Road which is not the result of the proposed development traffic. Only the PM was modelled in the analysis. Refer to **Figure 6C.** 

ш



DIAGRAM TAKEN FORM SIDRA NETWORK MODEL WHICH IS ONLY INDICATIVE AND NOT TO TRUE GEOMETRIC LAYOUT OF THE INTERSECTION.

FIGURE 6A MITIGATION DEVELOPMENT OPTION 1









DIAGRAM TAKEN FORM SIDRA NETWORK MODEL WHICH IS ONLY INDICATIVE AND NOT TO TRUE GEOMETRIC LAYOUT OF THE INTERSECTION.

FIGURE 6C MITIGATION DEVELOPMENT OPTION 1+3

### Site: 101 [Future Mitigation-AM DEV 1a-2.7 Opt 1 Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St ]

New Site

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 150 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles											
Mov ID	Turn	Demand F Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h
South	: Silverv	vater Road (s	south)									
10	L2	10	3.0	0.010	21.3	LOS B	0.3	2.2	0.46	0.65	0.46	20.3
11	T1	2035	5.0	0.845	43.9	LOS D	45.9	335.2	0.97	0.91	1.00	29.7
12	R2	80	3.0	0.825	91.1	LOS F	6.4	46.0	1.00	0.89	1.33	10.9
Appro	ach	2125	4.9	0.845	45.5	LOS D	45.9	335.2	0.97	0.90	1.01	28.6
East:	Carnarv	on Street (ea	ast)									
1	L2	112	3.0	0.513	52.0	LOS D	6.4	46.3	0.98	0.78	0.98	15.5
2	T1	51	3.0	0.892	89.5	LOS F	7.4	52.9	1.00	1.02	1.51	9.5
3	R2	38	3.0	0.892	94.1	LOS F	7.4	52.9	1.00	1.02	1.51	18.3
Appro	ach	201	3.0	0.892	69.5	LOS E	7.4	52.9	0.99	0.89	1.22	14.5
North:	Silverw	/ater Road (r	north)									
4	L2	140	3.0	0.865	30.8	LOS C	57.8	426.8	0.90	0.85	0.90	36.4
5	T1	2672	7.0	0.865	25.5	LOS B	58.1	430.9	0.87	0.82	0.87	35.6
6	R2	274	3.0	0.646	61.6	LOS E	18.1	130.3	0.96	0.84	0.96	21.3
Appro	ach	3086	6.5	0.865	28.9	LOS C	58.1	430.9	0.88	0.82	0.88	33.8
West:	Carnar	von Street (w	/est)									
7	L2	45	3.0	0.796	73.8	LOS F	11.2	80.3	1.00	0.92	1.25	18.5
8	T1	60	3.0	0.796	69.2	LOS E	11.2	80.3	1.00	0.92	1.25	11.4
9	R2	195	3.0	0.796	79.6	LOS F	11.2	80.3	1.00	0.91	1.20	7.8
Appro	ach	300	3.0	0.796	76.6	LOS F	11.2	80.3	1.00	0.91	1.22	10.4
All Ve	hicles	5712	5.6	0.892	39.0	LOS C	58.1	430.9	0.92	0.86	0.96	29.2

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians										
Mov	Decoription	Demand	Average	Level of	Average Bacl	k of Queue	Prop.	Effective			
ID	Description	Flow pod/b	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate			
		peu/ii	360		peu	111					
P1	East Full Crossing	6	69.1	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96			
P2	North Full Crossing	2	69.1	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96			
P3	West Full Crossing	9	69.1	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96			
All Peo	destrians	17	69.1	LOS F			0.96	0.96			

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

### Site: 101 [Future Mitigation-AM DEV 1a-2.7 Opt 1 Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St ]

New Site

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 150 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Intersection Performance - Hourly Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Travel Speed (Average) Travel Distance (Total) Travel Time (Total)	29.2 km/h 3405.2 veh-km/h 116.5 veh-h/h	1.3 km/h 0.6 ped-km/h 0.5 ped-h/h	29.1 km/h 4086.9 pers-km/h 140.3 pers-h/h
Demand Flows (Total) Percent Heavy Vehicles (Demand) Degree of Saturation Practical Spare Capacity Effective Intersection Capacity	5712 veh/h 5.6 % 0.892 0.9 % 6405 veh/h	17 ped/h 0.019	6871 pers/h
Control Delay (Total) Control Delay (Average) Control Delay (Worst Lane) Control Delay (Worst Movement) Geometric Delay (Average) Stop-Line Delay (Average) Idling Time (Average)	61.95 veh-h/h 39.0 sec 91.5 sec 94.1 sec 0.8 sec 38.2 sec 33.7 sec	0.33 ped-h/h 69.1 sec 69.1 sec	74.67 pers-h/h 39.1 sec 94.1 sec
Intersection Level of Service (LOS)	LOS C	LOS F	
95% Back of Queue - Vehicles (Worst Lane) 95% Back of Queue - Distance (Worst Lane) Queue Storage Ratio (Worst Lane) Total Effective Stops Effective Stop Rate Proportion Queued Performance Index	58.1 veh 430.9 m 1.85 4901 veh/h 0.86 0.92 434.3	16 ped/h 0.96 0.96 0.5	5897 pers/h 0.86 0.92 434.8
Cost (Total) Fuel Consumption (Total) Carbon Dioxide (Total) Hydrocarbons (Total) Carbon Monoxide (Total) NOx (Total)	4419.47 \$/h 514.7 L/h 1222.4 kg/h 0.117 kg/h 1.330 kg/h 2.769 kg/h	12.07 \$/h	4431.54 \$/h

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Intersection LOS value for Vehicles is based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Site Model Variability Index (Iterations 3 to N): 1.3 %

Number of Iterations: 5 (Maximum: 10)

Largest change in Lane Degrees of Saturation for the last three Main (Timing-Capacity) Iterations: 2.0% 1.9% 0.0%

Intersection Performance - Annual Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Demand Flows (Total)	2,741,760 veh/y	8,160 ped/y	3,298,272 pers/y
Delay	29,738 veh-h/y	157 ped-h/y	35,842 pers-h/y
Effective Stops	2,352,420 veh/y	7,836 ped/y	2,830,739 pers/y
Travel Distance	1,634,507 veh-km/y	293 ped-km/y	1,961,702 pers-km/y
Travel Time	55,921 veh-h/y	219 ped-h/y	67,324 pers-h/y
Cost	2,121,346 \$/y	5,792 \$/y	2,127,138 \$/y
Fuel Consumption	247,068 L/y		
Carbon Dioxide	586,747 kg/y		
Hydrocarbons	56 kg/y		
Carbon Monoxide	638 kg/y		
NOx	1,329 kg/y		

# Site: 101 [Future Mitigation-DEV1-PM Opt 1a-2.7 FSR Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St - MTE Mitigation Widening o]

#### 15% Reduction on Silverwater Road

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 160 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ement F	Performanc	:e - V <u>e</u> l	hicles								
Mov ID	Turn	Demand I Total veh/h	Flows HV %_	Deg. Satn v/ <u>c</u>	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance <u>m</u>	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/ <u>h</u>
South	: Silverw	ater Road (	south)									
10	L2	6	3.0	0.005	14.9	LOS B	0.1	1.1	0.34	0.63	0.34	24.9
11	T1	2760	3.0	0.929	53.5	LOS D	77.2	554.4	1.00	1.02	1.12	26.4
12	R2	255	0.0	1.046	201.7	LOS F	35.2	246.2	1.00	1.26	2.09	5.4
Appro	ach	3021	2.7	1.046	65.9	LOS E	77.2	554.4	1.00	1.04	1.20	22.3
East:	Carnarv	on Street (ea	ast)									
1	L2	136	0.0	0.293	36.8	LOS C	6.4	44.6	0.85	0.77	0.85	19.4
2	T1	74	3.0	1.056	211.8	LOS F	26.6	191.1	1.00	1.60	2.23	4.4
3	R2	112	3.0	1.056	216.3	LOS F	26.6	191.1	1.00	1.60	2.23	9.7
Appro	ach	322	1.7	1.056	139.5	LOS F	26.6	191.1	0.94	1.25	1.65	9.5
North:	Silverw	ater Road (r	north)									
4	L2	64	3.0	1.022	148.1	LOS F	117.8	845.5	1.00	1.41	1.63	14.2
5	T1	2597	3.0	1.022	142.4	LOS F	117.8	845.5	1.00	1.42	1.63	12.9
6	R2	131	3.0	0.961	117.9	LOS F	12.8	92.0	1.00	1.08	1.70	14.1
Appro	ach	2792	3.0	1.022	141.4	LOS F	117.8	845.5	1.00	1.41	1.63	13.0
West:	Carnary	on Street (w	vest)									
7	L2	49	3.0	0.324	49.7	LOS D	4.8	34.2	0.94	0.75	0.94	23.3
8	T1	36	3.0	0.324	45.2	LOS D	4.8	34.2	0.94	0.75	0.94	15.3
9	R2	418	3.0	1.046	202.1	LOS F	28.9	207.8	1.00	1.45	2.14	3.3
Appro	ach	503	3.0	1.046	176.0	LOS F	28.9	207.8	0.99	1.34	1.94	4.5
All Ve	hicles	6638	2.8	1.056	109.6	LOS F	117.8	845.5	1.00	1.23	1.46	14.8

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians									
Mov	Description	Demand	Average	Level of	Average Bac	k of Queue	Prop.	Effective		
ID	Description	Flow ped/h	Delay sec	Service	Pedestrian ped	Distance m	Queued	Stop Rate		
P1	East Full Crossing	5	74.1	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
P2	North Full Crossing	2	74.1	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
P3	West Full Crossing	10	74.1	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
All Pe	destrians	17	74.1	LOS F			0.96	0.96		

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)

Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

# Site: 101 [Future Mitigation-DEV1-PM Opt 1a-2.7 FSR Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St - MTE Mitigation Widening o]

#### 15% Reduction on Silverwater Road

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 160 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Intersection Performance - Hourly Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Travel Speed (Average) Travel Distance (Total) Travel Time (Total)	14.8 km/h 3859.1 veh-km/h 260.6 veh-h/h	1.3 km/h 0.6 ped-km/h 0.5 ped-h/h	14.8 km/h 4631.6 pers-km/h 313.2 pers-h/h
Demand Flows (Total) Percent Heavy Vehicles (Demand) Degree of Saturation Practical Spare Capacity Effective Intersection Capacity	6638 veh/h 2.8 % 1.056 -14.8 % 6283 veh/h	17 ped/h 0.022	7983 pers/h
Control Delay (Total) Control Delay (Average) Control Delay (Worst Lane) Control Delay (Worst Movement) Geometric Delay (Average) Stop-Line Delay (Average) Idling Time (Average)	202.02 veh-h/h 109.6 sec 214.5 sec 216.3 sec 0.9 sec 108.6 sec 102.7 sec	0.35 ped-h/h 74.1 sec 74.1 sec	242.77 pers-h/h 109.5 sec 216.3 sec
Intersection Level of Service (LOS)	LOS F	LOS F	
95% Back of Queue - Vehicles (Worst Lane) 95% Back of Queue - Distance (Worst Lane) Queue Storage Ratio (Worst Lane) Total Effective Stops Effective Stop Rate Proportion Queued Performance Index	117.8 veh 845.5 m 3.06 8143 veh/h 1.23 1.00 838.4	16 ped/h 0.96 0.96 0.6	9788 pers/h 1.23 1.00 839.0
Cost (Total) Fuel Consumption (Total) Carbon Dioxide (Total) Hydrocarbons (Total) Carbon Monoxide (Total) NOx (Total)	9684.50 \$/h 783.6 L/h 1851.3 kg/h 0.203 kg/h 1.976 kg/h 2.497 kg/h	12.88 \$/h	9697.37 \$/h

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Intersection LOS value for Vehicles is based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Site Model Variability Index (Iterations 3 to N): 0.0 %

Number of Iterations: 3 (Maximum: 10)

Largest change in Lane Degrees of Saturation for the last three Main (Timing-Capacity) Iterations: 4.2% 3.1% 0.0%

Intersection Performance - Annual Values									
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons						
Demand Flows (Total)	3,186,240 veh/y	8,160 ped/y	3,831,648 pers/y						
Delay	96,969 veh-h/y	168 ped-h/y	116,531 pers-h/y						
Effective Stops	3,908,846 veh/y	7,856 ped/y	4,698,471 pers/y						
Travel Distance	1,852,381 veh-km/y	309 ped-km/y	2,223,167 pers-km/y						
Travel Time	125,083 veh-h/y	234 ped-h/y	150,333 pers-h/y						
		· · · ·							
Cost	4,648,559 \$/y	6,181 \$/y	4,654,740 \$/y						
Fuel Consumption	376,150 L/y	-							
Carbon Dioxide	888,632 kg/y								
Hydrocarbons	98 kg/y								
Carbon Monoxide	949 kg/y								

# Site: 101 [Future Mitigation-HV DEV2b-AM Opt 1 2.7 FSR + Future Growth 2021 Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St ]

#### New Site

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 155 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles											
Mov ID	Turn	Demand I Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/ <u>c</u>	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance <u>m</u>	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/ <u>h</u>
South	: Silverw	ater Road (	South)									
10	L2	10	2.0	0.863	51.6	LOS D	50.7	370.1	0.98	0.93	1.02	8.3
11	T1	2134	5.0	0.863	45.8	LOS D	50.8	370.9	0.98	0.93	1.02	28.9
12	R2	80	2.0	0.847	95.1	LOS F	6.7	47.4	1.00	0.91	1.38	10.5
Appro	ach	2224	4.9	0.863	47.5	LOS D	50.8	370.9	0.98	0.93	1.03	27.9
East:	Carnarv	on Street (ea	ast)									
1	L2	112	2.0	0.527	54.6	LOS D	6.7	48.0	0.99	0.78	0.99	15.0
2	T1	51	2.0	0.915	95.5	LOS F	7.8	55.2	1.00	1.06	1.59	9.0
3	R2	38	2.0	0.915	100.1	LOS F	7.8	55.2	1.00	1.06	1.59	17.5
Appro	ach	201	2.0	0.915	73.6	LOS F	7.8	55.2	0.99	0.90	1.25	13.9
North:	Silverw	ater Road (r	north)									
4	L2	140	2.0	0.886	33.2	LOS C	65.5	483.4	0.92	0.88	0.93	35.2
5	T1	2802	7.0	0.886	28.0	LOS B	65.7	487.8	0.88	0.84	0.90	34.3
6	R2	274	2.0	0.644	63.5	LOS E	18.7	133.3	0.96	0.84	0.96	20.9
Appro	ach	3216	6.4	0.886	31.3	LOS C	65.7	487.8	0.89	0.84	0.91	32.6
West:	Carnary	on Street (w	vest)									
7	L2	45	2.0	0.817	77.6	LOS F	11.7	83.3	1.00	0.94	1.28	17.8
8	T1	60	2.0	0.817	73.0	LOS F	11.7	83.3	1.00	0.94	1.28	10.9
9	R2	195	2.0	0.817	83.5	LOS F	11.7	83.3	1.00	0.92	1.23	7.4
Appro	ach	300	2.0	0.817	80.5	LOS F	11.7	83.3	1.00	0.93	1.25	9.9
All Ve	hicles	5941	5.4	0.915	41.3	LOS C	65.7	487.8	0.93	0.88	0.98	28.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians									
Mov	Decorintion	Demand	Average	Level of Average Back of Queue			Prop.	Effective		
ID	Description	Flow ped/h	Delay sec	Service	Pedestrian ped	Distance m	Queued	Stop Rate		
P1	East Full Crossing	6	71.6	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
P2	North Full Crossing	2	71.6	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
P3	West Full Crossing	9	71.6	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
All Pe	destrians	17	71.6	LOS F			0.96	0.96		

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)

Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

# Site: 101 [Future Mitigation-HV DEV2b-AM Opt 1 2.7 FSR + Future Growth 2021 Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St ]

#### New Site

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 155 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Intersection Performance - Hourly Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Travel Speed (Average) Travel Distance (Total) Travel Time (Total)	28.4 km/h 3547.8 veh-km/h 125.0 veh-h/h	1.3 km/h 0.6 ped-km/h 0.5 ped-h/h	28.3 km/h 4258.0 pers-km/h 150.4 pers-h/h
Demand Flows (Total) Percent Heavy Vehicles (Demand) Degree of Saturation Practical Spare Capacity Effective Intersection Capacity	5941 veh/h 5.4 % 0.915 -1.7 % 6490 veh/h	17 ped/h 0.019	7146 pers/h
Control Delay (Total) Control Delay (Average) Control Delay (Worst Lane) Control Delay (Worst Movement) Geometric Delay (Average) Stop-Line Delay (Average) Idling Time (Average)	68.12 veh-h/h 41.3 sec 97.5 sec 100.1 sec 0.8 sec 40.5 sec 35.8 sec	0.34 ped-h/h 71.6 sec 71.6 sec	82.09 pers-h/h 41.4 sec 100.1 sec
Intersection Level of Service (LOS)	LOS C	LOS F	
95% Back of Queue - Vehicles (Worst Lane) 95% Back of Queue - Distance (Worst Lane) Queue Storage Ratio (Worst Lane) Total Effective Stops Effective Stop Rate Proportion Queued Performance Index	65.7 veh 487.8 m 2.05 5235 veh/h 0.88 0.93 535.0	16 ped/h 0.96 0.96 0.6	6298 pers/h 0.88 0.93 535.6
Cost (Total) Fuel Consumption (Total) Carbon Dioxide (Total) Hydrocarbons (Total) Carbon Monoxide (Total) NOx (Total)	4739.61 \$/h 542.5 L/h 1288.0 kg/h 0.124 kg/h 1.395 kg/h 2.877 kg/h	12.38 \$/h	4751.98 \$/h

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Intersection LOS value for Vehicles is based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Site Model Variability Index (Iterations 3 to N): 1.3 %

Number of Iterations: 5 (Maximum: 10)

Largest change in Lane Degrees of Saturation for the last three Main (Timing-Capacity) Iterations: 1.9% 1.8% 0.0%

Intersection Performance - Annual Values	Intersection Performance - Annual Values									
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons							
Demand Flows (Total) Delay Effective Stops Travel Distance	2,851,680 veh/y 32,699 veh-h/y 2,512,746 veh/y 1,702,954 veh-km/y	8,160 ped/y 162 ped-h/y 7,846 ped/y 293 ped-km/y	3,430,177 pers/y 39,401 pers-h/y 3,023,141 pers/y 2,043,838 pers-km/y							
Travel Time	59,988 veh-h/y	225 ped-h/y	72,211 pers-h/y							
Cost Fuel Consumption Carbon Dioxide Hydrocarbons Carbon Monoxide	2,275,011 \$/y 260,391 L/y 618,240 kg/y 60 kg/y 670 kg/y	5,942 \$/y	2,280,953 \$/y							

# Site: 101 [Future Mitigation-HV-DEV2a-AM Opt 1 2.7 FSR Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St - Copy]

#### New Site

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 150 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ement F	Performanc	e - Vel	hicles								
Mov ID	Turn	Demand I Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h
South	: Silverw	ater Road (	South)									
10	L2	10	2.0	0.833	47.3	LOS D	44.6	325.3	0.96	0.89	0.98	8.7
11	T1	2035	5.0	0.833	41.5	LOS C	44.7	326.3	0.96	0.89	0.98	30.6
12	R2	80	2.0	0.819	90.8	LOS F	6.4	45.5	1.00	0.89	1.32	10.9
Appro	bach	2125	4.9	0.833	43.4	LOS D	44.7	326.3	0.96	0.89	0.99	29.4
East:	Carnarv	on Street (ea	ast)									
1	L2	112	2.0	0.510	52.0	LOS D	6.4	45.9	0.98	0.78	0.98	15.5
2	T1	51	2.0	0.886	88.8	LOS F	7.3	52.2	1.00	1.01	1.49	9.5
3	R2	38	2.0	0.886	93.4	LOS F	7.3	52.2	1.00	1.01	1.49	18.3
Appro	bach	201	2.0	0.886	69.1	LOS E	7.3	52.2	0.99	0.88	1.21	14.6
North	: Silverw	ater Road (r	north)									
4	L2	140	2.0	0.864	30.7	LOS C	57.7	426.0	0.90	0.85	0.90	36.4
5	T1	2672	7.0	0.864	25.4	LOS B	58.0	430.2	0.86	0.81	0.87	35.6
6	R2	274	2.0	0.660	62.6	LOS E	18.3	130.2	0.97	0.84	0.97	21.1
Appro	bach	3086	6.3	0.864	29.0	LOS C	58.0	430.2	0.88	0.82	0.88	33.8
West	Carnar	on Street (w	vest)									
7	L2	45	2.0	0.790	73.5	LOS F	11.1	79.4	1.00	0.92	1.24	18.5
8	T1	60	2.0	0.790	68.9	LOS E	11.1	79.4	1.00	0.92	1.24	11.4
9	R2	195	2.0	0.790	79.3	LOS F	11.1	79.4	1.00	0.90	1.19	7.7
Appro	bach	300	2.0	0.790	76.4	LOS F	11.1	79.4	1.00	0.91	1.21	10.4
All Ve	hicles	5712	5.4	0.886	38.2	LOS C	58.0	430.2	0.92	0.85	0.95	29.5

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians									
Mov	Description	Demand	Average	Level of	Average Bac	k of Queue	Prop.	Effective		
U	Description	ped/h	Delay sec	Service	pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate		
P1	East Full Crossing	6	69.1	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
P2	North Full Crossing	2	69.1	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
P3	West Full Crossing	9	69.1	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
All Pe	destrians	17	69.1	LOS F			0.96	0.96		

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)

Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

# Site: 101 [Future Mitigation-HV-DEV2a-AM Opt 1 2.7 FSR Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St - Copy]

#### New Site

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 150 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Intersection Performance - Hourly Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Travel Speed (Average) Travel Distance (Total) Travel Time (Total)	29.5 km/h 3404.3 veh-km/h 115.3 veh-h/h	1.3 km/h 0.6 ped-km/h 0.5 ped-h/h	29.4 km/h 4085.7 pers-km/h 138.8 pers-h/h
Demand Flows (Total) Percent Heavy Vehicles (Demand) Degree of Saturation Practical Spare Capacity Effective Intersection Capacity	5712 veh/h 5.4 % 0.886 1.6 % 6448 veh/h	17 ped/h 0.019	6871 pers/h
Control Delay (Total) Control Delay (Average) Control Delay (Worst Lane) Control Delay (Worst Movement) Geometric Delay (Average) Stop-Line Delay (Average) Idling Time (Average)	60.67 veh-h/h 38.2 sec 90.8 sec 93.4 sec 0.8 sec 37.4 sec 33.0 sec	0.33 ped-h/h 69.1 sec 69.1 sec	73.13 pers-h/h 38.3 sec 93.4 sec
Intersection Level of Service (LOS)	LOS C	LOS F	
95% Back of Queue - Vehicles (Worst Lane) 95% Back of Queue - Distance (Worst Lane) Queue Storage Ratio (Worst Lane) Total Effective Stops Effective Stop Rate Proportion Queued Performance Index	58.0 veh 430.2 m 1.80 4859 veh/h 0.85 0.92 485.5	16 ped/h 0.96 0.96 0.5	5847 pers/h 0.85 0.92 486.1
Cost (Total) Fuel Consumption (Total) Carbon Dioxide (Total) Hydrocarbons (Total) Carbon Monoxide (Total) NOx (Total)	4363.30 \$/h 509.3 L/h 1209.2 kg/h 0.116 kg/h 1.316 kg/h 2.694 kg/h	12.07 \$/h	4375.37 \$/h

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Intersection LOS value for Vehicles is based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Site Model Variability Index (Iterations 3 to N): 1.0 %

Number of Iterations: 4 (Maximum: 10)

Largest change in Lane Degrees of Saturation for the last three Main (Timing-Capacity) Iterations: 4.4% 2.0% 0.0%

Intersection Performance - Annual Values									
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons						
Demand Flows (Total)	2,741,760 veh/y	8,160 ped/y	3,298,273 pers/y						
Delay	29,121 veh-h/y	157 ped-h/y	35,101 pers-h/y						
Effective Stops	2,332,112 veh/y	7,836 ped/y	2,806,370 pers/y						
Travel Distance	1,634,045 veh-km/y	293 ped-km/y	1,961,148 pers-km/y						
Travel Time	55,332 veh-h/y	219 ped-h/y	66,618 pers-h/y						
Cost	2,094,386 \$/y	5,792 \$/y	2,100,178 \$/y						
Fuel Consumption	244,447 L/y								
Carbon Dioxide	580,407 kg/y								
Hydrocarbons	56 kg/y								
Carbon Monoxide	632 kg/y								

# Site: 101 [Future Mitigation-HV-DEV2a-PM Opt 1a-2.7 FSR Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St ]

15% Reduction on Silverwater Road

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 165 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ement F	Performanc	ce - Ve	hicles								
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h
South	: Silverv	vater Road (	south)									
10	L2	6	2.0	0.994	113.2	LOS F	108.2	776.7	1.00	1.25	1.40	4.8
11	T1	2760	3.0	0.994	107.1	LOS F	108.2	776.7	1.00	1.25	1.40	16.2
12	R2	255	0.0	1.079	252.6	LOS F	40.6	284.5	1.00	1.34	2.29	4.3
Appro	ach	3021	2.7	1.079	119.4	LOS F	108.2	776.7	1.00	1.26	1.48	14.3
East:	Carnarv	on Street (ea	ast)									
1	L2	136	0.0	0.302	36.7	LOS C	6.3	44.1	0.86	0.77	0.86	19.4
2	T1	74	2.0	1.082	252.7	LOS F	29.9	212.6	1.00	1.69	2.38	3.8
3	R2	112	2.0	1.082	257.2	LOS F	29.9	212.6	1.00	1.69	2.38	8.3
Appro	ach	322	1.2	1.082	163.0	LOS F	29.9	212.6	0.94	1.30	1.74	8.3
North:	Silverw	ater Road (r	north)									
4	L2	64	2.0	1.067	217.4	LOS F	143.9	1032.7	1.00	1.67	1.97	10.2
5	T1	2597	3.0	1.067	211.6	LOS F	143.9	1032.7	1.00	1.69	1.97	9.1
6	R2	131	2.0	0.843	95.7	LOS F	11.4	80.8	1.00	0.90	1.27	16.4
Appro	ach	2792	2.9	1.067	206.3	LOS F	143.9	1032.7	1.00	1.65	1.94	9.3
West:	Carnary	von Street (v	vest)									
7	L2	49	2.0	1.056	203.7	LOS F	35.5	253.0	1.00	1.38	2.13	7.8
8	T1	36	2.0	1.056	199.2	LOS F	35.5	253.0	1.00	1.38	2.13	4.2
9	R2	418	2.0	1.056	213.2	LOS F	35.5	253.0	1.00	1.42	2.15	3.0
Appro	ach	503	2.0	1.056	211.3	LOS F	35.5	253.0	1.00	1.41	2.14	3.6
All Ve	hicles	6638	2.7	1.082	165.1	LOS F	143.9	1032.7	1.00	1.44	1.74	10.5

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians									
Mov	Description	Demand	Average	Level of	Average Bac	k of Queue	Prop.	Effective		
ID	Description	Flow ped/h	Delay sec	Service	Pedestrian ped	Distance m	Queued	Stop Rate		
P1	East Full Crossing	5	76.6	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
P2	North Full Crossing	2	76.6	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
P3	West Full Crossing	10	76.6	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
All Peo	destrians	17	76.6	LOS F			0.96	0.96		

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)

Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

# Site: 101 [Future Mitigation-HV-DEV2a-PM Opt 1a-2.7 FSR Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St ]

#### 15% Reduction on Silverwater Road

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 165 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Intersection Performance - Hourly Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Travel Speed (Average) Travel Distance (Total) Travel Time (Total)	10.5 km/h 3839.5 veh-km/h 366.3 veh-h/h	1.2 km/h 0.6 ped-km/h 0.5 ped-h/h	10.5 km/h 4608.0 pers-km/h 440.0 pers-h/h
Demand Flows (Total) Percent Heavy Vehicles (Demand) Degree of Saturation Practical Spare Capacity Effective Intersection Capacity	6638 veh/h 2.7 % 1.082 -16.8 % 6135 veh/h	17 ped/h 0.023	7983 pers/h
Control Delay (Total) Control Delay (Average) Control Delay (Worst Lane) Control Delay (Worst Movement) Geometric Delay (Average) Stop-Line Delay (Average) Idling Time (Average)	304.34 veh-h/h 165.1 sec 255.4 sec 257.2 sec 0.9 sec 164.1 sec 159.4 sec	0.36 ped-h/h 76.6 sec 76.6 sec	365.57 pers-h/h 164.9 sec 257.2 sec
Intersection Level of Service (LOS)	LOS F	LOS F	
95% Back of Queue - Vehicles (Worst Lane) 95% Back of Queue - Distance (Worst Lane) Queue Storage Ratio (Worst Lane) Total Effective Stops Effective Stop Rate Proportion Queued Performance Index	143.9 veh 1032.7 m 4.29 9545 veh/h 1.44 1.00 1275.2	16 ped/h 0.96 0.96 0.6	11470 pers/h 1.44 1.00 1275.7
Cost (Total) Fuel Consumption (Total) Carbon Dioxide (Total) Hydrocarbons (Total) Carbon Monoxide (Total) NOx (Total)	13516.26 \$/h 943.4 L/h 2227.7 kg/h 0.253 kg/h 2.212 kg/h 2.794 kg/h	13.00 \$/h	13529.26 \$/h

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Intersection LOS value for Vehicles is based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Site Model Variability Index (Iterations 3 to N): 6.5 %

Number of Iterations: 3 (Maximum: 10)

Largest change in Lane Degrees of Saturation for the last three Main (Timing-Capacity) Iterations: 19.7% 7.6% 6.5%

Intersection Performance - Annual Values								
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons					
Demand Flows (Total)	3,186,240 veh/y	8,160 ped/y	3,831,648 pers/y					
Delay Effective Stops	146,082 ven-n/y 4 581 387 veh/v	7 866 ped/v	5 505 532 pers/v					
Travel Distance	1,842,940 veh-km/y	293 ped-km/y	2,211,821 pers-km/y					
Travel Time	175,808 veh-h/y	236 ped-h/y	211,206 pers-h/y					
Cost Fuel Consumption	6,487,807 \$/y 452,850 L/y	6,241 \$/y	6,494,047 \$/y					
Hydrocarbons	121 kg/y							
Carbon Monoxide	1,062 kg/y							

# Site: 101 [Future Mitigation-HV-DEV2b-PM Opt 1a-2.7 FSR + Future Growth 2021 Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St]

#### 15% Reduction on Silverwater Road

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 135 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ement F	Performanc	ce - Vel	hicles								
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h
South	n: Silverv	vater Road (	south)									
10	L2	6	2.0	1.004	116.3	LOS F	105.4	756.5	1.00	1.39	1.58	4.7
11	T1	2895	3.0	1.004	110.1	LOS F	105.4	756.5	1.00	1.39	1.58	15.8
12	R2	255	0.0	1.090	255.7	LOS F	38.3	268.1	1.00	1.48	2.65	4.3
Appro	bach	3156	2.8	1.090	121.9	LOS F	105.4	756.5	1.00	1.39	1.66	14.1
East:	Carnarv	on Street (ea	ast)									
1	L2	136	0.0	0.319	32.6	LOS C	5.4	37.8	0.87	0.77	0.87	20.8
2	T1	74	2.0	1.121	298.3	LOS F	31.0	220.9	1.00	1.96	2.97	3.2
3	R2	112	2.0	1.121	302.8	LOS F	31.0	220.9	1.00	1.96	2.97	7.3
Appro	bach	322	1.2	1.121	187.7	LOS F	31.0	220.9	0.95	1.46	2.08	7.4
North	: Silverw	/ater Road (r	north)									
4	L2	64	2.0	1.105	269.7	LOS F	156.8	1125.0	1.00	2.12	2.55	8.4
5	T1	2724	3.0	1.105	263.5	LOS F	156.8	1125.0	1.00	2.15	2.56	7.5
6	R2	131	2.0	1.073	227.8	LOS F	18.0	127.9	1.00	1.43	2.63	8.0
Appro	bach	2919	2.9	1.105	262.1	LOS F	156.8	1125.0	1.00	2.12	2.56	7.6
West:	Carnar	von Street (v	vest)									
7	L2	49	2.0	1.067	204.8	LOS F	32.9	234.2	1.00	1.53	2.44	7.9
8	T1	36	2.0	1.067	200.2	LOS F	32.9	234.2	1.00	1.53	2.44	4.3
9	R2	418	2.0	1.067	212.5	LOS F	32.9	234.2	1.00	1.57	2.45	3.0
Appro	bach	503	2.0	1.067	210.9	LOS F	32.9	234.2	1.00	1.57	2.45	3.6
All Ve	hicles	6900	2.7	1.121	190.8	LOS F	156.8	1125.0	1.00	1.71	2.12	9.3

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians									
Mov	Description	Demand	Average	Level of	Average Bac	k of Queue	Prop.	Effective		
ID	Description	Flow ped/h	Delay sec	Service	Pedestrian ped	Distance m	Queued	Stop Rate		
P1	East Full Crossing	5	61.6	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
P2	North Full Crossing	2	61.6	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
P3	West Full Crossing	10	61.7	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
All Pe	destrians	17	61.7	LOS F			0.96	0.96		

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)

Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

# **Site:** 101 [Future Mitigation-HV-DEV2b-PM Opt 1a-2.7 FSR + Future Growth 2021 Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St]

#### 15% Reduction on Silverwater Road

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 135 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Intersection Performance - Hourly Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Travel Speed (Average) Travel Distance (Total) Travel Time (Total)	9.3 km/h 4003.7 veh-km/h 430.6 veh-h/h	1.4 km/h 0.6 ped-km/h 0.4 ped-h/h	9.3 km/h 4805.1 pers-km/h 517.2 pers-h/h
Demand Flows (Total) Percent Heavy Vehicles (Demand) Degree of Saturation Practical Spare Capacity Effective Intersection Capacity	6900 veh/h 2.7 % 1.121 -19.7 % 6157 veh/h	17 ped/h 0.019	8297 pers/h
Control Delay (Total) Control Delay (Average) Control Delay (Worst Lane) Control Delay (Worst Movement) Geometric Delay (Average) Stop-Line Delay (Average) Idling Time (Average)	365.61 veh-h/h 190.8 sec 301.0 sec 302.8 sec 0.9 sec 189.9 sec 181.6 sec	0.29 ped-h/h 61.7 sec 61.7 sec	439.02 pers-h/h 190.5 sec 302.8 sec
Intersection Level of Service (LOS)	LOS F	LOS F	
95% Back of Queue - Vehicles (Worst Lane) 95% Back of Queue - Distance (Worst Lane) Queue Storage Ratio (Worst Lane) Total Effective Stops Effective Stop Rate Proportion Queued Performance Index	156.8 veh 1125.0 m 4.18 11833 veh/h 1.71 1.00 1376.0	16 ped/h 0.96 0.96 0.5	14215 pers/h 1.71 1.00 1376.5
Cost (Total) Fuel Consumption (Total) Carbon Dioxide (Total) Hydrocarbons (Total) Carbon Monoxide (Total) NOx (Total)	15827.93 \$/h 1079.0 L/h 2547.7 kg/h 0.292 kg/h 2.461 kg/h 3.248 kg/h	11.13 \$/h	15839.06 \$/h

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Intersection LOS value for Vehicles is based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Site Model Variability Index (Iterations 3 to N): 0.0 %

Number of Iterations: 2 (Maximum: 10)

Largest change in Lane Degrees of Saturation for the last three Main (Timing-Capacity) Iterations: 0.3% 30.7% 0.1%

Intersection Performance - Annual Values									
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons						
Demand Flows (Total)	3,312,000 veh/y	8,160 ped/y	3,982,561 pers/y						
Effective Stops	5,679,672 veh/y	7,800 ped/y	6,823,407 pers/y						
Travel Distance	1,921,779 veh-km/y	293 ped-km/y	2,306,428 pers-km/y						
Travel Time	206,702 ven-n/y	202 ped-n/y	248,244 pers-n/y						
Cost Fuel Consumption	7,597,406 \$/y 517,942 L/y	5,344 \$/y	7,602,750 \$/y						
Carbon Dioxide Hydrocarbons	1,222,883 kg/y 140 kg/v								
Carbon Monoxide	1,181 kg/y								

# Site: 101 [Future Mitigation-DEV3-AM Opt 1a-2.7 FSR Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St - MTE Mitigation Slip Lane ]

#### 15% Reduction on Silverwater Road

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 145 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ement P	erformanc	e - Vel	hicles								
Mov ID	Turn	Demand I Total	Flows HV ∞	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles	of Queue Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed
South	: Silverw	ater Road (	south)	V/C	360	_	Ven		_	_	_	N11//11
10	L2	10	3.0	0.820	44.7	LOS D	42.2	303.3	0.95	0.87	0.96	9.1
11	T1	2035	3.0	0.820	38.9	LOS C	42.4	304.3	0.95	0.87	0.96	31.8
12	R2	80	0.0	0.781	86.4	LOS F	6.1	42.7	1.00	0.86	1.25	11.5
Appro	ach	2125	2.9	0.820	40.8	LOS C	42.4	304.3	0.95	0.87	0.97	30.6
East:	Carnarvo	on Street (ea	ast)									
1	L2	112	0.0	0.200	22.7	LOS B	4.0	28.2	0.59	0.69	0.59	26.0
2	T1	51	3.0	0.644	79.7	LOS F	3.8	27.6	1.00	0.79	1.11	10.6
3	R2	38	3.0	0.505	83.2	LOS F	2.8	20.3	1.00	0.73	1.00	19.3
Appro	ach	201	1.3	0.644	48.6	LOS D	4.0	28.2	0.77	0.72	0.80	18.7
North:	Silverw	ater Road (r	north)									
4	L2	140	3.0	0.843	30.0	LOS C	54.1	388.2	0.87	0.83	0.87	40.2
5	T1	2672	3.0	0.843	23.2	LOS B	54.6	391.9	0.84	0.79	0.84	40.6
6	R2	274	3.0	0.662	61.6	LOS E	17.7	127.1	0.97	0.84	0.97	22.3
Appro	ach	3086	3.0	0.843	26.9	LOS B	54.6	391.9	0.86	0.80	0.86	38.0
West:	Carnary	on Street (w	vest)									
7	L2	45	3.0	0.769	69.6	LOS E	10.7	76.5	1.00	0.90	1.20	19.1
8	T1	60	3.0	0.769	65.1	LOS E	10.7	76.5	1.00	0.90	1.20	11.9
9	R2	195	3.0	0.769	75.6	LOS F	10.7	76.5	1.00	0.89	1.16	8.0
Appro	ach	300	3.0	0.769	72.6	LOS F	10.7	76.5	1.00	0.89	1.18	10.8
All Ve	hicles	5712	2.9	0.843	35.2	LOS C	54.6	391.9	0.90	0.83	0.91	32.2

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians									
Mov	Description	Demand	Average	Level of	Average Bac	k of Queue	Prop.	Effective		
ID	Description	Flow ped/h	Delay sec	Service	Pedestrian ped	Distance m	Queued	Stop Rate		
P1	East Full Crossing	5	66.6	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
P2	North Full Crossing	2	66.6	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
P3	West Full Crossing	10	66.7	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96		
All Pe	destrians	17	66.6	LOS F			0.96	0.96		

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)

Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

# Site: 101 [Future Mitigation-DEV3-AM Opt 1a-2.7 FSR Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St - MTE Mitigation Slip Lane ]

#### 15% Reduction on Silverwater Road

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 145 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Intersection Performance - Hourly Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Travel Speed (Average) Travel Distance (Total) Travel Time (Total)	32.2 km/h 3420.9 veh-km/h 106.2 veh-h/h	1.4 km/h 0.6 ped-km/h 0.4 ped-h/h	32.1 km/h 4105.7 pers-km/h 127.8 pers-h/h
Demand Flows (Total) Percent Heavy Vehicles (Demand) Degree of Saturation Practical Spare Capacity Effective Intersection Capacity	5712 veh/h 2.9 % 0.843 6.8 % 6777 veh/h	17 ped/h 0.020	6871 pers/h
Control Delay (Total) Control Delay (Average) Control Delay (Worst Lane) Control Delay (Worst Movement) Geometric Delay (Average) Stop-Line Delay (Average) Idling Time (Average)	55.90 veh-h/h 35.2 sec 86.4 sec 86.4 sec 0.9 sec 34.4 sec 29.9 sec	0.31 ped-h/h 66.6 sec 66.7 sec	67.39 pers-h/h 35.3 sec 86.4 sec
Intersection Level of Service (LOS)	LOS C	LOS F	
95% Back of Queue - Vehicles (Worst Lane) 95% Back of Queue - Distance (Worst Lane) Queue Storage Ratio (Worst Lane) Total Effective Stops Effective Stop Rate Proportion Queued Performance Index	54.6 veh 391.9 m 1.68 4715 veh/h 0.83 0.90 439.0	16 ped/h 0.96 0.96 0.5	5674 pers/h 0.83 0.90 439.5
Cost (Total) Fuel Consumption (Total) Carbon Dioxide (Total) Hydrocarbons (Total) Carbon Monoxide (Total) NOx (Total)	4052.63 \$/h 478.0 L/h 1130.1 kg/h 0.114 kg/h 1.412 kg/h 1.659 kg/h	11.76 \$/h	4064.38 \$/h

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Intersection LOS value for Vehicles is based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Site Model Variability Index (Iterations 3 to N): 7.8 %

Number of Iterations: 5 (Maximum: 10)

Largest change in Lane Degrees of Saturation for the last three Main (Timing-Capacity) Iterations: 11.2% 1.2% 11.2%

Intersection Performance - Annual Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Demand Flows (Total)	2,741,760 veh/y	8,160 ped/y	3,298,272 pers/y
Delay	26,832 veh-h/y	151 ped-h/y	32,349 pers-h/y
Effective Stops	2,263,261 veh/y	7,825 ped/y	2,723,738 pers/y
Travel Distance	1,642,027 veh-km/y	293 ped-km/y	1,970,726 pers-km/y
Travel Time	50,961 veh-h/y	214 ped-h/y	61,367 pers-h/y
Cost	1,945,260 \$/y	5,643 \$/y	1,950,903 \$/y
Fuel Consumption	229,460 L/y		
Carbon Dioxide	542,424 kg/y		
Hydrocarbons	55 kg/y		
Carbon Monoxide	678 kg/y		

# Site: 101 [Future Mitigation-DEV3-PM Opt 1a-2.7 FSR Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St - MTE Mitigation Slip Lane ]

#### 15% Reduction on Silverwater Road

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 130 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Movement Performance - Vehicles												
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total veh/h	Flows HV %_	Deg. Satn v/ <u>c</u>	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance <u>m</u>	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/ <u>h</u>
South	: Silverv	ater Road (	south)									
10	L2	6	3.0	0.950	67.0	LOS E	74.2	532.8	1.00	1.13	1.26	7.1
11	T1	2760	3.0	0.950	61.0	LOS E	74.2	532.8	1.00	1.13	1.26	24.3
12	R2	255	0.0	1.050	191.5	LOS F	31.6	221.1	1.00	1.38	2.34	5.7
Appro	ach	3021	2.7	1.050	72.0	LOS F	74.2	532.8	1.00	1.15	1.35	21.0
East:	Carnarv	on Street (ea	ast)									
1	L2	136	0.0	0.776	64.5	LOS E	10.2	71.2	1.00	1.08	1.50	13.7
2	T1	74	3.0	1.086	238.5	LOS F	13.4	96.4	1.00	1.59	2.87	4.0
3	R2	112	3.0	1.086	243.4	LOS F	13.4	96.4	1.00	1.58	2.88	8.7
Appro	ach	322	1.7	1.086	166.7	LOS F	13.4	96.4	1.00	1.37	2.29	8.2
North:	: Silverw	ater Road (r	north)									
4	L2	64	3.0	1.017	134.1	LOS F	101.7	729.8	1.00	1.49	1.74	15.4
5	T1	2597	3.0	1.017	128.1	LOS F	101.7	729.8	1.00	1.51	1.74	14.1
6	R2	131	3.0	0.851	79.2	LOS F	9.2	65.9	1.00	0.93	1.35	18.8
Appro	ach	2792	3.0	1.017	125.9	LOS F	101.7	729.8	1.00	1.48	1.72	14.3
West:	Carnary	on Street (v	vest)									
7	L2	49	3.0	1.001	119.9	LOS F	24.1	172.7	1.00	1.30	1.93	12.0
8	T1	36	3.0	1.001	115.4	LOS F	24.1	172.7	1.00	1.30	1.93	6.8
9	R2	418	3.0	1.001	126.5	LOS F	24.1	172.7	1.00	1.32	1.95	4.9
Appro	ach	503	3.0	1.001	125.1	LOS F	24.1	172.7	1.00	1.32	1.95	5.9
All Ve	hicles	6638	2.8	1.086	103.3	LOS F	101.7	729.8	1.00	1.31	1.60	15.5

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Movement Performance - Pedestrians									
Mov	Description	Demand	Average	Level of	Average Bac	k of Queue	Prop.	Effective	
ID	Description	Flow ped/h	Delay sec	Service	Pedestrian ped	Distance m	Queued	Stop Rate	
P1	East Full Crossing	5	59.2	LOS E	0.0	0.0	0.95	0.95	
P2	North Full Crossing	2	59.1	LOS E	0.0	0.0	0.95	0.95	
P3	West Full Crossing	10	59.2	LOS E	0.0	0.0	0.95	0.95	
All Pe	destrians	17	59.2	LOS E			0.95	0.95	

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)

Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

# Site: 101 [Future Mitigation-DEV3-PM Opt 1a-2.7 FSR Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St - MTE Mitigation Slip Lane ]

#### 15% Reduction on Silverwater Road

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 130 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Intersection Performance - Hourly Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Travel Speed (Average) Travel Distance (Total) Travel Time (Total)	15.5 km/h 3858.9 veh-km/h 249.6 veh-h/h	1.5 km/h 0.6 ped-km/h 0.4 ped-h/h	15.4 km/h 4631.3 pers-km/h 299.9 pers-h/h
Demand Flows (Total) Percent Heavy Vehicles (Demand) Degree of Saturation Practical Spare Capacity Effective Intersection Capacity	6638 veh/h 2.8 % 1.086 -17.2 % 6110 veh/h	17 ped/h 0.018	7983 pers/h
Control Delay (Total) Control Delay (Average) Control Delay (Worst Lane) Control Delay (Worst Movement) Geometric Delay (Average) Stop-Line Delay (Average) Idling Time (Average)	190.49 veh-h/h 103.3 sec 243.5 sec 243.4 sec 0.9 sec 102.4 sec 95.1 sec	0.28 ped-h/h 59.2 sec 59.2 sec	228.86 pers-h/h 103.2 sec 243.4 sec
Intersection Level of Service (LOS)	LOS F	LOS E	
95% Back of Queue - Vehicles (Worst Lane) 95% Back of Queue - Distance (Worst Lane) Queue Storage Ratio (Worst Lane) Total Effective Stops Effective Stop Rate Proportion Queued Performance Index	101.7 veh 729.8 m 2.94 8715 veh/h 1.31 1.00 882.7	16 ped/h 0.95 0.95 0.5	10474 pers/h 1.31 1.00 883.2
Cost (Total) Fuel Consumption (Total) Carbon Dioxide (Total) Hydrocarbons (Total) Carbon Monoxide (Total) NOx (Total)	9299.75 \$/h 780.1 L/h 1842.9 kg/h 0.201 kg/h 1.968 kg/h 2.555 kg/h	10.82 \$/h	9310.57 \$/h

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Intersection LOS value for Vehicles is based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Site Model Variability Index (Iterations 3 to N): 0.0 %

Number of Iterations: 3 (Maximum: 10)

Largest change in Lane Degrees of Saturation for the last three Main (Timing-Capacity) Iterations: 40.1% 8.5% 0.0%

Intersection Performance - Annual Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Demand Flows (Total)	3,186,240 veh/y	8,160 ped/y	3,831,648 pers/y
Delay	91,433 veh-h/y	134 ped-h/y	109,854 pers-h/y
Effective Stops	4,183,213 veh/y	7,786 ped/y	5,027,642 pers/y
Travel Distance	1,852,267 veh-km/y	293 ped-km/y	2,223,014 pers-km/y
Travel Time	119,785 veh-h/y	197 ped-h/y	143,939 pers-h/y
Cost	4,463,878 \$/y	5,195 \$/y	4,469,073 \$/y
Fuel Consumption	374,449 L/y		
Carbon Dioxide	884,615 kg/y		
Hydrocarbons	96 kg/y		
Carbon Monoxide	945 kg/y		

# Site: 101 [Future DEV-PM Opt 1a-2.7 FSR Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St - MTE Mitigation combined]

#### 15% Reduction on Silverwater Road

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 160 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Intersection Performance - Hourly Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Travel Speed (Average) Travel Distance (Total) Travel Time (Total)	22.4 km/h 3860.9 veh-km/h 172.0 veh-h/h	1.3 km/h 0.6 ped-km/h 0.5 ped-h/h	22.4 km/h 4633.7 pers-km/h 206.9 pers-h/h
Demand Flows (Total) Percent Heavy Vehicles (Demand) Degree of Saturation Practical Spare Capacity Effective Intersection Capacity	6638 veh/h 2.8 % 1.003 -10.3 % 6619 veh/h	17 ped/h 0.022	7983 pers/h
Control Delay (Total) Control Delay (Average) Control Delay (Worst Lane) Control Delay (Worst Movement) Geometric Delay (Average)	114.99 veh-h/h 62.4 sec 145.7 sec 145.5 sec 0.9 sec	0.35 ped-h/h 74.1 sec 74.1 sec	138.34 pers-h/h 62.4 sec 145.5 sec
Stop-Line Delay (Average) Idling Time (Average) Intersection Level of Service (LOS)	61.4 sec 56.0 sec LOS E	LOS F	
95% Back of Queue - Vehicles (Worst Lane) 95% Back of Queue - Distance (Worst Lane) Queue Storage Ratio (Worst Lane) Total Effective Stops Effective Stop Rate Proportion Queued Performance Index	87.5 veh 627.9 m 2.40 6650 veh/h 1.00 0.96 592.0	16 ped/h 0.96 0.96 0.6	7996 pers/h 1.00 0.96 592.6
Cost (Total) Fuel Consumption (Total) Carbon Dioxide (Total) Hydrocarbons (Total) Carbon Monoxide (Total) NOx (Total)	6445.58 \$/h 639.8 L/h 1512.2 kg/h 0.159 kg/h 1.751 kg/h 2.143 kg/h	12.88 \$/h	6458.45 \$/h

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Intersection LOS value for Vehicles is based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Site Model Variability Index (Iterations 3 to N): 0.0 %

Number of Iterations: 3 (Maximum: 10)

Largest change in Lane Degrees of Saturation for the last three Main (Timing-Capacity) Iterations: 6.0% 3.7% 0.0%

Intersection Performance - Annual Values			
Performance Measure	Vehicles	Pedestrians	Persons
Demand Flows (Total)	3,186,240 veh/y	8,160 ped/y	3,831,648 pers/y
Delay	55,196 veh-h/y	168 ped-h/y	66,404 pers-h/y
Effective Stops	3,192,024 veh/y	7,856 ped/y	3,838,286 pers/y
Travel Distance	1,853,223 veh-km/y	309 ped-km/y	2,224,177 pers-km/y
Travel Time	82,555 veh-h/y	234 ped-h/y	99,300 pers-h/y
Cost	3,093,876 \$/y	6,181 \$/y	3,100,057 \$/y
Fuel Consumption	307,109 L/y		
Carbon Dioxide	725,835 kg/y		
Hydrocarbons	76 kg/y		
Carbon Monoxide	840 kg/y		

# **Site: 101** [Future DEV-PM Opt 1a-2.7 FSR Silverwater Rd and Carnarvon St - MTE Mitigation combined]

15% Reduction on Silverwater Road

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 160 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Movement Performance - Vehicles												
Mov ID	Turn	Demand I Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h
South	: Silverw	ater Road (	south)									
10	L2	6	3.0	0.005	12.4	LOS A	0.1	0.9	0.29	0.63	0.29	27.3
11	T1	2760	3.0	0.866	32.2	LOS C	60.5	434.4	0.93	0.87	0.93	35.1
12	R2	255	0.0	0.955	112.1	LOS F	25.1	175.9	1.00	1.05	1.53	9.3
Appro	ach	3021	2.7	0.955	38.9	LOS C	60.5	434.4	0.94	0.88	0.98	31.0
East:	Carnarv	on Street (ea	ast)									
1	L2	136	0.0	0.249	28.6	LOS C	6.0	41.8	0.64	0.72	0.64	23.1
2	T1	74	3.0	1.003	140.4	LOS F	10.4	74.8	1.00	1.27	2.00	6.6
3	R2	112	3.0	1.003	145.5	LOS F	10.4	74.8	1.00	1.27	2.01	13.3
Appro	ach	322	1.7	1.003	95.0	LOS F	10.4	74.8	0.85	1.04	1.43	13.0
North:	Silverw	ater Road (r	north)									
4	L2	64	3.0	0.959	79.3	LOS F	87.5	627.9	1.00	1.10	1.23	22.9
5	T1	2597	3.0	0.959	73.1	LOS F	87.5	627.9	1.00	1.11	1.23	21.5
6	R2	131	3.0	0.887	98.2	LOS F	11.4	82.0	1.00	0.96	1.40	16.2
Appro	ach	2792	3.0	0.959	74.4	LOS F	87.5	627.9	1.00	1.10	1.24	21.2
West:	Carnary	on Street (w	/est)									
7	L2	49	3.0	0.298	53.3	LOS D	5.0	36.3	0.93	0.75	0.93	22.4
8	T1	36	3.0	0.298	48.7	LOS D	5.0	36.3	0.93	0.75	0.93	14.5
9	R2	418	3.0	0.980	128.4	LOS F	22.2	159.3	1.00	1.22	1.72	5.1
Appro	ach	503	3.0	0.980	115.4	LOS F	22.2	159.3	0.99	1.14	1.58	6.7
All Ve	hicles	6638	2.8	1.003	62.4	LOS E	87.5	627.9	0.96	1.00	1.16	22.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Movement Performance - Pedestrians									
Mov	Description	Demand	Average	Level of	Average Bac	k of Queue	Prop.	Effective	
ID	Description	Flow ped/h	Delay sec	Service	Pedestrian ped	Distance m	Queued	Stop Rate	
P1	East Full Crossing	5	74.1	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96	
P2	North Full Crossing	2	74.1	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96	
P3	West Full Crossing	10	74.1	LOS F	0.0	0.0	0.96	0.96	
All Pe	destrians	17	74.1	LOS F			0.96	0.96	

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)

Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

APPENDIX F

### SILVERWATER ROAD



**GREY STREET** 

STREET

MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT

**1-17 GREY ST SILVERWATER** TYPICAL FLOOR PLAN SCALE 1:500 @ A4





MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT

1-17 GREY ST SILVERWATER LEVEL 1

SCALE 1:500 @ A4





# MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT

1-17 GREY ST SILVERWATER GROUND FLOOR SCALE 1:500 @ A4





# MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT

1-17 GREY ST SILVERWATER ELEVATIONS SCALE 1:500 @ A4



(SILVERWATER ROAD)

# MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT

1-17 GREY ST SILVERWATER ELEVATIONS SCALE 1:500 @ A4 APPENDIX G

### HILFOR PROJECT PTY LTD

TRANSPORT REPORT FOR PROPOSED REZONING, 32-48 SILVERWATER ROAD & 1-17 GREY STREET, SILVERWATER

MAY 2014

COLSTON BUDD HUNT & KAFES PTY LTD ACN 002 334 296 Level 18 Tower A Zenith Centre 821 Pacific Highway CHATSWOOD NSW 2067

 Telephone:
 (02)
 9411
 2411

 Facsimile:
 (02)
 9411
 2422

 Email:
 cbhk@cbhk.com.au

REF: 8973/5

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

١.	INTRODUCTION	I
2.	EXISTING CONDITIONS	5
3.	IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENTI	I

**APPENDIX - SIDRA OUTPUT SUMMARIES**
#### I. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Colston Budd Hunt and Kafes Pty Ltd has been commissioned by Hilfor Project Pty Ltd to prepare a report assessing the transport implications of a proposed rezoning at 32-48 Silverwater Road and 1-17 Grey Street at Silverwater. The site location is shown on Figure 1.
- 1.2 The site is occupied by a commercial building previously used by a dry cleaner, a café and residential dwellings. It is proposed to rezone the site to B2 local centre. A potential scale of development includes some 3,500m<sup>2</sup> retail, 500m<sup>2</sup> commercial plus 250 residential apartments.
- 1.3 The planning proposal was originally submitted in June 2013. A Council resolution of 4 December 2013 included the following:
  - That Council prepare a Planning Proposal in accordance with Section 55 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act), to amend Auburn Local Environmental Plan 2010 to:
    - a) Rezone land at 1-17 Grey Street and 32-48 Silverwater Road, Silverwater to B2 Local Centre;
    - b) Prior to sending to Gateway undertake and complete the following studies;
      - i) Revise the current Transport Study as per the RMS' and Council's Preliminary comments;
- 1.4 The Council and RMS preliminary comments referred to in the resolution are included in section 4.3.1 and appendix 12 of the Council report considered at the meeting of 20 November 2013, and are as follows:

Council's engineering and planning units have assessed the above study submitted by the applicant as part of this application, and have provided the following comments:

- The study should take into account that there are residential properties in Grey Street west of the subject site which would be directly affected by the planning proposal;
- The traffic signals at the intersection of Carnarvon Street and Silverwater Road need to be analysed to assess whether extension of right turn lanes in Carnarvon Street approaching west and Silverwater Road northern approach is required. Any extension would require RMS approval.
- Carnarvon Street currently experiences excessive traffic queue lengths during peak hours and the proposed mix use development would aggravate this;
- The peak hour traffic entering the intersection from the western approach of Carnarvon Street would increase by approximately 50% as a result of this planning proposal;
- The queue length of vehicles on Carnarvon Street would adversely affect the operation of the Grey and Carnarvon Street intersection;
- The study does not consider existing traffic impacts of the Silverwater Road-Parramatta Road intersection located 530 metres south, and the M4 Motorway access ramps located on Silverwater Road approximately 300 metres south of the subject site;
- The subject site is not well serviced by cycle routes (as shown in Figure 1 of this report) and is located away from current on road, off road and proposed cycle routes;
- The subject site is serviced by Sydney bus routes 540 and 544. The 544 route operates between Auburn Railway Station and Macquarie Shopping Centre, and route 540 operates between Auburn Railway Station and Newington Village. The two bus routes operate at 20 to 30 minute intervals from Monday to Friday during morning and afternoon peak times, and have limited (ie hourly) bus services throughout the day during weekdays and weekends. It takes approximately 15-20 minutes to travel from the subject site to Auburn Railway Station during peak times. The closest bus stops to the subject site are at Carnarvon/Stanley Street and Carnarvon/Vore Street approximately 2 to 10 minutes walking distance from the subject site (refer Figure 1);

 The M92 metro and Veolia bus routes operate between Parramatta Railway Station and Sutherland Railway Station and Bankstown Railway Station via Parramatta Road. The nearest bus stops to access these routes are located approximately 650 metres from the subject site, approximately 15 – 20 minutes walking distance away.

Reference is made to Council's correspondence dated 19 July 2013 and associated traffic models received on 26 August 2013 with regard to the abovementioned rezoning proposal, which was referred to Roads and Maritime Services (RMS) for comment.

RMS appreciates this opportunity and provides the following preliminary comments to Council to the traffic models:

Silverwater Road/Carnarvon Street intersection

- The maximum cycle time for the intersection is 130 seconds.
- The length of kerbside lane on Carnarvon Road West is incorrectly coded.
- The heavy vehicle percentage for all the movements in the existing weekday AM is 5%. However, it decreases to 3% in the weekday AM with the development.
- There is no additional traffic on Carnarvon Street West approach in the Weekday AM+Dev model compared to the traffic volume in the Weekday AM model. In addition, only total 60 additional vehicles are in the Weekday PM+Dev model compared to the total traffic volume in the model for Weekday PM, which is inconsistent with the estimated traffic generation in the Transport Report for the Proposed Mixed Use Rezoning, 32-34 & 38-46 Silverwater Road. In this regard, the impact of the additional traffic generated from the development is not correctly modelled at this intersection. The traffic volume input data in the models needs to be reviewed and revised.
- The adjacent signalised intersection of Silverwater Road and Fariola Street, north to the intersection is approximately 685 metre apart from this intersection which is larger than 500m. The arrival type for Silverwater Road North approach should be type 4-favourable.

Silverwater Road/Fariola Road intersection

- The maximum cycle time for the intersection is 130 seconds.
- The length of the right turn bays on Silverwater Road is incorrectly coded. The taper area of the right turn bays should not be included.
- The length of the kerbside lane on Fariola Street East approach is incorrectly coded. The adjacent signalised intersection of Silverwater Road and Carnarvon Road, south to the intersection is approximately 685 metre apart from this intersection which is larger than 500 m. The arrival type for Silverwater Road South approach should be type 4favourable.

As a result of the above, the SIDRA models should be revised and re-submitted to RMS for review.

I.5 In addition, Council officers, in a letter dated 9 January 2014, have provided the following comments in relation to part I(b)i) of the Council resolution:

Applicant to revise the current Transport Study (prepared by Colston Budd Hunt and Kafes Pty Ltd) as per Roads and Maritime Services' (RMS) comments included under Appendix 12 and Council's preliminary comments included under section 4.3.1 of Council's Planning Proposal Application Assessment.

- 1.6 This report assesses the transport implications of the potential scale of development through the following chapters:
  - Chapter 2 describing the existing conditions; and
  - Chapter 3 assessing the transport implications of the proposed development, including the matters raised by the authorities.

## 2. EXISTING CONDITIONS

#### Site Location and Road Network

- 2.1 The site is located at 32-48 Silverwater Road and 1-17 Grey Street at Silverwater, as shown on Figure 1. It occupies the entire block bounded by Silverwater Road, Carnarvon Street, Bligh Street and Grey Street. The site is occupied by a commercial building previously used by a dry cleaner, a café and residential dwellings. Vehicular access to the site is provided from all of the streets noted above.
- 2.2 Surrounding land use includes industrial and commercial development along Silverwater Road, and north of the site. There is residential development to the south and east. The M4 Motorway is south of the site. To the west are residential properties, industrial properties, open space and a church.
- 2.3 Silverwater Road is a major road which forms part of a north-south route connecting Hornsby, Pennant Hills and Carlingford in the north with Auburn, Bankstown and the southern suburbs of Sydney in the south. In the vicinity of the site it provides a six lane divided carriageway with three traffic lanes in each direction and a 70 kilometre per hour speed limit. Clearways operate in both directions during weekday peak periods. Major intersections are signalised with additional lanes for turning traffic.
- 2.4 Carnarvon Street intersects Silverwater Road at a signalised intersection, adjacent to the site, with all turns permitted. It provides for one traffic lane and one parking lane in each direction, clear of intersections. Carnarvon Street provides access to industrial development. There are bus stops west of the site.

- 2.5 Bligh Street connects to Silverwater Road, south of the site, at an unsignalised tintersection. Turns at the intersection are restricted to left in/left out by the median in Silverwater Road. Bligh Street provides access to industrial and residential development. It provides for one traffic lane and one parking lane in each direction, clear of intersections.
- 2.6 Grey Street connects Carnarvon Street with Bligh Street. Both intersections are unsignalised t-intersections, with all turns permitted. Grey Street provides access to industrial properties and the subject site. It provides for one traffic lane and one parking lane in each direction, clear of intersections, and has a three tonne load limit.

## Traffic Flows

- 2.7 Traffic generated by the proposed development will have its greatest effects during weekday morning and afternoon peak periods when it combines with commuter traffic on the surrounding road network. In order to gauge traffic conditions, counts were undertaken during weekday morning and afternoon peak periods at the following intersections:
  - Silverwater Road/Carnarvon Street;
  - Silverwater Road/Bligh Street;
  - o Grey Street/Carnarvon Street; and
  - Grey Street//Bligh Street.
- 2.8 The results of the surveys are shown in Figures 2 and 3, and summarised in Table2.1.

Road	Location	AM peak hour	PM peak hour
Silverwater Road	North of Carnarvon Street	3,835	3,220
	North of Bligh Street	4,400	3,840
	South of Bligh Street	4,470	3,865
Carnarvon Street	East of Silverwater Road	530	555
	West of Silverwater Road	525	615
	West of Grey Street	545	595
Bligh Street	West of Silverwater Road	80	45
	West of Grey Street	65	50
Grey Street	South of Carnarvon Street	40	20
	North of Bligh Street	65	15

2.9 Table 2.1 shows that Silverwater Road carried some 3,200 to 4,500 vehicles per hour two-way during the weekday morning and afternoon peak hours. Carnarvon Street carried lower flows of some 500 to 600 vehicles per hour two-way. Flows on Bligh Street and Grey Street were less than 100 vehicles per hour two-way.

#### Intersection Operations

- 2.10 The capacity of the road network is largely determined by the capacity of its intersections to cater for peak period traffic flows. The surveyed intersections shown in Figures 2 and 3 have been analysed using the SIDRA program.
- 2.11 SIDRA simulates the operations of intersections to provide a number of performance measures. The most useful measure provided is average delay per vehicle expressed in seconds per vehicle. Based on average delay per vehicle, SIDRA estimates the following levels of service (LOS):

ρ For traffic signals, the average delay per vehicle in seconds is calculated as delay/(all vehicles), for roundabouts the average delay per vehicle in seconds is selected for the movement with the highest average delay per vehicle, equivalent to the following LOS:

0 to 14	=	"A"	Good
l 5 to 28	=	"B"	Good with minimal delays and spare capacity
29 to 42	=	"C"	Satisfactory with spare capacity
43 to 56	=	"D"	Satisfactory but operating near capacity
57 to 70	=	"E"	At capacity and incidents will cause excessive
			delays. Roundabouts require other control mode.
>70	=	"F"	Unsatisfactory and requires additional capacity

ρ For give way and stop signs, the average delay per vehicle in seconds is selected from the movement with the highest average delay per vehicle, equivalent to following LOS:

0 to 14	=	"A"	Good
15 to 28	=	"B"	Acceptable delays and spare capacity
29 to 42	=	"C"	Satisfactory but accident study required
43 to 56	=	"D"	Near capacity and accident study required
57 to 70	=	"E"	At capacity and requires other control mode
>70	=	"F"	Unsatisfactory and requires other control mode

2.12 It should be noted that for roundabouts, give way and stop signs, in some circumstances, simply examining the highest individual average delay can be misleading. The size of the movement with the highest average delay per vehicle should also be taken into account. Thus, for example, an intersection where all

movements are operating at a level of service A, except one which is at level of service E, may not necessarily define the intersection level of service as E if that movement is very small. That is, longer delays to a small number of vehicles may not justify upgrading an intersection unless a safety issue was also involved.

- 2.13 The SIDRA analysis found that the signalised intersection of Silverwater Road with Carnarvon Street is operating with average delays of less than 50 seconds per vehicle during peak periods. This represents levels of service D, a satisfactory level of service for a busy intersection during peak periods.
- 2.14 At the intersection of Silverwater Road with Bligh Street, observations indicate that traffic turns from Bligh Street when gaps are created in the traffic stream by the upstream traffic signals on Silverwater Road.
- 2.15 The intersections of Grey Street with Carnarvon Street and Bligh Street are operating with average delays for the highest delayed movements of less than 15 seconds per vehicle during peak periods. This represents levels of service A/B, a good level of service.

#### Public Transport

- 2.16 The closest railway station to the site is Auburn, which is some 20 minutes walking distance. Auburn is on the Western (Emu Plains/Richmond to North Sydney via the City) and South (Macarthur to City via Granville) Lines.
- 2.17 Services through Auburn are every 30 minutes in each direction on the Western Lines and every 15 to 30 minutes in each direction on the South Line. During weekday peak periods, services are every 10 to 15 minutes in each direction.

- 2.18 Local bus services are provided by Sydney Buses. As previously discussed, there are bus stops on Carnarvon Street, west of the site.
- 2.19 Route 544 connects Auburn, Silverwater, Ermington, Eastwood, Deniston East, Macquarie University and Macquarie Centre. Services are every 60 minutes in each direction, Monday to Saturday, and every 15 to 30 minutes during weekday peak periods. Services include a link to Auburn railway station.
- 2.20 Route 540 operates along Carnarvon Street and Vore Street and connects Auburn and Newington. It provides a weekday peak period service.
- 2.21 There is a north-south cycle route west of site which connects Auburn with Sydney Olympic Park. This route connects to the wider cycle network within the LGA.
- 2.22 The draft Metropolitan Strategy for Sydney identifies the need for a future viable and frequent public transport service along the Parramatta Road corridor, in which the site is located.
- 2.23 The site is therefore accessible by existing public transport services, and close to future planned services along Parramatta Road.

#### 3. IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

- 3.1 It is proposed to rezone the site to B2 local centre. A potential scale of development includes some 3,500m<sup>2</sup> retail, 500m<sup>2</sup> commercial plus 250 residential apartments. Vehicular access is proposed from Grey Street.
- 3.2 Parking will be provided in accordance with appropriate Council and RMS controls at the time that a development application is made. This chapter assesses the transport implications of the proposed development through the following sections:
  - policy context;
  - public transport, walking and cycling;
  - travel access guide;
  - access, servicing and internal layout;
  - traffic generation and effects;
  - matters raised by authorities; and
  - □ summary.

#### Policy Context

- Metropolitan Transport Plan
- 3.3 The Metropolitan Transport Plan Connecting the City of Cities has four key policy objectives:
  - o commuting to work easily and quickly;

- transport and services accessible to all members of the community;
- o an efficient, integrated and customer focused public transport system; and
- revitalized neighbourhoods with improved transport hubs.
- 3.4 It includes a target of 28 per cent of trips to work in the Sydney Metropolitan Region to be undertaken by public transport by 2016, compared to some 22 per cent in 2006.
- 3.5 To help achieve these objectives, it identifies, in conjunction with the metropolitan strategy, key areas of future housing and employment growth in Sydney to 2020 and 2036. Additionally, it outlines a 10 year funding program to 2020 for the following transport projects:
  - rail line extensions for more platforms at CBD stations;
  - o rail lines to north west and south west Sydney;
  - o light rail in the CBD and further extension to the Inner West;
  - o more air conditioned train carriages;
  - I,000 additional buses;
  - o completion of the 43 strategic bus corridors across Sydney;
  - completion of the highest priority missing links in the Sydney Strategic
     Cycleway Network.
  - o NSW 2021
- 3.6 NSW 2021: A Plan to Make NSW Number One sets targets to increase the proportion of commuter trips made by public transport for various areas within Sydney by 2016, including:

- 80 per cent in the Sydney CBD;
- 50 per cent in the Parramatta CBD;
- 20 per cent in the Liverpool CBD; and
- 25 per cent in the Penrith CBD.
- 3.7 It also has targets to:
  - improve road safety and reduce fatalities to 4.3 per 100,000 population by 2016;
  - double the mode share of bicycle trips made in the metropolitan area by 2016; and
  - increase the proportion of the population living within 30 minutes by public transport of a city or major centre in the metropolitan area.
- 3.8 The following sections discuss how the proposed development satisfies these objectives and the measures proposed to achieve them.

#### Public Transport, Walking and Cycling

3.9 As previously discussed, the site is accessible by bus services which connect to surrounding areas including Auburn, Silverwater, Ermington, Newington, Eastwood, Deniston East, Macquarie University and Macquarie Centre. Services include a link to Auburn railway station. There are bicycle routes close to the site which connects to surrounding areas and the wider network within Auburn. The planned future provision of public transport along Parramatta Road will further improve the site's accessibility.

- 3.10 Existing public transport services will provide for people to access the development by public transport, walking and cycling, for residents, and for employees in the retail component. To support accessibility by bicycles, appropriate bicycle parking, in accordance with Council requirements, should be provided. Provision will be included for a bus stop on Silverwater Road, adjacent to the site.
- 3.11 The development will therefore satisfy the objectives of the Metropolitan Transport Plan and NSW 2021 as follows:
  - enabling commuters to readily access trains and buses close to the site (Metropolitan Transport Plan objective);
  - providing an appropriate level of on-site parking, with reference to appropriate Council and RMS requirements, to encourage public transport use and increase the proportion of trips by public transport (Metropolitan Transport Plan objective);
  - providing residential development close to employment centres in Silverwater and Sydney Olympic Park, to reduce the need for travel; and
  - improving pedestrian connectivity in the area by providing a through site pedestrian link between Grey Street and Silverwater Road.

#### Travel Access Guide

3.12 To encourage travel modes other than private vehicle, a travel demand management approach should be adopted, through a travel access guide to meet

the specific needs of future residents, employees and visitors. The specific requirements and needs of these groups should be incorporated in the travel access guide to support the objectives of encouraging the use of public transport.

- 3.13 The principles of the travel access guide, which should be developed as part of a future development application in consultation with Council, RMS, public transport providers and other stakeholders, would include the following:
  - encourage the use of public transport, including rail and bus services close to the site;
  - identify existing bus routes which stop near the site, including the location of bus stops and pedestrian crossings at signalised intersections;
  - work with public transport providers to improve services;
  - encourage public transport by residents and employees through the provision of information, maps and timetables in the travel access guide;
  - raise awareness of health benefits of walking and cycling (including maps showing walking and cycling routes);
  - encourage cycling by providing safe and secure bicycle parking, including the provision of lockers and rails;
  - provide appropriate on-site parking provision, consistent with appropriate
     Council/RMS controls and the objective of reducing traffic generation.

3.14 The travel access guide should be developed in accordance with the principles identified by Transport for NSW and RMS, and distributed with marketing material for the site. The travel access guide would assist in delivering sustainable transport objectives by considering the means available for reducing dependence solely on cars for travel purposes, encouraging the use of public transport and supporting the efficient and viable operation of public transport services.

#### Access, Servicing and Internal Layout

- 3.15 Vehicular access to the proposed development would be provided from Grey Street. Driveways will provide for two-way traffic, with all movements permitted, and should be provided with widths and grades in accordance with the Australian Standard for Parking Facilities (Part 1: Off-street car parking), AS 2890.1:2004. The driveways will include maximum grades of 1:20 for six metres inside the property line for appropriate visibility between pedestrians and exiting vehicles.
- 3.16 A shared zone will be provided, running east-west through the site, for cars and pedestrians. It will provide vehicular access to buildings within the development, from Grey Street. It will not provide a vehicular connection to Silverwater Road. Pedestrians will be able to walk through the site between Grey Street and Silverwater Road.
- 3.17 At the development application stage, the parking space dimensions, aisle widths, column locations and height clearances should be provided in accordance with AS 2890.1:2004.
- 3.18 Appropriate provision for service vehicles should be included within the development. Service vehicles will include garbage collection and deliveries to the

retail and commercial components. The design should provide for service vehicles to enter and exit the site in a forward direction, with service vehicle areas to be provided in accordance with AS 2890.2 – 2002. The size of trucks will depend on final retail tenancies, but will likely include rigid trucks and semi-trailers.

## Traffic Generation and Effects

- 3.19 Traffic generated by the proposed development will have its greatest effects during weekday morning and afternoon peak periods when it combines with commuter traffic. The RMS "Guide to Traffic Generating Developments" indicates that high density residential apartments in town centre locations close to public transport generate 0.29 vehicles per hour per dwelling, two-way, during peak hours. Medium density developments generate some 0.4 to 0.65 vehicles per hour two-way.
- 3.20 Based on the above, the proposed development would be likely to have a traffic generation of some 0.3 to 0.4 vehicles per hour per dwelling two-way at peak times. Therefore, traffic generation of the residential component would be some 75 to 100 vehicles per hour two-way at peak times.
- 3.21 The RMS guidelines suggest a traffic generation of some two vehicles per hour per 100m<sup>2</sup> for commercial development at peak times. Therefore, the commercial component would generate some 10 vehicles per hour two-way at peak times.
- 3.22 The RMS "Guide to Traffic Generating Developments" indicates that small retail developments generate some 12.3 vehicles per hour per 100m<sup>2</sup> two-way during weekday afternoon peak hours. During the weekday morning peak hour, the generation is significantly lower as trading is low and many shops are not open.

- 3.23 For the morning peak hour, we have assessed a generation of 20 per cent of the afternoon peak hour.
- 3.24 The retail component would therefore have a traffic generation of some 85 and 430 vehicles per hour two-way during morning and afternoon peak hours respectively. Total traffic generation would therefore be some 170 to 195 and 515 to 540 vehicles per hour two-way during morning and afternoon peak hours respectively.
- 3.25 The RMS guidelines indicate that some 25 per cent of retail traffic is passing trade (customers who would have driven past the site regardless of their visit to the site). Our assessment is based on 25 per cent of retail traffic being passing trade.
- 3.26 The additional traffic has been assigned to the road network. Existing traffic flows plus the additional development traffic are shown in Figures 2 and 3, and summarized in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1: Existi	ing two-way peak hour tra	ffic flows	plus developm	nent traff	ic
Road	Location	AM	peak hour	PM	peak hour
		Existing	Plus	Existing	Plus
			development		development
Silverwater Road	North of Carnarvon Street	3,835	+50	3,220	+130
	North of Bligh Street	4,400	+25	3,840	+65
	South of Bligh Street	4,470	+50	3,865	+130
Carnarvon Street	East of Silverwater Road	530	+20	555	+50
	West of Silverwater Road	525	+105	615	+315
	West of Grey Street	545	+20	595	+60
Bligh Street	West of Silverwater Road	80	+35	45	+135
	West of Grey Street	65	+20	50	+60
Grey Street	South of Carnarvon Street	40	+125	20	+375
	North of Bligh Street	65	+60	15	+130

- 3.27 Table 3.1 shows that traffic increases on Silverwater Road, Carnarvon Street, Bligh Street and Grey Street would be some 20 to 125 vehicles per hour two-way during morning peak hours and some 50 to 375 vehicles per hour two-way during afternoon peak hours.
- 3.28 The intersections previously analysed in Chapter 2 have been re-analysed with SIDRA for the additional development traffic flows shown in Figures 2 and 3.
- 3.29 The analysis found that the intersection of Silverwater Road with Carnarvon Street would operate with average delays of less than 50 seconds per vehicle during peak periods. This represents levels of service D, a satisfactory level of service for a busy intersection during peak periods.
- 3.30 The modest additional traffic turning from Bligh Street into Silverwater Road would not have significant implications on its operation. Traffic will continue to turn from Bligh Street when gaps are created in the traffic stream by the upstream traffic signals on Silverwater Road.
- 3.31 The intersections of Grey Street with Carnarvon Street and Bligh Street will continue to operate with average delays for the highest delayed movements of less than 15 seconds per vehicle during peak periods. This represents levels of service A/B, a good level of service.
- 3.32 Therefore, the road network will be able to cater for the additional traffic from the proposed development.

#### Matters Raised by Authorities

- o Council matters
- The study should take into account that there are residential properties in Grey Street west of the subject site which would be directly affected by the planning proposal;
- 3.33 As noted in Chapter 2, there is a variety of surrounding land uses, including industrial, residential, open space and a church. The site adjoins Silverwater Road which is an arterial road with a variety of industrial and other uses and fronts Carnarvon Street which serves the adjacent industrial area.
- 3.34 There are seven residential properties on the western side of Grey Street. As noted in our previous report, there would be additional traffic in Grey Street, from where vehicular access to the development would be provided. The intersections of Grey Street with Carnarvon Street and Bligh Street will operate at good levels of service with the additional development traffic.
  - The traffic signals at the intersection of Carnarvon Street and Silverwater Road need to be analysed to assess whether extension of right turn lanes in Carnarvon Street approaching west and Silverwater Road northern approach is required. Any extension would require RMS approval.
- 3.35 The SIDRA analysis indicates that with the additional development traffic, the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue length for vehicles turning right from Silverwater Road into Carnarvon Street would be some 66 and 108 metres during weekday morning and afternoon peak periods respectively. These queues will be readily accommodated in the existing right turn bay which is more than 170 metres long.

- Carnarvon Street currently experiences excessive traffic queue lengths during peak hours and the proposed mix use development would aggravate this;
- 3.36 The analysis indicates that with the additional development traffic, the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue length for vehicles turning right from Carnarvon Street into Silverwater Road would be some 74 and 113 metres during the morning and afternoon peak hours respectively. The morning queue length queue length would be accommodated between Silverwater Road and Grey Street. To accommodate the afternoon queue, the no parking restrictions on the northern side of Carnarvon Street could be extended to Stanley Street.
  - The peak hour traffic entering the intersection from the western approach of Carnarvon Street would increase by approximately 50% as a result of this planning proposal;
- 3.37 The SIDRA modelling includes the additional development traffic using the Carnarvon Street approach to the Silverwater Road intersection.
  - The queue length of vehicles on Carnarvon Street would adversely affect the operation of the Grey and Carnarvon Street intersection;
- 3.38 This matter relates to the ability for vehicles to turn right from Grey Street into Carnarvon Street, if the queue on Carnarvon Street from the Silverwater Road traffic signals extends to Grey Street. This could be addressed by implementing 'keep clear' controls at the intersection.
  - The study does not consider existing traffic impacts of the Silverwater Road-Parramatta Road intersection located 530 metres south, and the M4 Motorway access ramps located on Silverwater Road approximately 300 metres south of the subject site;

- 3.39 The study has considered the intersections closest to the site which would be most affected by traffic from the proposed development. The intersections of Silverwater Road with Parramatta Road and the M4 Motorway ramps are further from the site than those assessed in the traffic study and the effects of the development traffic on these intersections would be modest.
- 3.40 The additional traffic through the intersections of Silverwater Road/Parramatta Road and Silverwater Road/M4 ramps would be up to some 50 and 130 vehicles per hour two-way during weekday morning and afternoon peak hours respectively. We note that existing flows on Silverwater Road are some 4,500 vehicles per hour two-way and Parramatta Road and the M4 ramps carry in the order of up to 4,000 vehicles per hour two-way. The modest increases as a result of the proposed development would not have noticeable effects on the operations of these intersections.
  - The subject site is not well serviced by cycle routes (as shown in Figure 1 of this report) and is located away from current on road, off road and proposed cycle routes;
- 3.41 As noted in Chapter 2, there is a cycle route close to and west of the site which connects Auburn with Sydney Olympic Park. The route is less than 100 metres from the site, and connects to the wider cycle network within the LGA. The existing cycle network in the area will therefore be readily accessible to residents in the proposed development.
  - The subject site is serviced by Sydney bus routes 540 and 544. The 544 route operates between Auburn Railway Station and Macquarie Shopping Centre, and route 540 operates between Auburn Railway Station and Newington Village. The two bus routes operate at 20 to 30 minute intervals from Monday to Friday during morning and afternoon peak times, and have limited (ie hourly) bus services throughout the day

during weekdays and weekends. It takes approximately 15-20 minutes to travel from the subject site to Auburn Railway Station during peak times. The closest bus stops to the subject site are at Carnarvon/Stanley Street and Carnarvon/Vore Street approximately 2 to 10 minutes walking distance from the subject site (refer Figure 1);

- 3.42 These matters are noted. We note that the Carnarvon Street bus stops are some one to four minutes' walk from the site, based on a walking speed of 80 metres per minute.
  - The M92 metro and Veolia bus routes operate between Parramatta Railway Station and Sutherland Railway Station and Bankstown Railway Station via Parramatta Road. The nearest bus stops to access these routes are located approximately 650 metres from the subject site, approximately 15 – 20 minutes walking distance away.
- 3.43 These matters are noted. We note that a 650 metre walk would generally take around eight minutes, based on a walking speed of 80 metres per minute.
  - o RMS Matters

Silverwater Road/Carnarvon Street intersection

- The maximum cycle time for the intersection is 130 seconds.
- 3.44 We have not incorporated this amendment to the SIDRA model for the Silverwater Road/Carnarvon Street intersection, as the cycle time of 130 seconds identified by RMS is shorter than that measured by ourselves. We note that the operation of the intersection is relatively sensitive to small changes in the cycle time.

- The length of kerbside lane on Carnarvon Road West is incorrectly coded.
- 3.45 The Carnarvon Street west approach to the Silverwater Road intersection has been modified to include parking within 60 metres for the kerb side lane.
  - The heavy vehicle percentage for all the movements in the existing weekday AM is 5%. However, it decreases to 3% in the weekday AM with the development.
- 3.46 Heavy vehicle percentages have been amended to two per cent for all scenarios. The previous SIDRA file sent to RMS for this intersection was prepared in association with another project and inadvertently sent to RMS.
  - There is no additional traffic on Carnarvon Street West approach in the Weekday AM+Dev model compared to the traffic volume in the Weekday AM model. In addition, only total 60 additional vehicles are in the Weekday PM+Dev model compared to the total traffic volume in the model for Weekday PM, which is inconsistent with the estimated traffic generation in the Transport Report for the Proposed Mixed Use Rezoning, 32-34 & 38-46 Silverwater Road. In this regard, the impact of the additional traffic generated from the development is not correctly modelled at this intersection. The traffic volume input data in the models needs to be reviewed and revised.
- 3.47 The additional traffic from the proposed development is included in the amended SIDRA file.
  - The adjacent signalised intersection of Silverwater Road and Fariola Street, north to the intersection is approximately 685 metre apart from this intersection which is larger than 500m. The arrival type for Silverwater Road North approach should be type 4-favourable.

3.48 The approach distance for southbound traffic on Silverwater Road has been amended to 685 metres. The arrival type for this approach has been amended to type 4 favourable.

#### Silverwater Road/Fariola Road intersection

- The maximum cycle time for the intersection is 130 seconds.
- The length of the right turn bays on Silverwater Road is incorrectly coded. The taper area of the right turn bays should not be included.
- The length of the kerbside lane on Fariola Street East approach is incorrectly coded. The adjacent signalised intersection of Silverwater Road and Carnarvon Road, south to the intersection is approximately 685 metre apart from this intersection which is larger than 500 m. The arrival type for Silverwater Road South approach should be type 4favourable.
- 3.49 The intersection of Silverwater Road with Fariola Street was not counted in association with the project and the file for this intersection was inadvertently sent to RMS.

As a result of the above, the SIDRA models should be revised and re-submitted to RMS for review.

- 3.50 With the amended parameters, we have re-run the SIDRA model for Silverwater Road/Carnarvon Street. Copies of the output summaries are attached in the appendix.
- 3.51 With the development traffic, the intersection would operate at LOS D which is a satisfactory level of service.

- 3.52 Importantly, the additional development traffic would not have significant effects on the operation of the intersection. This is due, at least in part, to the fact that traffic from the residential component would be in the counter-peak direction (outbound in the morning and inbound in the afternoon), compared to the generally industrial traffic in the precinct which is inbound in the morning and outbound during the afternoon.
- 3.53 Therefore, the road network will be able to cater for the additional traffic from the proposed development.

#### Summary

- 3.54 In summary, the main points relating to the transport implications of the proposed mixed use residential development are as follows:
  - i) the proposed development will be accessible by public transport;
  - ii) access, servicing and internal layout are considered appropriate;
  - iii) the road network will be able to cater for the additional traffic from the proposed development; and
  - iv) matters raised by the authorities are discussed in paragraphs 3.33 to 3.53.



## Location Plan



8 - Traffic Signals

# Existing weekday morning peak hour traffic flows plus development traffic



8 - Traffic Signals

Existing weekday afternoon peak hour traffic flows plus development traffic

APPENDIX

## APPENDIX

## SIDRA OUTPUT SUMMARIES

Signals - Fixed Time Cycle Time = 150 seconds (Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

Moven	nent Per	formance - \	/ehicles	1200							-
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Flow veh/h	HV %	Deg Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Average Speed km/h
South: S	Silverwat	er Road south									
1	L	185	2.0	0.978	63.1	LOS E	60.5	430.9	1.00	1.06	23.4
2	Т	1925	2.0	0.979	54.8	LOS D	60.5	431.1	1.00	1.07	25.1
3	R	260	2.0	0.968	89.8	LOS F	23.0	163.6	1.00	0.96	17.3
Approa	ch	2370	2.0	0.979	59.3	LOS E	60.5	431.1	1.00	1.06	23.9
East: Ca	arnarvon	Street east									
4	L	120	2.0	0.189	44.0	LOS D	7.7	54.9	0.74	0.77	26.1
5	Т	35	2.0	0.246	56.8	LOS E	7.0	49.5	0.90	0.71	20.2
6	R	50	2.0	0.246	64.4	LOS E	7.0	49.5	0.90	0.78	21.5
Approad	ch	205	2.0	0.246	51.2	LOS D	7.7	54.9	0.81	0.76	23.8
North: S	liverwate	er Road north									
7	L	10	2.0	0.805	67.0	LOS E	45.2	322.0	0.91	0.97	22.6
8	Т	1755	2.0	0.819	48.0	LOS D	45.2	322.0	0.91	0.84	27.4
9	R	85	2.0	0.317	71.0	LOS F	7.0	49.9	0.90	0.77	20.5
Approad	:h	1850	2.0	0.819	49.1	LOS D	45.2	322.0	0.91	0.83	27.0
West: C	arnarvon	Street west									
10	L	10	2.0	0.411	42.8	LOS D	6.1	43.2	0.91	0.77	26.9
11	Т	55	2.0	0.411	35.1	LOS C	6.1	43.2	0.91	0.71	25.7
12	R	155	2.0	0.411	63.2	LOS E	9.7	69.1	0.94	0.79	21.6
Approac	h	220	2.0	0.411	55.3	LOS D	9.7	69,1	0.93	0.77	22.6
All Vehic	cles	4645	2.0	0.979	54.7	LOS D	60.5	431.1	0.95	0.94	25.0

Level of Service (Aver. Int. Delay): LOS D. Based on average delay for all vehicle movements. LOS Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS F. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW). Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Moven	nent Performance	Pedestrians					-	
Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow ped/h	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	Average Back o Pedestrian ped	of Queue Distance m	Prop Queued	Effective Stop Rate per ped
P1	Across S approach	53	69.1	LOS F	0.2	0.2	0.96	0.96
P3	Across E approach	53	34.0	LOS D	0.2	0.2	0.67	0.67
P5	Across N approach	53	69.1	LOS F	0.2	0.2	0.96	0.96
P7	Across W approach	53	34.0	LOS D	0.2	0.2	0.67	0.67
All Pede	estrians	212	51.6				0.82	0.82

Level of Service (Aver. Int. Delay): LOS E. Based on average delay for all pedestrian movements. LOS Method: Delay (HCM). Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS F. LOS Method for individual pedestrian movements: Delay (HCM).

Processed: Thursday, 20 February 2014 2:59:15 PM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510 Project: G:\Traffic\SIDRA 5.0\8973 Silverwater Mixed Use\Silverwater Road & Carnarvon Street.sip 8000030, COLSTON BUDD HUNT & KAFES PTY LTD, SINGLE

Silverwater Road & Carnarvon Street

Existing afternoon peak hour

Signals - Fixed Time Cycle Time = 128 seconds (Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

Movem	ient Per	formance - \	Vehicles					-			-
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Flow veh/h	HV %	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles	of Queue Distance	Prop. Queved	Effective Stop Rate	Average Speed
South: S	Silverwate	er Road south			000	-	Ven	- Lin	-	perven	Km/n
1	L	80	2.0	0.788	36.0	LOS C	25.7	183.0	0.84	0.96	33.6
2	Т	1450	2.0	0.789	27.8	LOS B	26.0	184.8	0.84	0.76	35.9
3	R	150	2.0	0.807	69.1	LOS E	11.3	80.3	1.00	0.85	20.9
Approac	:h	1680	2.0	0.807	31.9	LOS C	26.0	184.8	0.86	0.78	33.8
East: Ca	rnarvon	Street east									
4	L	250	2.0	0.334	43.9	LOS D	11.2	79.7	0.81	0.80	26.2
5	т	60	2.0	0.334	46.3	LOS D	9.1	65.0	0.89	0.72	22.5
6	R	35	2.0	0.334	53.8	LOS D	9.1	65.0	0.89	0.80	23.8
Approac	h	345	2.0	0.334	45.3	LOS D	11.2	79.7	0.83	0.79	25.3
North: S	ilverwate	r Road north									
7	L	20	2.0	0.834	63.4	LOS E	31.3	222.7	0.94	0.97	23.6
8	Т	1595	2.0	0.833	47.1	LOS D	31.4	223.4	0.94	0.89	27.6
9	R	100	2.0	0.538	69.7	LOS E	7.7	54.8	0.98	0.78	20.8
Approac	h	1715	2.0	0.833	48.6	LOS D	31.4	223.4	0.95	0.88	27.1
West: Ca	arnarvon	Street west									
10	L	20	2.0	0.548	32.5	LOSC	8.1	57.5	0.89	0.80	30.4
11	т	40	2.0	0.547	24.8	LOS B	8.1	57.5	0.89	0.73	29.2
12	R	315	2.0	0.547	48.2	LOS D	12.4	88.0	0.93	0.81	25.1
Approact	n	375	2.0	0.547	44.8	LOS D	12.4	88.0	0.93	0.80	25.7
All Vehic	es	4115	2.0	0.833	41.2	LOS C	31.4	223.4	0.90	0.82	29.1

Level of Service (Aver. Int. Delay): LOS C. Based on average delay for all vehicle movements. LOS Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS E. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW). Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Mover	nent Performance	- Pedestrian	s	-				
Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow ped/h	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	Average Back Pedestrian ped	of Queue Distance m	Prop Queued	Effective Stop Rate
P1	Across S approach	53	58.1	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.95	0.95
P3	Across E approach	53	33.1	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.72	0.72
P5	Across N approach	53	58.1	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.95	0.95
P7	Across W approach	53	33.1	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.72	0.72
All Pede	estrians	212	45.6				0.84	0.84

Level of Service (Aver. Int. Delay): LOS E. Based on average delay for all pedestrian movements. LOS Method: Delay (HCM). Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS E. LOS Method for individual pedestrian movements: Delay (HCM).

 Processed: Thursday, 20 February 2014 2:59:08 PM
 Copyright © 2000-2010 Akcelik & Associates Pty Ltd

 SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510
 www.sidrasolutions.com

 Project: G:\Traffic\SIDRA 5.0\8973 Silverwater Mixed Use\Silverwater Road & Carnarvon Street.sip
 SIDRA

 8000030, COLSTON BUDD HUNT & KAFES PTY LTD, SINGLE
 SIDRA

Silverwater Road & Carnarvon Street

Existing morning peak hour + development

Signals - Fixed Time Cycle Time = 150 seconds (Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

Movem	ent Per	formance - \	/ehicles	-					1		
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Flow veh/h	HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Average Speed km/h
South: S	Silverwat	er Road south					Tom		-	perven	KIIII
1	L	185	2.0	0.978	63.1	LOS E	60.5	430.9	1.00	1.06	23.4
2	Т	1925	2.0	0.979	54.8	LOS D	60.5	431.1	1.00	1.07	25.1
3	R	260	2.0	0.968	89.8	LOS F	23.0	163.6	1.00	0.96	17.3
Approac	h	2370	2.0	0.979	59.3	LOS E	60.5	431.1	1.00	1.06	23.9
East: Ca	rnarvon	Street east									
4	L	120	2.0	0.189	44.0	LOS D	7.7	54.9	0.74	0.77	26.1
5	т	45	2.0	0.278	57.3	LOS E	7.7	54.7	0.91	0.72	20.1
6	R	50	2.0	0.278	64.8	LOS E	7.7	54.7	0.91	0.79	21.4
Approac	h	215	2.0	0.278	51.6	LOS D	7.7	54.9	0.81	0.76	23.5
North: S	ilverwate	r Road north									
7	L	10	2.0	0.805	66.8	LOS E	44.9	319.9	0.91	0.96	22.7
8	т	1750	2.0	0.816	47.8	LOS D	44.9	319.9	0.91	0.83	27.4
9	R	115	2.0	0.428	72.3	LOS F	9.2	65.5	0.92	0.79	20.3
Approac	h	1875	2.0	0.816	49.4	LOS D	44.9	319.9	0.91	0.83	26.9
West: Ca	arnarvon	Street west									
10	L	35	2.0	0.517	42.7	LOS D	7.8	55.8	0.92	0.79	26.9
11	т	65	2.0	0.517	35.0	LOS C	7.8	55.8	0.92	0.73	25.6
12	R	185	2.0	0.517	65.3	LOS E	11.9	84.7	0.96	0.80	21.1
Approac	h	285	2.0	0.517	55.6	LOS D	11.9	84.7	0.94	0.79	22.6
All Vehic	les	4745	2.0	0.979	54.8	LOS D	60.5	431.1	0.95	0.94	24.9

Level of Service (Aver. Int. Delay): LOS D. Based on average delay for all vehicle movements. LOS Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS F. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW). Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Moven	nent Performance -	Pedestrian	s		-			
Mov ID	Description	Demand Av Plow D ped/h		Level of Service	Average Back Pedestrian ped	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per ped
P1	Across S approach	53	69.1	LOS F	0.2	0.2	0.96	0.96
P3	Across E approach	53	34.0	LOS D	0.2	0.2	0.67	0.67
P5	Across N approach	53	69.1	LOS F	0.2	0.2	0.96	0.96
P7	Across W approach	53	34.0	LOS D	0.2	0.2	0.67	0.67
All Pede	estrians	212	51.6				0.82	0.82

Level of Service (Aver. Int. Delay): LOS E. Based on average delay for all pedestrian movements. LOS Method: Delay (HCM). Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS F. LOS Method for individual pedestrian movements: Delay (HCM).

Processed: Thursday, 20 February 2014 2:58:57 PM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510 Project: G:\Traffic\SIDRA 5.0\8973 Silverwater Mixed Use\Silverwater Road & Carnarvon Street.sip 8000030, COLSTON BUDD HUNT & KAFES PTY LTD, SINGLE

Silverwater Road & Carnarvon Street Existing afternoon peak hour + development

Signals - Fixed Time Cycle Time = 129 seconds (Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

Moven	nent Per	formance - V	/ehicles		-	-	100.00				200
Mov ID	Tum	Demand Flow veh/h	HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Average Speed km/h
South: S	Silverwate	er Road south								per ven	KIIDI
1	L	80	2.0	0.835	40.5	LOS C	28.3	201.3	0.90	0.96	31.5
2	т	1450	2.0	0.833	32.4	LOS C	28.5	202.6	0.90	0.83	33.4
3	R	150	2.0	0.660	63.1	LOS E	10.7	75.9	0.97	0.81	22.2
Approa	ch	1680	2.0	0.833	35.5	LOS C	28.5	202.6	0.91	0.83	32.0
East: Ca	arnarvon	Street east									
4	L	250	2.0	0.355	41.5	LOS C	12.2	87.2	0.79	0.80	27.0
5	т	85	2.0	0.354	47.9	LOS D	9.2	65.8	0.91	0.73	22.2
6	R	35	2.0	0.354	55.5	LOS D	9.2	65.8	0.91	0.80	23.5
Approad	h	370	2.0	0.355	44.3	LOS D	12.2	87.2	0.83	0.79	25.4
North: S	ilverwate	r Road north									
7	L	20	2.0	0.856	68.5	LOS E	32.6	232.3	0.97	0.98	22.4
8	т	1560	2.0	0.861	52.3	LOS D	32.7	232.8	0.97	0.94	26.0
9	R	200	2.0	0.881	77.9	LOS F	15.1	107.6	1.00	0.92	19.2
Approac	h	1780	2.0	0.881	55.3	LOS D	32.7	232.8	0.98	0.93	25.0
West: C	arnarvon	Street west									
10	L	85	2.0	0.820	40.3	LOS C	13.5	95.8	0.95	0.90	27.5
11	T	65	2.0	0.820	32.6	LOS C	13.5	95.8	0.95	0.87	26.1
12	R	415	2.0	0.820	58.7	LOS E	19.3	137.4	0.98	0.91	22.5
Approac	h	565	2.0	0.820	52.9	LOS D	19.3	137.4	0.97	0.91	23.5
All Vehic	les	4395	2.0	0.881	46.5	LOS D	32.7	232.8	0.94	0.88	27.1

Level of Service (Aver. Int. Delay): LOS D. Based on average delay for all vehicle movements. LOS Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS F. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW). Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Mover	nent Performance -	Pedestrians	5					
Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow ped/h	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	Average Back Pedestrian ped	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per ped
P1	Across S approach	53	58.6	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.95	0.95
P3	Across E approach	53	35.0	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.74	0.74
P5	Across N approach	53	58.6	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.95	0.95
P7	Across W approach	53	35.0	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.74	0.74
All Ped	estrians	212	46.8				0.84	0.84

Level of Service (Aver. Int. Delay): LOS E. Based on average delay for all pedestrian movements. LOS Method: Delay (HCM). Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS E. LOS Method for individual pedestrian movements: Delay (HCM).

Processed: Thursday, 20 February 2014 2:58:37 PM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510 Project: G:\Traffic\SIDRA 5.0\8973 Silverwater Mixed Use\Silverwater Road & Carnarvon Street.sip 8000030, COLSTON BUDD HUNT & KAFES PTY LTD, SINGLE

#### HILFOR PROJECT PTY LTD

TRANSPORT REPORT FOR PROPOSED MIXED USE REZONING, 32-34 & 38-46 SILVERWATER ROAD & I-13 GREY STREET, SILVERWATER

MAY 2013

COLSTON BUDD HUNT & KAFES PTY LTD ACN 002 334 296 Level 18 Tower A Zenith Centre 821 Pacific Highway CHATSWOOD NSW 2067

 Telephone:
 (02)
 9411
 2411

 Facsimile:
 (02)
 9411
 2422

 Email:
 cbhk@cbhk.com.au

REF: 8973

\_

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

١.	INTRODUCTION	I
2.	EXISTING CONDITIONS	2
3.	IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	10
## I. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Colston Budd Hunt and Kafes Pty Ltd has been commissioned by Hilfor Project Pty Ltd to prepare a report assessing the transport implications of a proposed mixed use development at 32-34 and 38-46 Silverwater Road and 1-13 Grey Street at Silverwater. The site location is shown on Figure 1.
- 1.2 The site is occupied by a commercial building previously used by a dry cleaner, a café and 13 residential dwellings. It is proposed to rezone the site to B4 mixed use. A potential scale of development includes some 3,550m<sup>2</sup> retail, 350m<sup>2</sup> commercial plus 220 residential apartments.
- 1.3 This report assesses the transport implications of the potential scale of development through the following chapters:
  - Chapter 2 describing the existing conditions; and
  - Chapter 3 assessing the transport implications of the proposed development.

# 2. EXISTING CONDITIONS

# Site Location and Road Network

- 2.1 The site is located at 32-34 and 38-46 Silverwater Road and 1-13 Grey Street at Silverwater, as shown on Figure 1. It occupies the entire block bounded by Silverwater Road, Carnarvon Street, Bligh Street and Grey Street. The site is occupied by a commercial building previously used by a dry cleaner, a café and 13 residential dwellings. Vehicular access to the site is provided from all of the streets noted above.
- 2.2 Surrounding land use includes industrial and commercial development along Silverwater Road, and north of the site. There is residential development to the south and east. The M4 Motorway is south of the site. To the west are residential properties, industrial properties, open space and a church.
- 2.3 Silverwater Road is a major road which forms part of a north-south route connecting Hornsby, Pennant Hills and Carlingford in the north with Auburn, Bankstown and the southern suburbs of Sydney in the south. In the vicinity of the site it provides a six lane divided carriageway with three traffic lanes in each direction and a 70 kilometre per hour speed limit. Clearways operate in both directions during weekday peak periods. Major intersections are signalised with additional lanes for turning traffic.
- 2.4 Carnarvon Street intersects Silverwater Road at a signalised intersection, adjacent to the site, with all turns permitted. It provides for one traffic lane and one parking lane in each direction, clear of intersections. Carnarvon Street provides access to industrial development. There are bus stops west of the site.

- 2.5 Bligh Street connects to Silverwater Road, south of the site, at an unsignalised tintersection. Turns at the intersection are restricted to left in/left out by the median in Silverwater Road. Bligh Street provides access to industrial and residential development. It provides for one traffic lane and one parking lane in each direction, clear of intersections.
- 2.6 Grey Street connects Carnarvon Street with Bligh Street. Both intersections are unsignalised t-intersections, with all turns permitted. Grey Street provides access to industrial properties and the subject site. It provides for one traffic lane and one parking lane in each direction, clear of intersections, and has a three tonne load limit.

# Traffic Flows

- 2.7 Traffic generated by the proposed development will have its greatest effects during weekday morning and afternoon peak periods when it combines with commuter traffic on the surrounding road network. In order to gauge traffic conditions, counts were undertaken during weekday morning and afternoon peak periods at the following intersections:
  - Silverwater Road/Carnarvon Street;
  - Silverwater Road/Bligh Street;
  - o Grey Street/Carnarvon Street; and
  - Grey Street//Bligh Street.
- 2.8 The results of the surveys are shown in Figures 2 and 3, and summarised in Table2.1.

Road	Location	AM peak hour	PM peak hour		
Silverwater Road	North of Carnarvon Street	3,835	3,220		
	North of Bligh Street	4,400	3,840		
	South of Bligh Street	4,470	3,865		
Carnarvon Street	East of Silverwater Road	530	555		
	West of Silverwater Road	525	615		
	West of Grey Street	545	595		
Bligh Street	West of Silverwater Road	80	45		
	West of Grey Street	65	50		
Grey Street	South of Carnarvon Street	40	20		
	North of Bligh Street	65	15		

2.9 Table 2.1 shows that Silverwater Road carried some 3,200 to 4,500 vehicles per hour two-way during the weekday morning and afternoon peak hours. Carnarvon Street carried lower flows of some 500 to 600 vehicles per hour two-way. Flows on Bligh Street and Grey Street were less than 100 vehicles per hour two-way.

# Intersection Operations

- 2.10 The capacity of the road network is largely determined by the capacity of its intersections to cater for peak period traffic flows. The surveyed intersections shown in Figures 2 and 3 have been analysed using the SIDRA program.
- 2.11 SIDRA simulates the operations of intersections to provide a number of performance measures. The most useful measure provided is average delay per vehicle expressed in seconds per vehicle. Based on average delay per vehicle, SIDRA estimates the following levels of service (LOS):

ρ For traffic signals, the average delay per vehicle in seconds is calculated as delay/(all vehicles), for roundabouts the average delay per vehicle in seconds is selected for the movement with the highest average delay per vehicle, equivalent to the following LOS:

0 to 14	=	"A"	Good							
l 5 to 28	=	"B"	Good with minimal delays and spare capacity							
29 to 42	=	"C"	Satisfactory with spare capacity							
43 to 56	=	"D"	Satisfactory but operating near capacity							
57 to 70	=	"E"	At capacity and incidents will cause excessive							
			delays. Roundabouts require other control mode.							
>70	=	"F"	Unsatisfactory and requires additional capacity							

ρ For give way and stop signs, the average delay per vehicle in seconds is selected from the movement with the highest average delay per vehicle, equivalent to following LOS:

0 to 14	=	"A"	Good
l 5 to 28	=	"B"	Acceptable delays and spare capacity
29 to 42	=	"C"	Satisfactory but accident study required
43 to 56	=	"D"	Near capacity and accident study required
57 to 70	=	"E"	At capacity and requires other control mode
>70	=	"F"	Unsatisfactory and requires other control mode

2.12 It should be noted that for roundabouts, give way and stop signs, in some circumstances, simply examining the highest individual average delay can be misleading. The size of the movement with the highest average delay per vehicle should also be taken into account. Thus, for example, an intersection where all

movements are operating at a level of service A, except one which is at level of service E, may not necessarily define the intersection level of service as E if that movement is very small. That is, longer delays to a small number of vehicles may not justify upgrading an intersection unless a safety issue was also involved.

- 2.13 The SIDRA analysis found that the signalised intersection of Silverwater Road with Carnarvon Street is operating with average delays of less than 50 seconds per vehicle during peak periods. This represents levels of service D, a satisfactory level of service for a busy intersection during peak periods.
- 2.14 At the intersection of Silverwater Road with Bligh Street, observations indicate that traffic turns from Bligh Street when gaps are created in the traffic stream by the upstream traffic signals on Silverwater Road.
- 2.15 The intersections of Grey Street with Carnarvon Street and Bligh Street are operating with average delays for the highest delayed movements of less than 15 seconds per vehicle during peak periods. This represents levels of service A/B, a good level of service.

# Public Transport

- 2.16 The closest railway station to the site is Auburn, which is some 20 minutes walking distance. Auburn is on the Western (Emu Plains/Richmond to North Sydney via the City) and South (Macarthur to City via Granville) Lines.
- 2.17 Services through Auburn are every 30 minutes in each direction on the Western Lines and every 15 to 30 minutes in each direction on the South Line. During weekday peak periods, services are every 10 to 15 minutes in each direction.

- 2.18 Local bus services are provided by Sydney Buses. As previously discussed, there are bus stops on Carnarvon Street, west of the site.
- 2.19 Route 544 connects Auburn, Silverwater, Ermington, Eastwood, Deniston East, Macquarie University and Macquarie Centre. Services are every 60 minutes in each direction, Monday to Saturday, and every 15 to 30 minutes during weekday peak periods. Services include a link to Auburn railway station.
- 2.20 Route 540 operates along Carnarvon Street and Vore Street and connects Auburn and Newington. It provides a weekday peak period service.
- 2.21 There is a north-south cycle route west of site which connects Auburn with Sydney Olympic Park. This route connects to the wider cycle network within the LGA.
- 2.22 The draft Metropolitan Strategy for Sydney identifies the need for a future viable and frequent public transport service along the Parramatta Road corridor, in which the site is located.
- 2.23 The site is therefore accessible by existing public transport services, and close to future planned services along Parramatta Road.

# 3. IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

- 3.1 It is proposed to rezone the site to B4 mixed use. A potential scale of development includes some 3,550m<sup>2</sup> retail, 350m<sup>2</sup> commercial plus 220 residential apartments. Vehicular access is proposed from Grey Street.
- 3.2 Parking will be provided in accordance with appropriate Council and RMS controls at the time that a development application is made. This chapter assesses the transport implications of the proposed development through the following sections:
  - policy context;
  - public transport, walking and cycling;
  - travel access guide;
  - access, servicing and internal layout;
  - Let traffic generation and effects; and
  - □ summary.

# Policy Context

- o Metropolitan Transport Plan
- 3.3 The Metropolitan Transport Plan Connecting the City of Cities has four key policy objectives:
  - o commuting to work easily and quickly;

- transport and services accessible to all members of the community;
- o an efficient, integrated and customer focused public transport system; and
- o revitalized neighbourhoods with improved transport hubs.
- 3.4 It includes a target of 28 per cent of trips to work in the Sydney Metropolitan Region to be undertaken by public transport by 2016, compared to some 22 per cent in 2006.
- 3.5 To help achieve these objectives, it identifies, in conjunction with the metropolitan strategy, key areas of future housing and employment growth in Sydney to 2020 and 2036. Additionally, it outlines a 10 year funding program to 2020 for the following transport projects:
  - rail line extensions for more platforms at CBD stations;
  - o rail lines to north west and south west Sydney;
  - o light rail in the CBD and further extension to the Inner West;
  - o more air conditioned train carriages;
  - I,000 additional buses;
  - completion of the 43 strategic bus corridors across Sydney;
  - completion of the highest priority missing links in the Sydney Strategic Cycleway Network.
  - o NSW 2021
- 3.6 NSW 2021: A Plan to Make NSW Number One sets targets to increase the proportion of commuter trips made by public transport for various areas within Sydney by 2016, including:

- 80 per cent in the Sydney CBD;
- 50 per cent in the Parramatta CBD;
- 20 per cent in the Liverpool CBD; and
- 25 per cent in the Penrith CBD.
- 3.7 It also has targets to:
  - improve road safety and reduce fatalities to 4.3 per 100,000 population by 2016;
  - double the mode share of bicycle trips made in the metropolitan area by 2016; and
  - increase the proportion of the population living within 30 minutes by public transport of a city or major centre in the metropolitan area.
- 3.8 The following sections discuss how the proposed development satisfies these objectives and the measures proposed to achieve them.

# Public Transport, Walking and Cycling

3.9 As previously discussed, the site is accessible by bus services which connect to surrounding areas including Auburn, Silverwater, Ermington, Newington, Eastwood, Deniston East, Macquarie University and Macquarie Centre. Services include a link to Auburn railway station. There are bicycle routes close to the site which connects to surrounding areas and the wider network within Auburn. The planned future provision of public transport along Parramatta Road will further improve the site's accessibility.

- 3.10 Existing public transport services will provide for people to access the development by public transport, walking and cycling, for residents, and for employees in the retail component. To support accessibility by bicycles, appropriate bicycle parking, in accordance with Council requirements, should be provided. Provision will be included for a bus stop on Silverwater Road, adjacent to the site.
- 3.11 The development will therefore satisfy the objectives of the Metropolitan Transport Plan and NSW 2021 as follows:
  - enabling commuters to readily access trains and buses close to the site (Metropolitan Transport Plan objective);
  - providing an appropriate level of on-site parking, with reference to appropriate Council and RMS requirements, to encourage public transport use and increase the proportion of trips by public transport (Metropolitan Transport Plan objective);
  - providing residential development close to employment centres in Silverwater and Sydney Olympic Park, to reduce the need for travel; and
  - improving pedestrian connectivity in the area by providing a through site pedestrian link between Grey Street and Silverwater Road.

## Travel Access Guide

3.12 To encourage travel modes other than private vehicle, a travel demand management approach should be adopted, through a travel access guide to meet

the specific needs of future residents, employees and visitors. The specific requirements and needs of these groups should be incorporated in the travel access guide to support the objectives of encouraging the use of public transport.

- 3.13 The principles of the travel access guide, which should be developed as part of a future development application in consultation with Council, RMS, public transport providers and other stakeholders, would include the following:
  - encourage the use of public transport, including rail and bus services close to the site;
  - identify existing bus routes which stop near the site, including the location of bus stops and pedestrian crossings at signalised intersections;
  - work with public transport providers to improve services;
  - encourage public transport by residents and employees through the provision of information, maps and timetables in the travel access guide;
  - raise awareness of health benefits of walking and cycling (including maps showing walking and cycling routes);
  - encourage cycling by providing safe and secure bicycle parking, including the provision of lockers and rails;
  - provide appropriate on-site parking provision, consistent with appropriate
    Council/RMS controls and the objective of reducing traffic generation.

3.14 The travel access guide should be developed in accordance with the principles identified by Transport for NSW and RMS, and distributed with marketing material for the site. The travel access guide would assist in delivering sustainable transport objectives by considering the means available for reducing dependence solely on cars for travel purposes, encouraging the use of public transport and supporting the efficient and viable operation of public transport services.

# Access, Servicing and Internal Layout

- 3.15 Vehicular access to the proposed development would be provided from Grey Street. Driveways will provide for two-way traffic, with all movements permitted, and should be provided with widths and grades in accordance with the Australian Standard for Parking Facilities (Part 1: Off-street car parking), AS 2890.1:2004. The driveways will include maximum grades of 1:20 for six metres inside the property line for appropriate visibility between pedestrians and exiting vehicles.
- 3.16 A shared zone will be provided, running east-west through the site, for cars and pedestrians. It will provide vehicular access to buildings within the development, from Grey Street. It will not provide a vehicular connection to Silverwater Road. Pedestrians will be able to walk through the site between Grey Street and Silverwater Road.
- 3.17 At the development application stage, the parking space dimensions, aisle widths, column locations and height clearances should be provided in accordance with AS 2890.1:2004.

3.18 Appropriate provision for service vehicles should be included within the development. Service vehicles will include garbage collection and deliveries to the retail and commercial components. The design should provide for service vehicles to enter and exit the site in a forward direction, with service vehicle areas to be provided in accordance with AS 2890.2 – 2002. The size of trucks will depend on final retail tenancies, but will likely include rigid trucks and semi trailers.

# **Traffic Generation and Effects**

- 3.19 Traffic generated by the proposed development will have its greatest effects during weekday morning and afternoon peak periods when it combines with commuter traffic. The RMS "Guide to Traffic Generating Developments" indicates that high density residential apartments in town centre locations close to public transport generate 0.29 vehicles per hour per dwelling, two-way, during peak hours. Medium density developments generate some 0.4 to 0.65 vehicles per hour two-way.
- 3.20 Based on the above, the proposed development would be likely to have a traffic generation of some 0.3 to 0.4 vehicles per hour per dwelling two-way at peak times. Therefore, traffic generation of the residential component would be some 65 to 90 vehicles per hour two-way at peak times.
- 3.21 The RMS guidelines suggest a traffic generation of some two vehicles per hour per 100m<sup>2</sup> for commercial development at peak times. Therefore, the commercial component would generate some five to 10 vehicles per hour two-way at peak times.

- 3.22 The RMS "Guide to Traffic Generating Developments" indicates that small retail developments generate some 12.3 vehicles per hour per 100m<sup>2</sup> two-way during weekday afternoon peak hours. During the weekday morning peak hour, the generation is significantly lower as trading is low and many shops are not open. For the morning peak hour, we have assessed a generation of 20 per cent of the afternoon peak hour.
- 3.23 The retail component would therefore have a traffic generation of some 90 and 440 vehicles per hour two-way during morning and afternoon peak hours respectively. Total traffic generation would therefore be some 160 to 190 and 510 to 540 vehicles per hour two-way during morning and afternoon peak hours respectively.
- 3.24 The RMS guidelines indicate that some 25 per cent of retail traffic is passing trade (customers who would have driven past the site regardless of their visit to the site). Our assessment is based on 25 per cent of retail traffic being passing trade.
- 3.25 The additional traffic has been assigned to the road network. Existing traffic flows plus the additional development traffic are shown in Figures 2 and 3, and summarized in Table 3.1. Traffic increases on Silverwater Road, Carnarvon Street, Bligh Street and Grey Street would be some 20 to 125 vehicles per hour two-way during morning peak hours and some 50 to 375 vehicles per hour two-way during afternoon peak hours.
- 3.26 The intersections previously analysed in Chapter 2 have been re-analysed with SIDRA for the additional development traffic flows shown in Figures 2 and 3.

#### CHAPTER 3

Road	Location	AM	peak hour	PM peak hour		
		Existing	Plus	Existing	Plus	
			development		development	
Silverwater Road	North of Carnarvon Street	3,835	+50	3,220	+130	
	North of Bligh Street	4,400	+25	3,840	+65	
	South of Bligh Street	4,470	+50	3,865	+   30	
Carnarvon Street	East of Silverwater Road	530	+20	555	+50	
	West of Silverwater Road	525	+105	615	+315	
	West of Grey Street	545	+20	595	+60	
Bligh Street	West of Silverwater Road	80	+35	45	+   35	
	West of Grey Street	65	+20	50	+60	
Grey Street	South of Carnarvon Street	40	+125	20	+375	
	North of Bligh Street	65	+60	15	+130	

- 3.27 The analysis found that the intersection of Silverwater Road with Carnarvon Street would operate with average delays of less than 50 seconds per vehicle during peak periods. This represents levels of service D, a satisfactory level of service for a busy intersection during peak periods.
- 3.28 The modest additional traffic turning from Bligh Street into Silverwater Road would not have significant implications on its operation. Traffic will continue to turn from Bligh Street when gaps are created in the traffic stream by the upstream traffic signals on Silverwater Road.
- 3.29 The intersections of Grey Street with Carnarvon Street and Bligh Street will continue to operate with average delays for the highest delayed movements of less than 15 seconds per vehicle during peak periods. This represents levels of service A/B, a good level of service.

3.30 Therefore, the road network will be able to cater for the additional traffic from the proposed development.

# Summary

- 3.31 In summary, the main points relating to the transport implications of the proposed mixed use residential development are as follows:
  - i) the proposed development will be accessible by public transport;
  - ii) access, servicing and internal layout are considered appropriate; and
  - iii) the road network will be able to cater for the additional traffic from the proposed development.



# Location Plan



8 - Traffic Signals

# Existing weekday morning peak hour traffic flows plus development traffic



8 - Traffic Signals

Existing weekday afternoon peak hour traffic flows plus development traffic

# **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

# $\nabla$ Site: 102v [EX-PM Silverwater Rd-Bligh St ]

New Site Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles												
Mov	Turn	Demand	Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID		lotal	HV %	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed km/h
South:	Silverw	ater Road	/0	V/C	360		Ven		_		_	KI11/11
1	L2	42	3.0	0.560	5.7	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.02	0.00	57.8
2	T1	3169	3.0	0.560	0.1	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.01	0.00	59.7
Approa	ach	3212	3.0	0.560	0.2	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.01	0.00	59.7
North: Silvewater Road												
8	T1	3276	3.0	0.571	0.1	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.8
Approa	ach	3276	3.0	0.571	0.1	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.8
West: Bligh Street												
10	L2	12	3.0	0.040	16.4	LOS C	0.1	0.9	0.79	0.91	0.79	46.2
Approa	ach	12	3.0	0.040	16.4	LOS C	0.1	0.9	0.79	0.91	0.79	46.2
All Veh	nicles	6499	3.0	0.571	0.2	NA	0.1	0.9	0.00	0.01	0.00	59.7

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2018 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: LYLE MARSHALL & PARTNERS PTY LTD | Processed: Wednesday, 27 June 2018 3:15:17 PM Project: Z:\LMA Jobs\SIDRA\1176-18-32-48 Silverwater Road Silverwater\1176-18-Silverwater2-other sites-26.6.18.sip8